# MEMORANDUM

To:Honorable Board of Directors, Sierra Lakes County Water DistrictFrom:Paul A. Schultz, PE, General ManagerSubject:March 2023 General Manager's ReportDate:April 6, 2023

**Donner Summit Public Utility District:** As mentioned last month, annual expenses for the fiscal year ending in June of 2022 for operations and maintenance (O&M) of the wastewater treatment facilities at DSPUD were approximately \$387,000 in excess of DSPUD's budgeted amount. In February DSPUD submitted a supplemental bill to SLCWD in the amount of \$116,000 which represents SLCWD's share (approximately 30%) of those costs. In addition, DSPUD notified SLCWD in April 2023 of upcoming costs related to non-capacity increasing improvements (replacement of UV lamps for the disinfection process) at the plant in the amount of \$19,351.96. SLCWD's share of those costs will be tied to our contribution to wastewater flows for FY 2022/23.

**Reassignment of Duties:** In preparation for the departure of the current General Manager, several annual regulatory reports to the state of California have been assigned to the Utility Operations Manager, Pat Baird for completion and submission. The current General Manager will be available to assist the Utility Operations Manager with the preparation of these reports if required and to provide final review prior to submission. These annual regulatory reports to the state of California include:

- Annual Report of Diversions (complete)
- Electronic Annual Report (EAR) (underway)
- Consumer Confidence Report (CCR) (underway)
- Drought Resiliency Measures Compliance Report (January, February and March 2023 reports have been submitted)

# MEMORANDUM

To:Honorable Board of Directors, Sierra Lakes County Water DistrictFrom:Patrick Baird, Utilities Operation ManagerSubject:March 2023 Operations ReportDate:April 7, 2023

# **Donner Summit Public Utility District (DSPUD) Wastewater Treatment Plant:**

There were no permit violations during March 2023, and the wastewater treatment plant was operating as designed. There was no update from the DSPUD operations staff when writing this report.

# **Regulatory Issues:**

**Sanitary Sewer Overflows:** The District reported no Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) to the Regional Water Quality Control Board for March 2023.

**Water Treatment Reporting:** The District met all the drinking water requirements. The monthly SAFER (Drought & Conservation Reporting) reports were completed and updated.

# **Operations Report:**

# Monthly Water and Sewer flows:

	March 20	23	February	2023
	Water	Sewer	Water	Sewer
Daily Water Usage	57,705	80,076	61,880	67,940
5-Year Average	62,740	65,310	72,487	63,615
Percent Difference	-8%	22%	-14%	6%

- The District treated 1,614,654 gallons of water in March 2023.
- The daily water usage in March 2023 decreased by 8% compared to the five-year average.
- Sewer flows have increased 22% over the 5-year average due to the I&I from the winter storms.
- The average daily water and sewer flow for March 2023 was 57,705 GPD and 80,076 GPD, compared to 61,880 GPD and 67,940 GPD from February 2023, respectively.
- The District's share of the flow through the DSPUD wastewater treatment plant for March 2023 was not available at the time of this report.

# Water Treatment System:

The lake's raw water temperature has dropped to 0°C, and the pH dropped to less than 6.4, requiring the operators to reduce the flow rate from 150 GPM (gallons per minute) to 100 GPM. The freezing water temperature makes it difficult to maintain a consistent coagulation/flocculation process

causing the filtering system to be backwashed more often. In normal operations, the backwash usage accounts for less than 5% of the total water production. However, the operators are using approximately 35% of the total water production for backwashing the filter system.

# Sewer Collection System:

All the sewer lift stations are operating as designed. The lift stations' communication antennas were cleared from the snow.

**Beacon Leak Report:** In March, six significant water leaks were found (480-1,440 gallons/day), most of which have been repaired and/or corrected. Approximately 21 ongoing water leaks are averaging less than 240 gallons/day. Currently, 21 customers have activated the Eye on Water application.

# **Other Operations Update:**

- Operators have been coordinating with PG&E and Placer County to remove snow from damaged power poles near District's infrastructure.
- District's backhoe hydraulic pump has been replaced and the backhoe is back in service.
- Operators have been inspecting District's building for snow load damage.

### Attachments:

- March 2023 Daily Water/Sewer Flows
- 2018-2022 Average Daily Sewer/Water Flows Comparison through March. 2023

Γ											Sierra L														
					•					١	Water- I	Daily Flo	ow and	5-Year A	Average	2							•		
	120,000																								
	115,000																								
	110,000																								
G	105,000																								
А	100,000													119,340											
L	95,000													11111		97,661									
L	90,000																								
0	85,000														85,901										
N	80,000																81,681								
s	75,000											79,081						76,753							
	70,000	73,700		72,487				71,115										IIII)	73,488						
	65,000												68,874											65,740	
	60,000				61,880	62,740															61,832				ł
	55,000						57,705			59,253															
	50,000		52,598																	54,079		51,976			54,121
	45,000										46,871														
	40,000								44,388																
	35,000					<u> </u>						<u> 11111</u>											37,647	<u> </u>	
	30,000	2000				<i>11111</i>		<u> </u>		<u>11117</u>		<i>11111</i>						11112		11112					
	25,000									<i>IIII</i>		<u> </u>				())))		$\overline{11112}$							
	20,000					<u>IIIII</u>				<u>      </u>		<u> </u>													
	15,000	$\overline{(111)}$																$\overline{11112}$							
	10,000					<u>11111</u>				<u> 11111</u>								<u>11111</u>							
	5,000					<u>IIII.</u>										$\overline{000}$		<u> IIIII</u>							
		Jan. 5-Year	Jan. 2022	Feb. 5-Year	Feb. 2023	Mar. 5-Year	Mar. 2023	Apr. 5-Year	Apr. 2022	May 5-Year	May. 2022	Jun. 5-Year	Jun. 2022	Jul. 5-Year	Jul. 2022	Aug. 5-Year	Aug. 2022	Sept. 5-Year	Sept. 2022	Oct. 5-Year	Oct. 2022	Nov. 5-Year	Nov. 2022	Dec. 5-Year	Dec. 2022
		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average		Average	

													ounty												
			r						r		Sewer- I	Daily Fl	ow and !	5-Year A	verage		r	r							
12	20,000																								
11	15,000																								
11	10,000																								
G 10	05,000																								
A 10	00,000																								
L 9	5,000																								
L 9	0,000																								
0 8	5,000									89,463	87,675														
N 8	0,000						80,076	82,315	81,157	<u> ()))))</u>															
<b>S</b> 7	5,000		79,748					<u> </u>		<u> 111111</u>			78,825												
7	0,000											73,131		67,230											
6	5,000				67,940	65,310								<u> (((())</u>	63,484										
6	0,000			63,615																					
5	5,000	50,392																							55,586
5	0,000									ШШ							52,412		50,851						
4	5,000																							48,567	
4	0,000															46,524									
3	5,000																				38,520	38,170			
3	0,000																	33,360							
2	5,000																			27,072			25,515		
2	0,000																								
1	5,000	ШШ																							
1	0,000																								
5	5,000	<u>11111</u>		[[[[[]]]]]		[[[[[]]		((((()))		<u>11111)</u>								[[[[]]		<u>((((())</u>		[[[[[]]]]]		((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((	
		Jan. 5-Year Average	Jan. 2023	Feb. 5-Year Average	Feb. 2023	Mar. 5-Year Average	Mar. 2023	Apr. 5-Year Average	Apr. 2022	May 5-Year Average	May. 2022	Jun. 5-Year Average	Jun. 2022	Jul. 5-Year Average	Jul. 2022	Aug. 5-Year Average	Aug. 2022	Sept. 5-Year Average	Sept. 2022	Oct. 5-Year Average	Oct. 2022	Nov. 5-Year Average	Nov. 2022	Dec. 5-Year Average	Dec. 2022

# MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Date: Thursday March 23, 2023 / Time: 6:30 p.m. / Place: 7305 Short Road, Serene Lakes, CA

The meeting was held in person at the District Office and was teleconferenced to allow public participation.

# I. Open Meeting:

Roll Call:

Directors in attendance at the Sierra Lakes County Water District Boardroom: Director Dan Stockton Director Jon Harvey Director Karen Heald Director Jennifer Jackson Director David Keatley

Staff in attendance at the Sierra Lakes County Water District Boardroom: Paul Schultz P.E. Inc, General Manager Patrick Baird, Utility Operations Manager

Staff in attendance by Zoom: Jeffrey Mitchell, District Counsel

<u>Guests in attendance at the Sierra Lakes County Water District Boardroom:</u> None

Guests in attendance by Zoom:

Dr, Chandra Roger Drosd Bill Cooper Dennis Fisco Shandon Lloyd Dick Simpson Barbara Pelletreau David Niemeyer Sandy

Minutes Recorder:

Patrick Baird, Utility Operations Manager

**II.** <u>Public Forum:</u> An opportunity for members of the public to address the Board on items that were not on the agenda. Mr. Drosd said he wanted to mention the stellar job Mr. Baird has been doing.

**III.** <u>Approve Agenda</u>: The agenda was presented to the Board for approval. Director Jackson asked to move item VII. C. General Manager Recruitment to the beginning of Old Business to accommodate Mr. Niemeyer who was in attendance. The Board decided to leave the agenda as presented.

A motion was made by Director Heald and seconded by Director Keatley to approve the agenda. The motion passed by a unanimous rollcall vote: Ayes: Directors Stockton, Harvey, Heald, Jackson and Keatley.

**IV.** <u>Public Comments:</u> An opportunity for the Board to consider comments received from the public after the agenda was posted, regarding items on the agenda. Mr. Schultz said a letter in support of the fire cameras was received and would be included in the board packet.

# V. <u>Operations:</u>

- A. Paul Schultz P.E. Inc., General Manager, presented his report to the Board for consideration and possible action. He reported the following:
  - DSPUD reported some overruns that Sierra Lakes County Water District would be sharing in. The result of the overruns was a budget deficit that would be discussed later in the meeting under Item VIII. A.
  - Dr. Chandra, University of Nevada Reno (UNR), would provide an update on the Contemporary Water Assessment later in the meeting. He said a letter was provided to the District in February regarding trout stocking that suggested a return to old levels of loading fish in the lake down from the current high loading of fish loading that has been taking place over the last couple of years. The information would be passed on to SLPOA and the issue reevaluated at the end of the Water Quality Assessment in June 2024. Director Heald confirmed that the recommendation was to lower the fish load and to load over a period of time.
  - In anticipation of his resignation, Mr. Schultz would be reassigning some of his regulatory duties to Mr. Baird. Mr. Baird had already completed the annual reported diversions and was working on the Annual Electronic Report and Consumer Confidence Report. Mr. Baird would also be given the Draught Resiliency Report.

Director Harvey asked what DSPUD's incentive was to keep costs in line and if they were under budget would the District get a credit? Mr. Schultz said the District would get a credit if DSPUD was under budget but didn't know if there were incentives for staying within budget. Regardless, if DSPUD goes over budget, the District would be responsible for its share.

Director Harvey said the District needed to give direction to SLPOA or the fish stocker based on Dr. Chandra's report.

- B. Patrick Baird, Utilities Operations Manager, presented his report to the Board for consideration and possible action. He reported the following:
  - DSPUD had no permit violations in February.
  - Snow removal continued due to massive amounts of snow.
  - There were no sanitary sewer overflows in the month of February.
  - All water treatment requirements were met.
  - Water usage was down about 10% per day.
  - Sewer flows were up about 5% per day. He anticipated a high I&I season with the record setting winter.
  - The lake completely froze to the bottom and the raw water temperature was at 0°, causing a decrease in the water production level from 150 gallons/minute to 100 gallons/minute. Staff was placed on a staggered schedule to keep up with demand.
  - All lift stations in SLCWD's sewer system were working with no issues.
  - All generators and pumps were working properly through the various power outages.
  - 21 customers were now signed up for EyeOnWater.

Mr. Baird said he appreciated it when members of the community report issues, even when an issue was not water related. He said he saw a transformer that was cracking and immediately reported the issue to PG&E. PG&E was out to fix the transformer within a day. Mr. Schultz said staff was beginning to see issues with some of the District's above ground facilities mentioning the roof at pump station 3 that had snow damage. He said there might be funds available from Placer County to help with the damage.

VI. <u>Consent Items Calendar:</u> The Consent Items Calendar was presented to the Board for action. The Consent Items Calendar included the minutes from the February 9, 2023, Regular Meeting; February 2023 Check Register; financial reports for the month ending February 28, 2023; Disbursements for Board Approval.

A motion was made by Director Jackson and seconded by Director Keatley to approve the Consent Items Calendar. The motion passed by a rollcall vote: Ayes: Directors Stockton, Harvey, Jackson and Keatley. Abstain: Director Heald.

# VII. Old Business:

A. SLPOA - Fire Cameras (This Item was discussed after Item B.)

Barbara Pelletreau and Dennis Fisco co-presented an update on the proposed Fire Cameras. Ms. Pelletreau said the SLPOA Board approved \$25,000 for Tahoe Prosperity Centers to support the installation and annual fees for one camera and asked that Sierra Lakes do the same. They initially were looking at two cameras but decided to get one installed before fire season. She said a ten minute video regarding the camera was posted on SLPOA's website if anyone wanted more information. The posting included letters of support from Placer County Sheriff's Office and Tahoe National Forest. Truckee Fire would not provide a written letter given that the Measure T grant application would soon be released and it was SLPOA's intent to apply for a grant.

Ms. Pelletreau said their goal was to secure \$50,000, by April 15, 2023, to get one camera ordered and installed at the Soda Springs Ski Resort. They were also working on a contract with UNR and the owner of Soda Springs Ski Resort and securing pledges of another \$67,000 for five years of annual fees and 10% to Tahoe Prosperity for installation.

Dennis Fisco said the \$25,000 for five years of fees broke down to about \$6.00/rate payer/ year. He said they didn't need the money right away they just needed a pledge.

Mr. Schultz said the District was right in the middle of developing their 2023/2024 Budget so the Board could not make a firm commitment. He thought the Board could make a decision by the April or May meeting.

Director Heald thanked them for the presentation and asked it the Land Trust offered any support for the project. Ms. Pelletreau said they had been working with the Land Trust but it was on hold. She did say she believed the Land Trust would provide a letter of support if asked. Director Heald said she was asking about a support for funding. Mr. Fiscso said he thought the problem with the Land Trust was, having inherited the Royal Gorge properties, the forest management plan was more than they thought it was going to be and, as a homeowner, the clearing of the forest was far more important than the camera.

Director Heald said she watched the video and the overlays of coverage. She said she didn't see anything that included Mt. Lincoln in Soda Springs. She asked what the gaps would be without Mt. Rodden? Ms. Pelletreau said there was a view that only showed Mt. Rodden. She also said Mt. Rodden was down in a canyon towards The Cedars and the back side of Mt. Lincoln and Soda Springs had a direct view down into Serene Lakes.

Director Heald said there was a reference to PG&E cameras to the west and asked if they had any information about their coverage. Ms. Pelletreau said they didn't and that PG&E told them that their cameras were adequate for what they needed to cover and it didn't include a camera for Soda Springs.

Director Stockton asked if the cameras covered The Cedars. Mr. Pelletreau said no, the best camera for the Cedars would be Mt. Rodden. Director Stockton said he was told that if the community had a fire coming it would most likely come from The Cedars. Mr. Fisco said they were not giving up on the Mt. Rodden camera that would cover the Cedars, they were going with the most expedient camera. Director Heald said there was a study done a few years ago that determined the "most likely" path a fire would enter Serene Lakes was from the American Canyon based on topography and prior burns not that it couldn't come from the west.

Director Jackson said she had a question about the placement of the camera. She asked, if they imagined that the fire would start locally, if they were looking for an early detection, why would the cameras be looking at Serene Lakes why not look somewhere else? Ms. Pelletreau said the camera would do two things. There was a control mode that looked for changes and dispatchers at Placer County had security to change the cameras to hone in on certain areas to allow them to get the resources where needed.

Director Keatley said he supported the camera as a homeowner but questioned who had jurisdiction and who should be paying for it? He did understand that the District had assets that could be impacted by fires. He also asked if SLPOA fees could be increased to cover the costs? Mr. Fisco said the number one owner of property and infrastructure in the Serene Lakes area was the Water District. Without the Water District, homeowners could not occupy their properties.

Director Heald said she would like Mr. Mitchell, District Counsel, to provide a recommendation to determine if it was something the Water District could do before deciding if the District wanted to do it. Mr. Mitchell said he would follow up.

Director Harvey asked if the District had a fund to pay for items like the camera or if it would become a line item on the budget? Mr. Schultz said there was no fund. Mr. Mitchell would provide an update at the April meeting.

B. Contemporary Water Quality Assessment (This item was discussed prior to Item A.)

An update on the District's Contemporary Water Quality Assessment was presented by Dr. Chandra, PhD, Limnologist from UNR's Global Water Center. A copy of Dr. Chandra's slide presentation has been attached to the minutes.

Dr. Chandra said he would be summarizing some of the major points of the progress made over the last six months. He also said the project was moved from the graduate student to staff to move forward with the project in a timelier manner with no effect on the deliverables.

Dr. Chandra said there had been a lot of change in climate since the early historical setting of the 1990s. He also said snow pack would drive the type of dynamics in the lake. He noted the following:

- There had been slight increases in the air temperatures from 0.4 to about 1°C. The information would be broken down by seasons in the report.
- Historical data showed that there were big movements of water every five years.
- In November 2021, a high-resolution contour map of the lakes was developed. Director Jackson asked if there was a comparison to the historical bathometric map. Dr. Chandra said a comparison was not done but if the Board wanted, they could try to print out the old map and do an overlay; the old map was not high resolution, it was course, making it hard to see changes. Director Jackson said she had several members of the community express their feelings about how shallow the swim lake had gotten. Mr. Schultz said Dowl might be able to help with a comparison.
- It was noted that Dulzura lake was gradually decreasing its clarity to 2.8 meters in the summer/early fall and Serena took a step wide shift of 2.9 meters from the mid-summer. Clarity overall was less all year round than the historical data from August 1990.

- Algal growth increased to 2.5 to 3 meters below the surface by August/September showing that algae growth within the system dominated below the surface; very common for mountain lakes.
- Summer clarity readings in both lakes had decreased since 1990 and a comparison of nutrient data from August 1990 and August 2021 showed that nutrients were currently lower than the1990 levels. It was suspected that a different measuring method was used in 1990 and suggested that the current data be used as the baseline.
- Serena had higher algal concentration in shallow water where Dulzura had higher concentration in deeper water showing the algal balance was different in each lake. The higher algal mass in the depth was possibly due to plants.
- Serena and Dulzura were classic mountain lakes, largely limited by nitrogen and phosphorus, not nitrogen only. Nitrogen sources include snow pack, rain and possibly groundwater or leaking wells, which could stimulate growth. Landscape was the source of phosphorus; largely from materials eroding from the soils or interim mixing in the lakes from sediments. By controlling phosphorus, the algae bloom could be controlled. This information would be used for developing Best Management Practices for water quality.
- Accounting for the major smoke impact would help determine the effects of wild fires on the lake systems. The miniDOT sensors showed that oxygen levels significantly declined as soon as fire season started.

Director Jackson said she and Mr. Baird had been doing some investigation into cyanobacteria and asked if the slide referring to bacteria growth referred to bacterial from decaying plant matter or could it include cyanobacteria? Dr. Chandra said cyanobacteria was not a true bacterium but the green part of the graphs would be what the cyanobacteria would be picking up. The actual composition of cyanobacteria would not be collected but the blooming of oxygen would provide a sign of cyanobacteria. The black parts of the graph showed the bacteria that eats the organic matter produced from the algae.

Dr. Chandra said if the Board was interested or worried about cyanobacteria blooms there were two things he would recommend for monitoring. First, the already purchased oxygen and temperature probes could be used for continuous monitoring and the purchase of an optical probe, at a cost of \$5,000.00 to \$8,000.00, could measure both cyanobacteria and chlorophyll.

Director Jackson said she had a question about the fish stocking memo. She said it sounded like fish could both increase potential algae growth by defecating and decrease algae growth by consuming algae. Dr. Chandra said fish could stimulate growth of algae and it was common in mountain lakes. He said they found that 36% of the nutrients in a lake they studied near Mt. Shasta came from fish excretions. He also said overstocking a lake could stimulate algae growth when there were too many fish eating too much of the plankton that eats the algae. Dr. Chandra said the intent of his letter was to explain that both things could happen and that they noticed the planting of fish doubled. Director Jackson asked why should there be any stocking of fish. Dr. Chandra said fish could be eating certain types of the zooplankton and could enhance the other zooplankton that graze on algae. There was a nuance that was hard to predict without enough data and recommended monitoring for a couple years before making a drastic change.

Director Jackson said he had previously mentioned the aquatic plants and asked if he had a sense of how to monitor the growth of the plants. Dr. Chandra said his recommendation would be to keep the map as a base map and measure the extent of the area over time instead of measuring the actual plants for growth. He could provide a method that would put a rake in the water to get the composition. He also said it was a great water year to monitor plants with all the water coming in.

Mr. Baird said he saw the graduate student collecting more samples in 2022 and asked if data was available and if a comparison to 2021 would be done. Dr. Chandra said, by contract, they were not slated to do a comparison. He said most likely they would plot the data to look at it together but would probably not run the models due to the expense.

Dr. Chandra said Mr. Drosd made a great point. The number of fish stocked was one thing but the actual density or populations didn't matter because the fish were sterile and would deplete over time. He said they wouldn't be able to determine the depletion rate without either putting nets out or having a group of avid fishermen keep detailed records.

### C. General Manager Recruitment

The Board discussed the status of the General Manager Recruitment. Director Harvey provided a summary of the steps taken to date from notification of Mr. Schultz's desire to retire, selection of a recruiting firm and identifying individuals for interview. Mr. Niemeyer, CPS HR, Consulting, provided a summary of the process they took to identify possible candidates. He said they identified 35 candidates, screened 13 and selected nine to answer additional questions. The plan was to hold initial video screening calls with the top candidates in the next couple weeks followed by recommendations to the Board for interviews. Director Jackson said the hope was to hold interviews with three to five candidates at the April, 13, 2023, meeting. Director Harvey said the candidates were a mixture of highly educated professional engineers, people who came up through the ranks, a few that were local and some that would need to relocate to be within an hour of the District.

D. <u>Walter Dahl request to hold and event:</u> Mr. Dahl's letter requesting that his prior request to hold an event on District property be rescinded was presented to the Board. Not action was needed.

E. <u>Fire Hydrant Snow Removal</u>: The Board discussed a possible fire hydrant snow removal program. Mr. Baird said he spoke to the Battalion Chief after an incident where a propane tank exploded. He said he was told by the Chief that it would take them one to two hours to dig out a hydrant. He also told the Chief that the District always kept a hydrant at Station #3 and another by the District office cleared. Mr. Baird said he contacted a couple contractors in the area and was told that it would cost \$600 to \$1,000 per/hydrant per/mobilization for seasonal snow removal. He said most snow removal companies would not clear snow from hydrants due to the liability. The Board agreed that the cost was more than feasible.

Mr. Baird said there was a 2006 agreement between both Water Districts, Fire Districts, Nevada and Placer Counties and LAFCO that was signed when Truckee Fire Protection District took over Donner Summit Fire District. He said, since the agreement was signed, this was the first year Truckee Fire did not dig out fire hydrants. He also said Donner Summit PUD was having the same issue. Mr. Schultz said because LAFCO was a part of the agreement there was a chance for resolution. Mr. Baird said Donner Summit PUD provided Truckee Fire with a copy of the agreement. Mr. Schultz said staff would follow up and report back in April or May.

F. Director Jackson presented additional information regarding the novel constituents and contaminants in raw water. She said she had numerous conversations with Mr. Baird and met with people from the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment that was connected to a large State program "California Harmful Algal Bloom Program". She said, through that program there was a mitigation subcommittee that she and Mr. Baird met with. She also said she and Mr. Baird would be having another meeting with them now that they had an update on the Contemporary Water Quality report. Director Jackson said her recommendation was to continue to learn about the parameters, what could be done to visually monitor and possible mitigation practices that were not really costly or possibly free. She wanted to learn more and report the information to the Board. She also said Trish La Notte, who presented at the last meeting, was continuing to fund raise and advance her study and wanted to include Serene Lakes in their sampling plan. She was looking to collaborate with Ms. La Notte.

Director Jackson said there was not registry for ALS but she had a list of eight people and Trish had a list of about 15. She said the incident rate of those number were about four times the norm for the population based on her very rough calculation and there was really no one to help crunch the numbers. Mr. Schultz said on extremely rare occurrences, like ALS, being four times over wasn't really that indicative without further analysis. He also said Director Jackson was doing a lot of work on her own as a committee of one and wanted to make sure it was acceptable to the Board. He didn't want to end up with unintended consequences; if we find them we will have to act. The consensus of the Board was in support of Director Jackson's work.

Director Jackson said her profession was working with toxins and there was a class of PFOS chemicals (Teflon), also known as forever chemicals because they do not degrade in the environment. She said many water agencies across the country, who have adopted Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL) for drinking water, had been treating to remove PFAS. She also said the EPA just published a draft MCL and, if adopted, the District would have to monitor and treat if found. She mentioned it for consideration when discussing the budget. She also said sampling would cost \$400 to \$500 and might be good information to have for the Master Plan. Mr. Schultz said they could generally design the process without sampling the water. Mr. Mitchell said there was no requirement to test and if you test and find something there would be someone who would want something done. Testing does not legally obligate action but might attract pressure from the community to act. Director Jackson proposed taking two samples; one raw water and on treated water. Mr. Baird said he ordered the bottles from the lab but needed a sampling plan. Mr. Schultz said UNR already had a sampling plan and he would see if he could get it.

Director Heald said, from a philosophical perspective, she agreed with Director Jackson that it would be good to know to help plan for the future. She also said she agreed with Director Harvey that a sampling plan was required along with an estimated cost.

Mr. Baird was asked to obtain a sampling plan and develop a cost estimate to be presented at the next Board meeting.

# VIII. New Business:

A. The Board discussed the first draft of the 2023/2024 Budget. Mr. Schultz said, in response to Director Jackson's questions, the current year, 2022/2023, had an estimated deficit of \$104,000.00 and the first draft of the 2023/2024 budget had an estimated deficit of \$161,500.00. He said he met with Mr. Baird to work through some of the operational costs and anticipated repair work and determined that things were not going in the right direction. He also said the deficit for the current year was due to Donner Summit PUD's inaccurate budget that wasn't provided until August, after the District's budget was adopted was off by about \$400,000.00 resulting in a cost to the District of \$161,000.

Mr. Schultz said he looked and confirmed that the District's reserves were just over \$1,000,000.00. Director Jackson had asked him what the industry best practices were for reserves and he said the District did not currently have a policy. However, in December, Mr. Van Dyne presented information about the types and amounts of reserves the District should have. Mr. Schultz said allocating about \$600,000.00 as Operations Reserves and 10% for debt reserve, there would still be about \$400,000.00 to \$500,000.00 left in the reserves to cover the deficit. He said the Board could borrow from reserves and send out a supplemental bill or pay the deficit from the reserves and wait until October when the Master Plan should be completed and set a new rate for 2024/2025.

Director Jackson said she talked to Cindy Gustafson, who was the General Manager for Tahoe City but was now the County Supervisor, who said it had been a long time between rate increases for Tahoe City and they decided on an incremental rate increase over time. Mr. Schultz said that was Dowl's recommendation.

Director Keatley said there was enough information now to know that a rate increase was needed. Mr. Schultz said Mr. Mitchell would need to do an analysis of the rate increase letter that he sent him to make sure it was in compliance with Proposition 218 requirements. He also said a rate increase due to "pass through" costs may not be subject to the Proposition 218 process. Mr. Mitchell said the analogy he gave Mr. Schultz was, if PG&E passed on a power rate increase, there was a school of thought that the cost could be passed on without a Proposition 218 process. He said the other piece was that a rate study would not be needed to deal with specific external increases.

Mr. Baird said, to go through a rate increase this year would be extra work since the following year another rate increase would be needed. Mr. Schultz would work with Mr. Mitchell on a rate increase for 2023/2024.

### B. Administration:

A. The Follow Up items from the February 2023 meeting were presented to the Board. The fire hydrant map was provided to the Board.

B. There were no changes made to the Status of Action Items list. Mr. Schultz said the Master Plan was currently in production, on schedule and within budget.

# A. **CLOSED SESSION:**

- 1. Public Employment (GOVT CODE §54957): Title: General Manager Appointment General Manager Position
- Conference with Real Property Negotiator (GOVT CODE §54956.8): Negotiating Parties: Paul Schultz, George Carmen Property: 7607 Yuba Dr Under Negotiation: Lease Terms

Mr. Mitchell reported out of Closed Session. He said he met with the Board in Closed Session for the two items identified on the agenda and no reportable action was taken

### B. Adjournment

A motion was made by Director Heald and seconded by Director Jackson to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed by a unanimous rollcall vote: Ayes: Directors Stockton, Harvey, Heald, Jackson and Keatley.

The minutes were approved at the Regular Meeting held on April 13, 2023, as part of the Consent Items Calendar. A motion was made by Director \_\_\_\_\_\_ and seconded by Director \_\_\_\_\_\_ to approve the minutes of the March 23, 2023; Special Meeting as presented. The motion passed by a rollcall vote: Ayes: Directors \_\_\_\_\_\_

### Sierra Lakes County Water District Check Registers March 2023

2 · DEMAND A	RECONCILIATION:	
3/1/2023	Beginning Cash Balance	563,268.41
51112025	Deposits	82,724.38
	Property Taxes Received	62,724.36
	CSCDA Water 93A & B Surplus	77,535.98
	Deposit - Interest	48.10
	Assessments transferred to Assessment District	
	Transfer From Placer County Treasurer's Investment Account	
0010000	DEMAND ACCOUNT DISBURSEMENTS:	(397,870.37)
3/31/2023	Ending Cash Balance	325,706.50
· GASB 45-OI	PEB Account - Flow through account to LAIF	
	RECONCILIATION:	
3/1/2023	Beginning Cash Balance	163.16
	Deposit - Interest	
	Funds Transferred To/From Investment Account	
3/31/2023	Ending Cash Balance	163.16
3/1/2023 3/31/2023	<u>RECONCILIATION:</u> Beginning Cash Balance Deposit - Interest Funds Transferred To/From Investment Account Ending Cash Balance	1,023,105.39 1,811.92 
al Area Investm	ent Fund (LAIF) - for Unfunded OPEB Liabilities	
	RECONCILIATION:	
3/1/2023	Beginning Cash Balance	867,081.09
	Deposit - Interest	
2/21/2022	Funds Transferred To/From Investment Account - Annual OPEB Funding	0(7.001.00
3/31/2023	Ending Cash Balance	867,081.09
name of Distance	2011.01	
essment Distric		
1.2.1	RECONCILIATION:	
essment District	RECONCILIATION: Beginning Cash Balance	617,497.78
1.2.1	RECONCILIATION: Beginning Cash Balance Assessments Received	-
essment District 3/1/2023	RECONCILIATION: Beginning Cash Balance	617,497.78 - 44.66 (94,646.14)

9:04 AM 07/08/22 Accrual Basis

## Sierra Lakes County Water District Check Registers March 2023

Туре	Date Num	Name	Memo	Amount
1002 · US Bank - Demand		Station and the	Construction of the second	
Bill Pmt -Check	03/28/2023 032823	USDA Rural Development	Semi Annual Interest Payment \$5.2 mil Rev Bond	(213,400.12)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8313	Donner Summit Public Utility District	Mar 2023 WWT fees	(42,191.00)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8314	Dowl	Misc. Sewer Pump Stations upgrades, Master Utility Plan & task orders	(12,897.25)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/10/2023 031023	Paul A. Schultz, P.E. (Corp)	February 2023 Professional Fees	(11,550.00)
Liability Check	03/16/2023	QuickBooks Payroll Service	Created by Payroll Service on 03/15/2023	(9,411.89)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8326	WECO Industries	GapVac repair parts	(8,677.92)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 030623	Anna M Nickerson LLC	Feb 2023 Professional Fees	(8,600.00)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 MED03012	3 Public Employees' Retirement System (Med)	Medical - 1347	(8,548.84)
Liability Check		QuickBooks Payroll Service	Created by Payroll Service on 03/02/2023	(8,041.39)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8312	CPS HR Consulting	GM Recruiting	(7,000.00)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/20/2023 8332-8336	Pacific Gas & Electric	Electricity	(6,012.09)
Liability Check	03/31/2023 E-pay	Internal Revenue Service	PR Taxes: 94-1619513 QB Tracking # -49008510	(5,390.48)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/20/2023 032023	Anna M Nickerson LLC	Professional Fees 3/1/23 to 3/15/23	(5,040.00)
Liability Check	03/15/2023 E-pay	Internal Revenue Service	PR Taxes: 94-1619513 QB Tracking # 69132886	(4,872.04)
Check	03/02/2023	BluePay	Merchant Fees	(4,784.53)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/20/2023 8337	Pape Machinery	Backhoe repair parts	(3,893.13)
Liability Check	03/02/2023 E-pay	Internal Revenue Service	PR Taxes: 94-1619513 OB Tracking # -357454114	(3,872.62)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8311	Board of Regents - UNR	Contemporary Water Quality Report	(3,850.80)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8316	Kronick Moskovitz Tiedemann & Girard	Jan 2022 Legal Fees	(3,711.13)
Liability Check	03/06/2023 RET022023	Public Employees' Retirement System (Ret)	Retirement - 1347	(3,450.24)
	03/06/2023 8320	Patterson Fire Protection Systems Inc.	Backflow Test & Repairs	(2,435.00)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8324	Thatcher Company, Inc.	Filter Plant Chemicals	(2,328.63)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/20/2023 8339	Suburban Propane	Propane - 7305 Short Rd	(2,153.39)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/20/2023 8329	Dowl	Utility Master Plan & General Services	(1,793.75)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8319	Pacific Gas & Electric	Electricity	(1,573.79)
Liability Check	03/31/2023 E-pay	Employment Development Department	PR Taxes: 499-0546-6 QB Tracking # -49027510	(1,296.98)
	03/07/2023 21737	Leo Hanley	Replace reimbursement check 21721	(1,246.00)
	03/20/2023 8340	U.S. Bank (CC)	Staff Training, Internet, Small Tools & Gas \$805.00	(1,243.81)
Liability Check	03/15/2023 E-pay	Employment Development Department	PR Taxes: 499-0546-6 QB Tracking # 69110886	(1,142.99)
	03/02/2023 E-pay	Employment Development Department	PR Taxes: 499-0546-6 QB Tracking # -357476114	(863.55)
Liability Check	the second se	QuickBooks Payroll Service	Created by Payroll Service on 03/27/2023	(777.29)
Bill Pmt -Check	03/20/2023 8331	Kronick Moskovitz Tiedemann & Girard	Feb 2022 Legal Fees	(740.25)
	03/06/2023 8323	Tahoe Truckee Sierra Disposal Co., Inc.	Trash	(662.48)
	03/20/2023 1122-07R	BERGMANN, KENNETH A	Bounced Check# 57127270	(623.00)
	03/20/2023 1122-08	GOLDSTEIN, AMY	Bounced Check# 1341	(623.00)
	03/27/2023 E-pay	Internal Revenue Service	PR Taxes: 94-1619513 QB Tracking # -141418510	(474.66)
	03/20/2023 8330	Flyers Energy, LLC	Gasoline/Diesel	(463.55)
	03/06/2023 8315	Industrial Scientific Corp	iNet Monthly Usage Fee w/AutoReplinish Plus	(394.34)
	03/06/2023 8321	Placer County Human Resources	Mar 2023 Dental/Vision Premium	
	03/20/2023 8338	Pitney Bowes	Qtrly Postage Meter Lease	(285.11) (261.85)
	03/06/2023 8322	Syndeo LLC Broadvoice	Telephone	(201.85)
	03/06/2023 8327	Western Environmental Testing Laboratory	Filter Plant Testing	(231.97)
Check	03/20/2023	Placer Co Tax Collector	2062 Serene Rd Prop Tax	
	03/06/2023 8318	Napa Sierra	Generator	(152.88)
	03/06/2023 8310	AT&T	Telephone	(125.52)
Storing -Cheek	001004040 0010		receptione	(124.05)

9:04 AM 07/08/22 Accrual Basis

### 1002 · US Bank - Demand

### Sierra Lakes County Water District Check Registers March 2023

1004	· US Dank - Demanu				
	Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8328	USA Blue Book	Paint	(107.67)
	Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8325	U.S. Bank (CC)	Staff Training, Internet, Small Tools & Gas \$805.00	(107.67)
	Bill Pmt -Check	03/20/2023 8341	Verizon Wireless	Cell Phone and iPad	(94.65)
	Liability Check	03/27/2023 E-pay	Employment Development Department	PR Taxes: 499-0546-6 QB Tracking # -141451510	(67.50)
	Check	03/15/2023		Service Charge	(57.11)
	Check	03/06/2023	BluePay	Merchant Fees	(7.80)
	Bill Pmt -Check	03/06/2023 8317	Mountain Hardware	Shovel	(4.31)
	Check	03/03/2023	QuickBooks Payroll Service	Created by Direct Deposit Service on 03/02/2023	(1.75)
	Check	03/09/2023	QuickBooks Payroll Service	Created by Direct Deposit Service on 03/08/2023	(1.75)
	Check	03/17/2023	QuickBooks Payroll Service	Created by Direct Deposit Service on 03/16/2023	(1.75)
	Check	03/22/2023	BluePay	Merchant Fees	(0.75)
	Paycheck	03/06/2023 DD1089	Brian Lundgren	Direct Deposit: Pay Period Ending 2/28/23	-
	Paycheck	03/06/2023 DD1090	Matthew M Marriner	Direct Deposit: Pay Period Ending 2/28/23	
	Paycheck	03/06/2023 DD1091	Patrick J Baird	Direct Deposit: Pay Period Ending 2/28/23	
	Paycheck	03/17/2023 DD1093	Matthew M Marriner	Direct Deposit: Pay Period Ending 3/15/23	
	Paycheck	03/17/2023 DD1094	Patrick J Baird	Direct Deposit: Pay Period Ending 3/15/23	-
	Paycheck	03/17/2023 DD1092	Brian Lundgren	Direct Deposit: Pay Period Ending 3/15/23	-
	Paycheck	03/29/2023 DD1095	Cynthia J Jackson {Salary}	Direct Deposit: 3/23/23 Special Meeting	
	Paycheck	03/29/2023 DD1096	Dan L Stockton {Salary}	Direct Deposit: 3/23/23 Special Meeting	- <del>-</del> -
	Paycheck	03/29/2023 DD1097	David M Keatley {Salary}	Direct Deposit: 3/23/23 Special Meeting	- <u>-</u>
	Paycheck	03/29/2023 DD1098	Jon Harvey {Salary}	Direct Deposit: 3/23/23 Special Meeting	-
	Paycheck	03/29/2023 DD1099	Karen Heald {Salary}	Direct Deposit: 3/23/23 Special Meeting	
Total	1002 · US Bank - Der	mand			(397,870.37)

TOTAL

Page 18 of 87

(397,870.37)

7:33 AM

# Sierra Lakes County Water District Director's Payroll Summary

March 2023

04/06/23

	Cynthia J Jackson {Sala	Dan L Stockton {Salary}	David M Keatley {Salary}	Jon Harvey {Salary}	Karen Heald {Salary}	TOTAL
Employee Wages, Taxes and Adjust Gross Pay						
Salary Director	180.00	180.00	180.00	180.00	180.00	900.00
Total Gross Pay	180.00	180.00	180.00	180.00	180.00	900.00
Adjusted Gross Pay	180.00	180.00	180.00	180.00	180.00	900.00
Taxes Withheld						
Federal Withholding	-166.23	-36.00	0.00	0.00	-134.73	-336.96
Medicare (Employee)	-2.61	-2.61	-2.61	-2.61	-2.61	-13.05
Social Security (Employee)	-11.16	-11.16	-11.16	-11.16	-11.16	-55.80
State Withholding	0.00	-36.00	0.00	0.00	-31.50	-67.50
Medicare Employee Addl Tax	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Taxes Withheld	-180.00	-85.77	-13.77	-13.77	-180.00	-473.31
Additions to Net Pay						
<b>Director Mileage Reimbursement</b>	0.00	196.50	0.00	0.00	144.10	340.60
Mileage Reimbursement	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Additions to Net Pay	0.00	196.50	0.00	0.00	144.10	340.60
Net Pay	0.00	290.73	166.23	166.23	144.10	767.29
Employer Taxes and Contributions						
Medicare (District)	2.61	2.61	2.61	2.61	2.61	13.05
Social Security (District)	11.16	11.16		11.16	11.16	55.80
Total Employer Taxes and Contribu	13.77	13.77	13.77	13.77	13.77	68.85

# MEMORANDUM

TO:	Honorable Board of Directors, Sierra Lakes County Water District
FROM:	Anna Nickerson
RE:	March 2023, Financial Reports Summary
DATE:	April 3, 2023

In a meeting with Mr. Schultz about the budget, we agreed to adjust the Estimated Actual Costs for unused budget amounts associated with Operating Projects. Also in March, the District received an unexpected payment in the amount \$77,535.98 for CSCDA Water Rev Ref Bonds 1993B. There was no reference to these bonds in our files but learned from Robert Johnson Accountancy that other water received fund as well. With these changes, we are anticipating that Fiscal Year 2022/2023 end with a slight surplus.

### Sierra Lakes County Water District Operating Budget-to-Actual Fiscal Year July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023

		MONTH	TO DATE			YEAR T	O DATE		ANNUAL BUDGET			
	Month End 3/31/23	Budget	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% of Budget	YEAR TO DATE	Budget	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% of Budget	FY 22-23 Budget	% of Budg		
Water Sewer Revenues					1.46							
8000-01 · Annual Water Fees	76,082	72,558	3,524	105%	656,546	653,022	3,524	101%	870,696	75%		
8000-02 · Annual Sewer Fees	105,523	100,636	4,887	105%	910,611	905,724	4,887	101%	1,207,632	75%		
8030 · Property Taxes	100,020	100,050	4,007	0%	351,958	275,000	76,958	128%	450,000	75%		
8050 · Customer Late Fees	2,248	1,625	623	138%	6,470	4,875	1,595	133%		100%		
8005 · Primary Facilities Fees - Sewer	2,240	1,025	023	0%			1,595		6,500			
8006 · Primary Facilities Fees - Water		-			23,400	23,400		100%	23,400	100%		
8052 · GAPVAX Services			-	0%	5,475	5,475		100%	5,475	100%		
	-	-		0%	1.	12.00	2.024	0%	-	0%		
8020 · Other Income 8032 · Other - CSCDA Water Rev Bonds 1993B	1,860 77,536	834	1,026	223%	38,990 77,536	7,500	31,490	520%	10,000	0%		
Total Revenues Received:	263,249	175,653	10,060	150%	2,070,987	1,874,996	118,455	110%	2,573,703	80%		
ontrollable Expenses:				The second		1000000						
Salaries:												
9001 · Director Salaries	900	1,800	900	50%	10,620	16,200	5,580	66%	21,600	49%		
9003 · Maintenance Salaries	200	1,000	900	0%	10,020	10,200	3,380	0%	21,000	49%		
9003-01 - Maint Hourly Regular	26,129	27,080	951	96%	231,293	243,750	12,457	95%	325,000	71%		
9003-02 - Maint Overtime	3,165	1,250	(1,915)	253%	8,435	11,250	2,815	75%	15,000	56%		
9003-03 - Maint Standby	2,180	2,180	(1,215)	100%	19,880	19,880	2,015	100%	26,360	75%		
9003-00 - Maint Salaries - Other	2,100	2,100		0%	19,000	19,880		0%	15,000	0%		
9003-04 - Labor Allocated to Projects	<ol> <li>Inter 5 (20)</li> </ol>			0%	(3,473)		3,473	100%	13,000	0%		
Total 9000 · Salaries	32,374	32,310	(64)	100%	266,755	291,080	24,325	92%	402,960	66%		
Payroll Expense									100400.1			
9005 · Payroll Expense - SS & Medicare	2,477	2,474	(3)	100%	20,672	22,264	1,591	93%	29,685	70%		
9007 · Payroll Expense- SUI & ETT	-,	685	685	0%	354	1,895	1,541	19%	3,950	9%		
9008 · Payroll Expense - Retirement	1,952	2,280	328	86%	23,312	20,514	(2,798)	114%	27,352	85%		
9009 · Payroll Expense - Medical & D/V	8,834	8,349	(485)	106%	77,980	75,143	(2,837)	104%	100,191	78%		
9010 · Payroll Expense - Workers' Comp	4,073	5,125	1,052	79%	12,376	15,375	2,999	80%	20,500	60%		
Total 9004 · Payroll Expense	17,336	18,913	1,577	92%	134,694	135,191	497	100%	181,678	74%		
Indirect & G&A								10070	,			
9012 · Legal Expense:	740	3,750	3,010	20%	17,404	33,750	16,346	52%	45,000	39%		
9013 · Audit Expense	-	-	-	0%	17,850	17,850	-	100%	17,850	100%		
9014 · Fees & Penalties	89	150	61	59%	1,043	1,350	307	77%	1,800	58%		
9016 · Directors' Expense	341	834	493	41%	2,236	7,500	5,264	30%	10,000	22%		
9017 · Professional Fees - Operations	11,900	22,084	10,184	54%	157,938	198,750	40,813	79%	265,000	60%		
9018 · Professional Fees - Office	9,648	11,440	1,792	84%	87,080	102,960	15,880	85%	137,280	63%		
9019 · Staff Travel/Training	449	416	(33)	108%	2,647	3,750	1,103	71%	5,000	53%		
9022 · Election Expense		-		0%	100	2,000	(100)	5%	2,000	0%		
9023 · Insurance Expense			1.0	0%	27,026	24,495	(2,531)	110%	25,395	106%		
9024 · Membership Expense		200	200	0%	16,919	20,390	3,471	83%	20,390	83%		
9026 · Outside Services		284	284	0%	8,240	2,550	(5,690)	323%	3,400	242%		
9028 · Telephone Expense	583	1,087	504	54%	7,006	9,783	2,777	72%	13,044	54%		
9029 · Garbage/Hazmat Expense	331	459	128	72%	3,773	4,125	352	91%	5,500	69%		
9030 · Uniform Expense	Contraction of the second	200	200	0%	950	2,100	1,150	45%	3,000	32%		

### Sierra Lakes County Water District Operating Budget-to-Actual Fiscal Year July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023

		MONTH	TO DATE			YEAR T	O DATE	-	ANNUAL	BUDGET
	Month End 3/31/23	Budget	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% of Budget	YEAR TO DATE	Budget	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% of Budget	FY 22-23 Budget	% of Budge
9034 · Propane Expense	2,153	1,183	(970)	182%	3,785	10,648	6,863	36%	14,198	27%
9036 · SCADA System Expense	1,610		(1,610)	100%	4,320	2,500	(1,820)	173%	5,000	86%
9037 · M&O Asset Mgmt Sys (Lucity)		÷		0%	3,750	4,000	250	94%	4,000	94%
9040 · Office Expense	67	250	183	27%	713	2,250	1,537	32%	3,000	24%
9041 · Postage Expense		-		0%	185	1,000	815	19%	2,000	9%
9042 · Postage Meter Expense	1.	÷ .	÷	0%	786	975	189	81%	1,300	60%
9043 · Copier & Fax Expense	68	79	11	86%	364	712	348	51%	950	38%
9044 · Computer Equipment & Service					Mark Land					
9044-01 · General Expense	1,012	1,919	907	53%	2,715	17,272	14,557	16%	23,029	12%
9044-02 · Website Design		- AC	-	0%		2,250	2,250	0%	3,000	0%
9044-03 · Merchant Fees	4,793	1,000	(3,793)	479%	28,209	28,050	(159)	101%	33,296	85%
Total 9011 · Indirect & G&A	33,785	45,335	11,550	75%	395,038	501,010	105,972	79%	644,432	61%
MAINTENANCE & OPERATIONS				1000	1.1					
Water Treatment & Filter Plant										
9101 · Filter Plant Operations & Maint	1 A .	666	666	0%	971	6,000	5,029	16%	8,000	12%
9102 · Filter Plant-Chems, Lab & Equip	6,511	2,500	(4,011)	260%	30,704	22,500	(8,204)	136%	30,000	102%
9103 · Filter -Water Pumping Plant M&O		84	84	0%	-	750	750	0%	1,000	0%
9104 · Well Pump Station Expense		834	834	0%	1.00	7,500	7,500	0%	10.000	0%
Total 9100 · Water Treatment & Filter Plant	6,511	4,084	(2,427)	159%	31,675	36,750	5,075	86%	49,000	65%
Water Distribution										
9201 · Water Dist - General Maint		834	834	0%	12,212	7,500	(4,712)	163%	10,000	122%
9202 · Water Dist - Pipes & Fittings		166	166	0%		1,500	1,500	0%	2,000	0%
9203 · Water Dist - Hydrant Maint		166	166	0%	3,194	1,500	(1,694)	213%	2,000	160%
9204 · Fees - Water	3,829	3,000	(829)	128%	14,526	13,330	(1,196)	109%	15,330	95%
9205 · Water Dist - Electricity	4,608	3,334	(1,274)	138%	31,911	30,000	(1,911)	106%	40,000	80%
9600 · Water Dist - Meters/Parts	1	166	166	0%	5,946	1,500	(4,446)	396%	2,000	297%
9601 · Water Conservation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	84	84	0%		750	750	0%	1,000	0%
Total 9200 · Water Distribution	8,437	7,750	(687)	109%	67,788	56,080	(11,708)	121%	72,330	94%
Wastewater Collection System 9301 · Wastewater - General Maint		447	-	0.04	2.022					
		416	416	0%	7,879	3,750	(4,129)	210%	5,000	158%
9302 · Wastewater - Pipes/Fittings		84	84	0%		750	750	0%	1,000	0%
9303 · Wastewater- Enzymes/Lab Testing		334	334	0%	-	3,000	3,000	0%	4,000	0%
9304 · Wastewater - Manholes		-		0%	-	500	500	0%	500	0%
9305 · Fees - Sewer				0%	5,786	4,788	(998)	121%	7,500	77%
9306 · Wastewater - Electricity	3,832	2,084	(1,748)	184%	17,874	18,750	876	95%	25,000	71%
9700-01 · Wastewater Export Service Exp/(Refund)	116,605	-	(116,605)	100%	166,856		(166,856)	100%	000	August -
9700 · Wastewater- Export Service Exp Total 9300 · Wastewater Collection System	42,191	33,393	(8,798) (126,317)	<u>126%</u> 448%	379,719 578,113	300,537	(79,182) (246,038)	126%	400,715 443,715	95% 130%
Vehicle Expense						1000	an albu		-visting	
9501 · Gasoline/Diesel	1,128	1,500	372	75%	15,239	13,500	(1 720)	1120/	10 000	OF0/
9502 · Pickups	1,120	1,500	572	0%			(1,739)	113%	18,000	85%
9502 · Fickups 9503 · Gapvac		291	- 291	0%	5,392	3,000	(2,392)	180%	3,000	180%
Jour Gaprac		291	291	0%	8,678	2,625	(6,053)	331%	3,500	248%

### Sierra Lakes County Water District **Operating Budget-to-Actual** Fiscal Year July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023

		MONTH	TO DATE			YEAR T	O DATE		ANNUAL I	BUDGET
	Month End 3/31/23	Budget	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% of Budget	YEAR TO DATE	Budget	Favorable / (Unfavorable)	% of Budget	FY 22-23 Budget	% of Budge
9504 · Backhoe	3,893	166	(3,727)	2,345%	3,912	1,500	(2,412)	261%	2,000	196%
9505 · Vehicle Maint Supplies		291	291	0%	1,731	2,625	894	66%	3,500	49%
Total 9500 · Vehicle Expense	5,021	2,248	(2,773)	223%	34,953	23,250	(11,703)	150%	30,000	117%
Project Expenses					1912		- A.			
9811 · Replace Sewer Mainline	-		¥.	0%	1,274	-	(1,274)	100%		0%
9812 · Spot Repairs of Sewer Mainline & Laterals				0%	6,654	-	(6,654)	100%		#DIV/01
9813 · Repair of Sewer Manholes at Various Locations	1.4		20	0%	264	2	(264)	100%	1. 2.	#DIV/0!
9814 · Adj Sewer Manholes to Grade	1.1	÷.	1	0%			(,	0%	G	0%
9815 · Misc Sewer Pump Station Upgrade				0%	5,442	10,000	4,558	54%	10,000	0%
9817 · TV Gravity Sewer System			C	0%	5,112	10,000	1,000	0%	15,000	0%
9818 · Misc Water System Improvements			20	0%	64,679	3,000	(61,679)	2,156%	5,000	1294%
이상 것은 것 같은	-	-	-	0%	04,079			0%		0%
9819 · Adj Water Valve Boxes to Street Grade		-	-	0%	2 204	3,000	3,000		5,000	
9820 · Misc Upgrades Water Pump Stations	-	-			2,394	-	(2,394)	100%	-	#DIV/0!
9821 · Automatic Meter Read System	659	834	175	79%	11,131	7,500	(3,631)	148%	10,000	111%
9822 · Misc Jobs - Safety Tools Bldgs	5,274	5,291	17	100%	16,760	47,625	30,865	35%	63,500	26%
9824 - Lake Management			-	0%	5,759		(5,759)	100%		0%
9825 · HOTFaP	1.2.2			0%	7,500	2,500	(5,000)	300%	2,500	0%
9826 · Contemporary Water Quality	10,253	5,399	(4,854)	190%	4,586	48,589	44,003	9%	64,785	
9827 · Disctrict Engineer Services	1,794	12,500	10,706	14%	76,661	112,500	35,839	68%	150,000	
9915 · Mise. Projects		834	834	0%	227	7,500	7,273	3%	10,000	
Total 9800 · Project Expenses	17,979	24,858	6,879	72%	203,332	242,214	38,882	84%	335,785	61%
Total Controllable Expenses	284,070	171,809	(112,261)	165%	1,712,348	1,617,650	(94,698)	106%	2,159,900	79%
Non-Controllable Expenses:										
9900 · Debt - Interest										
9906 · USDA Revenue Bonds	56,500	58,000	1,500	97%	113,000	113,000	(0)	100%	113,000	100%
9908 · Int on Assessment-7207 Palisade	50,500	58,000	1,500	0%	115,000	115,000	(0)	0%	245	0%
Total 9900 · Debt - Interest	56,500	58,000	1,500	97%	113,000	113,000	(0)	100%	113,245	100%
9920 · Depreciation	1.00							1.0		
9921 · Depreciation - Water	12,070	12,500	430	97%	108,629	112,500	3,871	97%	150,000	72%
				94%		the second se			10000000	
9922 · Depreciation - Sewer	9,419	10,000	581		84,771	90,000	5,229	94%	120,000	71%
Total 9920 · Depreciation	21,489	22,500	1,011	96%	193,399	202,500	9,101	96%	270,000	72%
9950 · SLCWD Share - DSPUD Capital Costs	- a -				18,054	18,000	(54)	. S.	30,000	60%
9999 · Clearing Account	1,246		(1,246)		1,246		(1,246)	100%	- Designed	100.00
Total Non-Controllable Expenses	79,235	80,500	1,265	98%	325,700	333,500	7,800	98%	413,245	79%
TOTAL DISTRICT EXPENSES:	363,305	252,309	(110,996)	144%	2,038,048	1,951,150	(86,898)	104%	2,573,145	79%
				A T T /U				10470		1270
EARNED REVENUE LESS EXPENSES	(100,056)	(76,656)	(23,400)		32,939	(76,154)	109,093		558	

# SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRIST CAPITAL PROJECTS SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR JULY 1, 2022 TO JUNE 30, 2023

	PROPOSED BUDGET	Previously Incurred Costs	Costs Incurred Current Month	Total Costs to Date	VARIANCE Favorable (Unfavorable)
CAPITAL PROJECTS IN PROGRESS:					
3101 - Spot Repairs of Sewer Mainline and Laterals	\$ 50,000	\$ 53,224	\$ -	53,224	\$ (3,224)
3103 - Repair/Replace Sewer Manholes at Various Locations	240,000	10,492		10,492	229,508
3104 - Miscellaneous Sewer Pump Station Upgrades (Rados 2022/2023 Contract \$1,164,830,000.00, Ponton Ind \$7,007.72 Farr-West \$72,280.85) Revised Estimate: \$1,244,118.57	1,000,000	1,201,031		1,201,031	(201,031)
3105 - Fire Hydrant Replacement (2022 Budgeted Project)	+			4	14
Miscellaneous Water Distribution System Improvements					
3106 - Miscellaneous Water Pump Station/Storage Improvements	87,500			-	87,500
ADDED: 3107 - EMERGENCY Water Mainline & Laterals Replacement \$1,915,641.34 (Rados \$915,641.34 plus Materials \$100,000.00)	e	980,684		980,684	(980,684)
3114 - Automatic Meter Read System	280,000	185,741		185,741	94,259
3118 - Purchase Tools/Equipment and Building Improvements	116,500				116,500
3119 - Dam Parcel & Serene Creek Acquisition		10,500	1	10,500	(10,500)
Total Capital Projects	\$ 1,774,000	\$ 2,441,672	\$ -	2,441,672	\$ (667,672)
2022/2023 Budget Adjustments: \$1,259,760	3,033,760	2,441,672	-	2,441,672	592,088

<u>P</u>	Placer County Treasury Fund	
3/1/2023	Beginning Balance	\$ 1,023,105.39
	Interest	1,811.92
7	ransfers to Operating Accunt:	
3/31/2023	Available Funds	\$ 1,024,917.31

\\SLCWD\Admin\District Files\DistrictFiles\Budget-Deposits\FYE 6-30-23\ of 87 22-23 Cap Prj to Date 6-30-2023 AMN 4/6/2023 6:25 AM

# Sierra Lakes County Water District Cash Source and Application of Funds Operating Budget in \$000's

	ACTUAL Mar-23	Forecast Mar-23	Forecast Apr-23	Forecast May-23	Forecast Jun-23	Forecast Jul-23	Forecast Aug-23	Forecast Sep-23	Forecast Oct-23	Forecast Nov-23	Forecast Dec-23	Forecast Jan-24	Forecast Feb-24
Beginning Operating Cash Balance:	563	563	326	128	250	329	459	565	388	233	268	203	449
Cash Provided/(Used) by Operations:													
Revenues:					211.00		1000	1.00	1.00	1.000		1.00	1
Sewer & Water Service Fees	83	83	73	100	108	350	350	100	60	200	100	100	100
Misc Other Income			-	10	10		1		-	-			-
Placer County Taxes	77		3	167		1	25		5	-		311	
Expenses:		-				1.55		1.1.1.1					
Operating Expenses	(184)	(184)	(271)	(155)	(155)	(170)		(165)	(165)		(165)	(165)	
Sierra Plant - Capital Projects			-			(50)	(50)	(50)	(50)		-	-	(50)
Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Operations:	(24)	(101)	(198)	122	(37)	130	160	(115)	(155)	35	(65)	246	(115)
Cash Provided/(Used) for Financing Activities	-		_				_	_					
USDA \$5.2 million Revenue Bond	(213)	(213)	-	-			-	(62)		-		-	(270)
DSPUD Shared Costs			1		(34)	-							-
Total Cash Provided/(Used) by Financing Activities:	(213)	(213)	-	-	(34)			(62)				-	(270)
Cash Provided(Used) by Investment Activities		_				1	1			-	1		1
Moved to Placer Co. Treasurer's Fund			11	-	150		-	-	1.1	4	-	1	
GASB 45 - OPEB Annual Funding	-		-	-	-	+	(54)	2	o 1 4	5	-	(	
Total Cash Provided(Used) by Investment Activities:	-	- 21	-		150		(54)		-				-
Ending <b>OPERATING ACCOUNT</b> Cash Balance:	326	249	128	250	329	459	565	388	233	268	203	449	64

### AMN 4/6/2023 6:25 AM

### SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT - FIVE YEAR CASH FLOW PROJECTION 2021/2022 - 2025/2026 in \$000's

	ACTUAL 2021/2022	FORECAST 2022/2023	Forecast 2023/2024	Forecast 2024/2025	Forecast 2025/2026
Beginning OPERATING Cash Balance:	752	629	329	8	(675)
Cash Provided/(Used) by Operations:					
Revenues:		100 C			
Water/Sewer Service Fees	2,016	2,094	2,103	2,103	2,103
Placer County Tax	517	510	510	510	510
Primary Facilities Fees		30	29	15	15
Misc Other Income: Int & Fees		77	26	0.71	100
Expenses:					
Operating Expenses	(1,848)	(2,160)	(2,757)	(2,895)	(3,040)
Sierra Plant - Capital Projects	(513)	(2,510)	(378)	(438)	(378)
Net Cash Provided/(Used) by Operations:	172	(1,959)	(467)	(705)	(790)
Cash Provided/(Used) for Financing Activities		·			
	-		1.2		÷
CA Bank & Trust Loan Principal & Interest	(58)			1.05	
USDA Revenue Bond Loan	(270)	(269)	(270)	(269)	(269)
Refunded WWTP Costs	67	(116)			
DSPUD Cost Sharing	(34)	(52)	(30)	(30)	(30)
Total Cash Provided/(Used) by Financing Activities:	(295)	(437)	(300)	(299)	(299)
Cash Provided(Used) by Investment Activities					
Total Cash Provided(Used) by Investment Activities:	1	1. T. MAN	1.5	. 200	
Moved to Placer Co. Treasurer's Fund		2,150	500	375	
Annual GASB 45 Funding - OPEB - Moved to LAIF		(54)	(54)	(54)	(53)
Total Cash Provided(Used) by Investment Activities:	-	2,096	446	321	(53)
Ending OPERATING Cash Balance:	629	329	8	(675)	(1,816)

	Mar 31, 23
SSETS	
Current Assets	
Checking/Savings	
1002 · US Bank - Demand	325,706.50
1031 · GASB 45 - OPEB ACCOUNT	163.16
1040 · Petty Cash	50.00
1061 · Placer County Tres. Fund	1,024,917.31
1062 · LAIF - OPEB Funds	867,081.09
Total Checking/Savings	2,217,918.06
Accounts Receivable	
2000 · Current Service Receivable	330,269.49
Total Accounts Receivable	330,269.49
Other Current Assets	
2015-01 · Other Accounts Receivable	8.25
2018 · Interest Receivable	2,825.53
2100 · Prepaid Insurance	4,967.25
Total Other Current Assets	7,801.03
Total Current Assets	2,555,988.58
Fixed Assets	
3000 · Water Utility Plant in Service	
3001 · Water Source of Supply (Lakes)	49,686.95
3002 · Water Pumping Plant	174,278.16
3003 · Water Filtration Plant	683,796.29
3004 · Water Distribution System	4,730,701.26
3005 · General Plant	232,794.63
3010 · Filter Plant Construction-FmHA	904,122.09
3011 · Filter Plant Mod Adm 1/2 Water	88,018.96
3012 · Wells/Post Chlorination-DWR	628,829.55
3013 · Aresnic Filter Installation	95,188.76
Total 3000 · Water Utility Plant in Service	7,587,416.65
30000 · Wastewater System Assets	
3020 · Wastewater Collection Sys	1,163,226.67
3022 · Wastewa Export Line-SWRCB Grant	1,772,116.35
3024 · Wastewater Export Project	206,448.44
3025 · Wastewater Disposal Plant	796,300.33
3026 · Parallel Sewer Force Main	217,842.37
3027 · Filter Plant Mod Adm 1/2 Sewer	88,018.96
3028 · Vehicles	358,123.54
3029 · Sewer Inspection Camera	10,427.50
Total 30000 · Wastewater System Assets	4,612,504.16
3030 · Land	
3031 · Lot K-9-1	4,538.37
3032 · Lot 117-S1	2,992.57
3033 · Lot 16-S5/6	1,638.67
3034 · Part 069-020-047	500.00

# Sierra Lakes County Water District Balance Sheet As of March 31, 2023

ar 31, 23
1,187.16
101,100.50
33,700.19
1,134.92
11,378.00
158,170.38
-3,497,696.79
-4,192,321.61
-7,690,018.40
4,668,072.79
166.10
154,166.10
379.72
39,379.72
570.84
202.94
1,468,467.90
2,616.25
77,329.34
980,684.15
269,562.17
1,531.08
10,500.00
3,004,236.71
20,150.00
82,081.00
193,996.00
260,083.00
3,560,546.71
10,784,608.08

Liabilities

	Mar 31, 23
Current Liabilities	
Accounts Payable	
4000 · Accounts Payable	169,496.78
Total Accounts Payable	169,496.78
Other Current Liabilities	
4100 · Accounts Payable - Other	16.5
5000 · Direct Deposit Liabilities	10,182.3
5001 · Accrued Taxes	
5005 · Retirement - Accrued Taxes	3,715.57
5010 · Health Ins. Deductions	0.01
Total 5001 · Accrued Taxes	3,715.5
5013 · Accrued Vacation	4,303.1
5019 · Loan Interest Payable - Accrued	29,101.0
Total Other Current Liabilities	47,318.5
Total Current Liabilities	216,815.3
Long Term Liabilities	
6000 · Debt - Principal	
6007 · USDA \$5,222,000 Revenue Bond	3,952,200.00
6008 · Assessment - 7207 Palisade	8,003.64
Total 6000 · Debt - Principal	3,960,203.6
6100 · Accrued OPEB - GASB 45	1,532,763.0
6102 · Deferred Inflows (Pensions)	288,864.0
6103 · Deferred Inflows (OPEB)	39,112.0
Total Long Term Liabilities	5,820,942.64
Total Liabilities	6,037,757.9
Equity	
7005 · Retained Earnings	4,194,329.40
Net Income	552,520.7
Total Equity	4,746,850.12
OTAL LIABILITIES & EQUITY	10,784,608.08

amn 4/6/2023 6:58 AM

# SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT LIST OF DISBURSEMENTS REQUIRING BOARD APPROVAL April 2023

Vendor	Inv # /	Invoice Amount	
Paul A. Schultz, P.E. A CA Prof Corp	Invoice #	230402	11,900.00
	Date	4/4/2023	
Fc	or: Mar 2023 Profes	ssional Fees & Reimb	ursements
Anna M Nickerson LLC	lnv#	31523	5,040.00
	Date	3/15/2023	
For	: Professional Fee	s 3/1 to 3/15/23	
	Inv#	33123	4,608.00
	Date	3/31/2023	
For	: Professional Fee	s 3/16 to 3/31/2023	
TOTAL ANNA NICKERSON LLC			9,648.00
Kronick Moskovitz Tiedemann & Girard	Inv#	307148	740.25
	Date	3/10/2023	
For	: Feb 2023 Legal F	ees	
TOTAL Kronick Moskovitz Tiedemann & Girard			740.25
Donner Summit PUD	Inv#	#01/23	116,604.63
	Date	2/22/2023	
For	: 2022 Cost Alloca	tion	
TOTAL	INVOICES FOR APP	ROVAL	138,892.88

# Paul A. Schultz, PE. **Civil and Environmental Engineering**

### A CALIFORNIA PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

7299 3rd Avenue PO Box 269 Tahoma, CA 96142 (530) 525-9347 paschultz@me.com

# **INVOICE NO. 230402**

RECEIVED APR - 5 2023

CA RCE #042917

APRIL 4, 2023

#### BILL TO DUE DATE TERMS Sierra Lakes County Water District 05/15/2023 Net 45 PO Box 1039 Soda Springs, CA 95728

HOURS	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
68.0	Professional Services for March 2023 (see detail). 68.0 hrs. overall, 39.5hrs. on-site	\$175.00/hour	\$11,900.00
6 - 100 - 10 - 100			nan ana ang p aya, ang nan ang
an (12) anns ann ann 2010 - 2010			
t i successioned and the second se	ACCOUNT NO 9017 # 11,900 CHECK NO. CK DATE	0.00	
	APPROVEO		
	Thank you for your continued trust and confidence	TOTAL DUE	\$11,900.00

# Sierra Lakes County Water District Paul A. Schultz, PE March 2023 Invoice Detail

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS
03/01/2023	Continue preparation of monthly General Manager's report. Monitor systems operations remotely. Continue preparing handoff packages for Utility Ops Manager and new GM. Review monthly water quality monitoring results. (4.0 hrs. overall, 0.0 hrs. on-site)	4.0
03/03/2023	Complete monthly GM's report with Fish Stocking letter attached. Review Utility Ops. Mgr.'s report. Review Utility Ops. Mgr.'s report regarding clearing of fire hydrants. Send Fire Camera info from B. Pelletreau to Board members. (6.0 hrs. overall, 4.0 hrs. on-site)	6.0
03/07/2023	Review and approve invoices. Add Dowl progress to tracking spreadsheet. Call J. Mitchell (KTMG) regarding new letter from Carman's attorney. Help Utility Ops. Mgr. resolve issue with annual diversions report. (6.0 hrs. overall, 4.0 hrs. on-site)	6.0
03/09/2023	Respond to Dr. Chandra regarding contract period for WQA. Begin review and update of 2023/24 Operating Budget (first cut). (6.0 hrs. overall, 4.0 hrs. on-site)	6.0
03/15/2023	Review revised agenda for 23MAR23 Board of Directors' meeting. Begin review of Operations budget w/ Utility Ops. Mgr. Discuss Electronic Annual Report, new waste discharge requirements (WDRs) for CWIQS, Placer County Air Pollution Control District permits w/ Utility Ops. Mgr. Discussion w/ J. Mitchell (KTMG) re: cyanobacteria and PFASs and District responsibilities. Add PFASs item to 23MAR2023 Board agenda. (6.0 hrs. overall, 3.5 hrs. on-site)	6.0
03/16/2023	Review and return to A. Nickerson, the draft 2023/2024 District Operating Budget less capital projects. (3.0 hrs. overall, 0.0 hrs. on-site)	3.0

# Sierra Lakes County Water District Paul A. Schultz, PE March 2023 Invoice Detail

DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS
03/20/2023	Discuss 23MAR2023 WQA presentation to the Board w/ Dr. Chandra. Review GM insurance requirements and costs for post engagement. Vote for USA Pelican Governing Board. Prepare responses to Dir. Jackson re: budget deficits in 2022/23 and 2023/24. Ask a. Nickerson to review same. (6.0 hrs. overall, 5.0 hrs. on-site)	6.0
03/23/2023	Prep for Board meeting. Board meeting. Meet with Utility Ops. Mgr. re: completion of Annual Report of Diversions, Consumer confidence Report, and Electronic Annual Report. Resolve issue with legally responsible individual for SSO reporting. (15.0 hrs. overall, 11.0 hrs. on-site)	15.0
03/24/2023	Continue review and update of 2023/24 Operating Budget. Get PFAS sampling workplan from UNR. Continue preparing handoff packages for Utility Ops Manager and new GM. (4.0 hrs. overall, 0.0 hrs. on-site)	4.0
03/29/2023	Forward GM candidate question to consultant. Meet w/ J. Mitchell to discuss PAS' follow on contract and contract tails for existing GM contract. Continue review and update of 2023/24 Operating Budget (6.0 hrs. overall, 4.0 hrs. on-site)	6.0
03/30/2023	Continue review and update of 023/24 Operating Budget. Meet with Financial Consultant to complete update of assets for annual insurance renewal. Contact property owner regarding the separation of services at a muti-family unit with a sale pending. Meet w/ Dr. Chandra for follow-up to 3/23 Board of Directors' meeting. (6.0 hrs. overall, 4.0 hrs. on-site)	6.0

### ANNA M NICKERSON, LLC FINANCIAL CONSULTANT

1880 Morgan Pointe Ct. Reno, NV 89523 530-330-2724 nickerson.annam@gmail.com

# INVOICE

INVOICE NO: 031523 DATE: March 15, 2023

ACCOUNT NO: 9018 \$ 5,040.00 CHECK NO: 032023 CHECK DATE: 3/20/23 APPROVAL: 9

то Sierra Lakes County Water District P.O. Box 1039 Soda Springs CA 95728

	P.O. Box 1039 Soda Springs, CA 95728 530-426-7800						1.5.6	FS / Recs /		1	2.21				Board	
							Escrow & nin Public	PR / HR / Tax /	Assempt	M&O Support	A/R - Banking	A/P	Mail / email	π	Agenda/ Mtg Mín	
							Requests	Budget/ Audit	DISUICC	Suppore	Danking		eman		/Packets	
DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL					15.5						
3/1/2023	Road Closed	0.0	\$96.00	\$	•											0
3/2/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Payroll, finished minutes & month end	11.0	\$96.00	\$	1,056.00			4.5			2	2	0.5		2	11
3/3/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Month end, board reports, board packets & update website.	11.0	\$96.00	\$	1,056.00			4.5			1	0.5	0.5	1.5	3	11
3/6/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Updated website and rescheduled meeting, filed and drafted/sent Notification of cancelled meeting.	6.0	\$96.00	s	576.00	1					1	0.5	1	1.5	1	6
3/7/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Updated website	5.5	\$96.00	\$	528.00						2	2	0.5	1		5.
3/8/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Worked on budget	5.0	\$96.00	\$	480.00		0.5	2.5			1	0.5	0.5			5
3/9/2023	Weather	0.0	\$96.00	\$												0
3/10/2023	Weather	0.0	\$96.00	\$					1							0
3/13/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Backflow test updates and assessment updates	5.5	\$96.00	s	528.00		0.5			1	2	1.5	0,5			5.
3/14/2023	Weather	0.0	\$96.00	\$	•							-				0
3/15/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Public information request response, payroll and reconciliation of Placer County payments.	8.5	\$96.00	s	816.00		0.5	1.5		4	1	1	0.5			8
		52.5	TOTALS	\$	5,040.00	1.0	1.5	13.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	8.0	4.0	4.0	6.0	52.
		-		-		2%	3%	25%	0%	10%	19%	15%	8%	8%	11%	-

### ANNA M NICKERSON, LLC FINANCIAL CONSULTANT

1880 Morgan Pointe Ct. Reno, NV 89523 530-330-2724 nickerson.annam@gmail.com INVOICE

ACCOUNT NO:	9018	\$	4,608.00
CHECK NO:	640	623	3
CHECK DATE:	4/6	12	3
APPROVAL:			

INVOICE NO: 033123 DATE: March 31, 2023

то	Sierra Lakes County Water District				1			-	_	-	-		-			
	P.O. Box 1039						Escrow &	FS / Recs / PR / HR /		100	100		1.00		Board	
	Soda Springs, CA 95728					Admin	Public	Tax /	Assmnt District	M&O Support	A/R - Banking	A/P	Mail / email	π	Agenda/ Mtg Min	Total
	530-426-7800						Requests	Budget/ Audit	District	Support	banking		emait		/Packets	
DATE	DESCRIPTION	HOURS	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL		-				1		1		1	
3/16/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Updated budget and updated website	5.0	\$96.00	\$	480.00			1			1	1,5	0.5	1		5
3/17/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Finished updating budget, modified and agenda and board packet	5.0	\$96.00	\$	480.00			2.5			0.5	0.5	0.5		1	5
3/20/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Budget and updated agenda and posted meeting	5.0	\$96.00	s	480.00			1			1.5	0.5	0.5		1.5	5
3/21/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Trained Pat on Zoom & running meeting, recorded assessment & reviewed delinquent accounts.	5.0	\$96.00	s	480.00				2		0.5	0.5	0.5		1.5	5
3/22/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Reconciled received assessment with Placer County reports, setup board room for meeting and filed.	5.0	\$96.00	\$	480.00	.t			1.5		0,5	0.5	0.5		1	5
3/23/2023	Vacation	0.0	\$96.00	\$	•					F			1			0
3/24/2023	Vacation	0.0	\$96.00	\$			10.00	S			1			1	10. D	0
3/27/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Director payroll, reconciliation & update Assessment information	5.0	\$96.00	\$	480.00			1	2.5		0.5	0.5	0.5			5
3/28/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Reconciling & Updating Assessment information	5.0	\$96.00	\$	480.00				1		1	0.5	0.5		2	5
3/29/2023	Weather	0.0	\$96.00	\$				1		2	4				2	0
3/30/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Minutes, Assessment information updates	9.0	\$96.00	ş	864.00			1.00	2	( The second sec	1	0.5	0.5		5	9
3/31/2023	Picked up and processed mail, processed accounts receivables & accounts payables, read and answered emails. Insurance updates and payroll.	4.0	\$96.00	\$	384.00			2.5			0.5	0.5	0.5		1	4
		48.0	TOTALS	\$	4,608.00	1.0	0.0	8.0	9.0	0.0	7.0	5.5	4.5	1.0	12.0	48.0

#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!



1331 Garden Highway, 2nd Floor Sacramento, CA 95833 T| 916.321.4500 F| 916.321.4555 PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION

Sierra Lakes County Water District ATTN: Paul A. Schultz, General Manager 7305 Short Road		March 10, 2023 Invoice 307148					
P.O. Box 1039 Soda Springs, CA 95728	RECEIVED MAR 1'5 2023						
General		Referen	ice # 4210-001				
For Professional Services Through 2/28/2023			P.				
Previous Balance		ma	5,401.13				
Payments		N.Y.	-1,690.00				
Balance Forward	n and see that a second of the provide second s	2000 C	3,711.13				
Current Fees	740.25		-				
Total Current Charges			740.25				
	A CONTRACTOR OF A	Total Due	\$4,451.38				

### \*\*\* DUE UPON RECEIPT \*\*\*

### \*\* PLEASE USE INVOICE NUMBER ABOVE WHEN REMITTING PAYMENT \*\*

Invoice	Invoice	Original	Payments	
Date	Number	Amount	and Credits	Balance
02/09/23	306868	3,711.13	0.00	3,711.13
				3,711.13

		Aged Accounts Re	ceivable		
	0-30	31-60	61-90	91+	Total Due
Totals	740.25	3,711.13	0.00	0.00	4,451.38

740.25 40 AL 8331 3.20 23 NO. CHECK NU CK APPROVED

Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard, A Professional Corporation | Attorneys at Law | www.kmtg.com

Cathy Preis President

Joni Kaufman Vice President

Dawn Parkhurst Secretary

Craig Combs Director

Phil Gamick Director

# **INVOICE #01/23**

February 22, 2023

Paul Schultz General Manager Sierra Lakes County Water District P.O. Box 1039 Soda Springs, CA 95728-1039

# RE: DSPUD/SLCWD Cost Allocation FYE 06/30/2022

Dear Mr. Schultz,

The amount due for FYE 06/30/2022 share of Total Cost of Maintenance and Operations is: \$116,604.63

Please remit payment to: Donner Summit Public Utility District P.O. Box 610 Soda Springs, CA 95728

Sincerely,

St. Pel

Steven Palmer, PE **General Manager** 

9700-01 \$116,604.63 ACCOUN, NO CHECK NO. CK DATE APPROVED

Enclosure: **Detailed SLCWD Cost Allocation** 

Page 1 of 1

LTR 2023.02.22 FY22 Invoice.docx

PUBLIC UTILIT V DIST

	N:\117500 Donner\203		I CWD C	art à lies	SLCWD Cost Allocation atic FYE 6/30/22				Prior Year
2	N:1117500 Lionner/20.	10010	Accou		Description		Balance		6/30/21
ģ	Salaries	42	6610		Overtime	Sewer Treatment	16,513.71		21,656.56
í		42	6975		Salaries	Sewer Treatment	283,605.86		278,239.51
		42	7010		Salaries Standby	Sewer Treatment	0.00		0.00
		42	7015	42	Salaries Certif	Sewer Treatment	0.00		0.00
		42	7020		Salaries Sick Pay	Sewer Treatment	9,083.15		8,421.29
		42	7022		Salaries Vacation	Sewer Treatment	8,693.03		12,529.38
		42	7024		Salaries Holiday	Sewer Treatment	12,907.70		11,786.66
1	Familance Dam		I Salar	9	FICA / Other PB Ter Evenence	See Schedule	330,803.45 27,845.41		332,633.40
1	Employee Ben	42	5150	42	FICA / Other PR Tax Expense Medical	Sewer Treatment	72,545.45		50,146.45
			5175		Retirement	Sewer Treatment	25,277.64		19,798.53
		42	5185		Disability	Sewer Treatment	3,070.93		3,487.35
		42	5225	42	Workers Compensation	Sewer Treatment	36,355.14		25,818.28
					Payroll Related Expenses		165,094.57		125,292.75
	Other	42	5035		Professional Fees	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	and the second
		42	5525		Chemicals	Sewer Treatment	149,798.41		104,622.62
		42	5550		Clothing Allowance	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	3,600.00
		42 42	5700 5710		Computer Support Bank Charges	Sewer Treatment		Input Field Input Field	140.00
		42	5800		Dues & Sub	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	2,060.16
		42	5805		Fees & Permits	Sewer Treatment	18,625.10		21,286.33
		42	5810		Educational Exp	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	638.17
		42	5825	42	Electricity	Sewer Treatment	208,721.67		197,184.52
		42	5840	42	Eng General	Sewer Treatment	35,587.28	Input Field	46,588.03
		42	5925		Equip Purchases	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	and a second second
		42	5950		Equip M/R	Sewer Treatment	45,066.53		28,926.57
		42	5975		Equip Rental	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	1,804.84
		42 42	6025 6050		Fac Maint/Repair Certification	Sewer Treatment	38,333,39	Input Field	20,564.60 220.00
		42	6175		Insurance	Sewer Treatment	83,754.26		80,780.87
		42	6275		Lab Supplies	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	5,190.81
		42	6300		Lab Tests	Sewer Treatment	39,140.00		48,315.40
		42	6470	42	Operating Sup	Sewer Treatment	and the second se	Input Field	91.52
		42	6500	42	Miscellaneous	Sewer Treatment	317.79	Input Field	254,75
		42	6560		Office Supplies	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	1,131.55
		42	6675		Phone	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	5,776.31
		42	6825		Propane	Sewer Treatment	178,957.91	A CONTRACTOR OF	100,410.77
		42 42	7025 7030		Small Tools	Sewer Treatment		Input Field Input Field	27,097.68
		42	7030		Operating Sup Sludge Removal	Sewer Treatment	19,483.58		8,218.22 35,551.19
		42	7045		Interest (NOT CHARGEABLE)			Input Field	55,551.15
		42	7300		Training	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	
		42	7330	42	Travel	Sewer Treatment	721.60	Input Field	
		42	7375	42	Fuel, Oil & Lub	Sewer Treatment	8,158.51	Input Field	4,855.50
		42	7425		Vehicle M & R	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	16,752.63
		43	5525		Chemicals	Sewer Treatment		Input Field	381.36
		43	5805	43	Fees & Permits	Sewer Disposal	20,250.00	Input Field	2,848.00 20,250.00
		43	7030	12	Land Lease Paid Operating Sup	Sewer Disposal Sewer Disposal		Input Field	74.84
		45	1050	45	Operating Sup	Sewer Disposal		Input Field	74.04
								Input Field	
				0	ther Expenses	-	892,744.38		785,617.24
					Total Expenses		1,388,642.40		1,243,543.39
		Cos	Alloc	ation		Costs	DSPUD	SLCWD	Total
		1	Costs b	ased o	on flows	1,388,642.40	964,126.06	424,516.34	1,388,642.40
						100.00%	69.43%	30.57%	100.00%
		-	Admin	istrativ	ve charge @ 14%			59,432.29	
					10 1000 10		_	483,948.63	
			Advand	ce Pay	ments 40-4200-40	11 X \$30612		336,732.00	
						+(30612 paid after YE	)	0.00	Prior year AR (A
						10 C 1 C 1		0.00	80,921.04
								336,732.00	
				Curre	nt year Amount receivable(payable	)		147,216.63	
					year paid 8/11/2022 (80,921.04 - 30		Sec. Sec. 1	50,251.04	
						Du	ie at 6/30/2022	197,467.67	
						State of the second			
					Paid after YE		uly 2022	(30,612.00)	
						6/30/21 balance due J	uly 2022	(50,251.04)	
						Payment due from SLO	anno inan	116,604.63	

#### Sierra Lakes County Water District 2023/2024 <u>DRAFT</u> OPERATIONS BUDGET As of 4/6/2023

7/1/22 to 3/31/23 874,219.86 1,212,519.48 2,086,739.34 6,470.16	2022/2023 Budget 870,696.00 1,207,632.00 2,078,328.00	YE Actual Costs 874,220,00 1,212,520.00	Budget 881,136.00 1,222,112.00	844 Billable Connections
1,212,519.48 2,086,739.34 6,470.16	1,207,632.00	1,212,520.00		
1,212,519.48 2,086,739.34 6,470.16	1,207,632.00	1,212,520.00		
1,212,519.48 2,086,739.34 6,470.16	1,207,632.00	1,212,520.00		
2,086,739.34	2,078,328.00			
6,470.16				844 Billable Connections
		2,086,740.00	2,103,248.00	
And a contract of the	6,500.00	6,500.00	6,500.00	No change
23,400.00	23,400.00	31,200.00	23,400.00	3 Sewer connection permits
5,475.00	5,475.00	7,300.00	5,475.00	3 Water connection permits
351,958.20	450,000.00	500,000.00	500,000.00	Average 2 prior yrs
77,535.98		77,536.00	500,000.00	Avenage 2 prior yrs
38,990.26	10,000,00		20.000.00	Investment Fund Earnings (LAIF & Placer Co. Treasurer's Fund)
497,359,44	488,875.00	661,026.00	555,375.00	investment i and Earlings (Errin de Finder e.e. Treasurer's Fand)
2,590,568.94	2,573,703.00	2,754,266.00	2,658,623.00	
2,590,568.94	2,573,703.00	2,754,266.00	2,658,623.00	
10,620,00	21 600 00	14 160 00	21 600 00	Pared on 2 miles nor month non Director of \$180(miles
10,020,00	21,000.00	14,100.00	21,000.00	Based on 2 mtgs per month per Director at \$180/mtg.
231 202 85	325 000 00	311 966 00	252 275 00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - 8.7% increase
				Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - 8, 7% increase
				\$500/week for 52 weeks + \$100 Holidays
	20,500.00		20,500.00	\$500/week for 52 weeks + \$100 Holidays
(3,472.30)	15 000 00	(5,475.00)	15 000 00	Descretionaly Bonuses
256,134.87	381,360.00	346,099.00	409,635.00	Descretionally Bonuses
	damatica		and the second second	
266,754.87	402,960.00	360,259.00	431,235.00	
			31,848.00	Calculated Based on Budgeted Wages
				Calculated Based on Budgeted Wages
				Estimated Actual + 5% (Includes AUL Payment)
77,979.86	100,191.00	103,973.00	114,545.00	Estimated Actual + 10%
		-		
12,376.18 134,694.23	20,500.00 181,678.00	16,502.00 183,332.00	20,500.00 203,478.00	Calculated based on estimated wages
17 102 02	15 000 00			
				Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule
				Per Auditor Proposal
			7 AV67755463	Estimated Actual + 10% Direct Deposit, Recording & Bank Service Fees
				No increase proposed
				Board approved maximum
				Board Approved Maximum (30 hrs/wk (1,560 hrs.)
			5,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule
				No Election Fees
				Estimated Actual + 10%
				Estimated Actual + 10%
				No increase proposed - 2023 Overage due to CPR HR Recruiting Fees Estimated Actual + 5% (Telephone, Cells phones & iPad)
	497,359.44 2,590,568.94 2,590,568.94 10,620.00 231,292.85 8,434.58 19,880.00 (3,472.56) 256,134.87 266,754.87 20,672.38 354.29 23,311.52 77,979.86 12,376.18	497,359.44         488,875.00           2,590,568.94         2,573,703.00           2,590,568.94         2,573,703.00           2,590,568.94         2,573,703.00           10,620.00         21,600.00           231,292.85         325,000.00           8,434.58         15,000.00           19,880.00         26,360.00           (3,472.56)         15,000.00           256,134.87         381,360.00           20,672.38         29,685.00           354.29         3,950.00           23,311.52         27,352.00           77,979.86         100,191.00           12,376.18         20,500.00           17,403.93         45,000.00           17,850.00         17,850.00           17,850.00         17,850.00           17,850.00         17,850.00           17,975.53         10,000.00           20,672.52         25,395.00           157,937.50         265,000.00           157,937.50         265,000.00           17,283.00         137,283.00           2,647.11         5,000.00           16,919.00         20,390.00           8,240.00         3,400.00	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

#### Sierra Lakes County Water District 2023/2024 <u>DRAFT</u> OPERATIONS BUDGET As of 4/6/2023

	Actuals 7/1/22 to 3/31/23	2022/2023 Budget	2022/2023 Estimated YE Actual Costs	2023/2024 Proposed Budget	NOTES
9029 · Garbage/Hazmat Expense	3,773.05	5,500.00	5,500.00	5,500.00	(weekly pickup, annual Hazardous Disposal & Community Clean-up)
9030 · Uniform Expense	950.33	3,000.00	3,000.00	3,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule
9034 · Propane Expense	3,785.34	14,198.00	10,000.00	11,000.00	Estimated Actual + 10%
9036 · SCADA System Expense	4,320.00	5,000.00	5,000.00	21,500.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9037 · Lucity Asset Management System	3,750.00	4,000.00	4,000.00	3,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9040 · Office Expense	713.32	3,000.00	3,000.00	3,000.00	No increase proposed
9041 · Postage Expense	185.24	2,000.00	2,000.00	2,000.00	No increase/decrease proposed - Probable Prop 218 for new rate structure
9042 · Postage Meter Expense	785.55	1,300.00	1,050.00	1,300.00	No increase proposed
9043 · Copier & Fax Expense	363.95	950.00	500.00	950.00	No increase proposed
9044 · Computer Equipment, Service & Website			-		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY
9044-01 General Expenses	2,714.66	23,029.00	4,000.00	4,400.00	DSL, IT, Supplies, P/R Service & Website fees, Logically/Cyber
9044-02 Website Design	-	3,000.00	3,000.00	3,000.00	Per New Leaders Web Designer
9044-03 Merchant Fees	28,208.85	33,296.00	37,612.00	41,377.00	Estimated Actual + 10%
otal 9011 · Indirect & G&A	395,038.34	644,432.00	551,303.00	629,143.00	and an internet of the
00 · Water Treatment & Filter Plant					
9101 · Filter Plant Operations & Maint	971.30	8,000.00	1,457.00	8.000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9102 · Filter Plant-Chems, Lab & Equip	30,703.59	30,000.00	40,950.00	45,000.00	
9103 · Filter -Water Pumping Plant M&O	50,705.59	1,000.00	1,000.00	2,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9104 · Filter - Well Pump Station Exp		10,000.00	5,000.00	15,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
otal 9100 · Water Treatment & Filter Plant	31,674.89	49,000.00	48,407.00	70,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
	51,071.05	49,000.00	40,407.00	70,000.00	
200 · Water Distribution					
9201 · Water Dist - General Maint	12,212.08	10,000.00	16,283.00	10,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9202 · Water Dist - Pipes & Fittings	-	2,000.00		2,500.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9203 · Water Dist - Hydrant Maint	3,193.91	2,000.00	4,259.00	2,500.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule
9204 · Fees - Water	14,525.58	15,330.00	15,525.00	16,450.00	Estimated Actual + 5%
9205 · Water Dist - Electricity	31,910.91	40,000.00	42,548.00	46,750.00	Estimated Actual + 10%
9600 · Water Dist - Meters/Parts	5,945.64	2,000.00	6,446.00	2,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9601 · Water Conservation		1,000.00	500.00	1,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
otal 9200 · Water Distribution	67,788.12	72,330.00	85,561.00	81,200.00	
300 · Wastewater Collection System					
9301 · Wastewater - General Maint	7,878.74	5,000.00	10,505.00	14,000.00	Bas CM 2021 2024 Budget Project Schedule BENDBIC LIDDATE
9302 · Wastewater - Pipes/Fittings	7,070.74	1,000.00	10,505.00	1,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9303 · Wastewater - Enzymes/Lab Testing	-	4,000.00	-	4,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9304 · Wastewater - Manholes			-		Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9305 · Fees - Sewer	5,785.84	500.00	8 214 00	500.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9306 · Wastewater - Electricity		7,500.00	8,214.00	10,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule
9500 · Wastewater - Electricity 9700 · Wastewater- Export Service Exp	17,874.03	25,000.00	23,832.00	25,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule
	166 055 (7)		1000000		
9700-01 · Wastewater Export Srvc Adjustment 9700 · Wastewater- Export Service Exp - Other	166,855.67 379,719.00	400 716 00	166,856.00		
		400,715.00	506,292.00	571,925.00	Estimated 10% increase over actual
Total 9700 · Wastewater- Export Service Exp	546,574.67	400,715.00	673,148.00	571,925.00	
otal 9300 · Wastewater Collection System	578,113.28	443,715.00	715,699.00	626,425.00	
00 · Vehicle Expense					
9501 · Gasoline/Diesel	15,239,42	18,000.00	20,319.00	25,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule
9502 · Pickups	5,391.74	3,000.00	7,189.00	5,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9503 · Gapyac	8,677.92	3,500.00	11,571.00	5,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9504 · Backhoe	3,912.42	2,000.00	19,600.00	2,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9505 · Vehicle Maint Supplies	1,731.39	3,500.00	2,309.00	3,500.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule
otal 9500 · Vehicle Expense	34,952.89	30,000.00	60,988.00	40,500.00	To OW 2020-2024 Budger Frojeet Selfetille
200 - Divisor Company					
9800 · Project Expenses 9811 · Replace Sewer Mainline	107406		10000		D. CH ( 2022 2021 D. L. D. C.
Sorr Replace Sewer Mainline	1,274.26	-	1,275.00	-	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE

AMN 7:28 AM 4/6/2023

#### Sierra Lakes County Water District 2023/2024 <u>DRAFT</u> OPERATIONS BUDGET As of 4/6/2023

	Actuals 7/1/22 to 3/31/23	2022/2023 Budget	2022/2023 Estimated YE Actual Costs	2023/2024 Proposed Budget	NOTES
9812 · Spot Repairs of Sewer Mainline	6,654.23		6,655.00	10,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9813 · Repair Sewer Manholes	263.88		264.00	50,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9814 · Adjust Sewer Manholes to Grade	-		-	3,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9815 · Misc Sewer Pump Station Upgrade	5,442.23	10,000.00	7,256.00	5,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9817 · TV Gravity Sewer System	-	15,000.00	7,500.00	20,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9818 · Misc Water System Improvements	64,679.32	5,000.00	70,000.00	5,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9819 · Adj Water Valve Boxes to Grade		5,000.00	-	5,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9820 · Misc Upgrades Water Pump Station	2,393.60	1.	3,590.00	1	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9821 · Automatic Meter Reading System	11,131.18	10,000.00	15,709.00	10,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9822 · Misc Jobs - Safety, Tools, Bldg	16,760.30	63,500.00	22,347.00	63,500.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9824 · Lake & Land Management	5,758.85	10. AL	16,678.00	6,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9825 · HOTFaP	7,500.00	2,500.00	7,500.00	2,500.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
9826 · Contemporary Water Quality Assessment	4,585.80	64,785.00	14,448.00	64,785.00	Per Purchase Order Balance
9827 · District Engineer	76,661.00	150,000.00	142,215.00	150,000.00	Per Purchase Order Balance
9915 · Misc Projects (Placeholder misc imprvmnts)	226.94	10,000.00	1,250.00	10,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
Total 9800 · Project Expenses	203,331.59	335,785.00	316,687.00	404,785.00	
Total Expense	1,712,348.21	2,159,900.00	2,322,236.00	2,486,766.00	
et Ordinary Income ther Income/Expense Other Expense	878,220.73	413,803.00	432,030.00	171,857.00	
9900 · Debt - Interest	and the second				
9906 · Interest on USDA Revenue Bond	113,000.24	113,000.00	113,000.00	109,000.00	Per loan amortization schedules
9908 · Int on Assessment - 7207 Palisade		245.00	245.00	500.00	Doubled - Acquisition of donated property
Total 9900 · Debt - Interest	113,000.24	113,245.00	113,245.00	109,500.00	
9920 · Depreciation					
9921 · Depreciation - Water	108,628.64	150,000.00	150,000.00	150,000.00	Per Depreciation Schedule plus estimated new assets
9922 · Depreciation - Sewer	84,770.64	120,000.00	120,000.00	120,000.00	Per Depreciation Schedule plus estimated new assets
Total 9920 · Depreciation	193,399.28	270,000.00	270,000.00	270,000.00	
9999 · Clearing Account	1,246.00		2		
9950 · SLCWD's Share DSPUD Capital Costs	18,054.49	30,000.00	37,500.00	30,000.00	Per GM 2023-2024 Budget Project Schedule - PENDING UPDATE
Total Other Expense	325,700.01	413,245.00	420,745.00	409,500.00	
et Other Income	(325,700.01)	(413,245.00)	(420,745.00)	(409,500.00)	
	552,520.72	558.00	-	in the second second	

#### SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT DRAFT 2022/2023 ESTIMATED ACTUALS BY ENTERPRISE AS OF 4/6/2023

	Water	Sewer	Total
Operating Revenues:			
Water Sales	874,220		874,220
Sewer Service		1,212,520	1,212,520
Prim Fac Fees - Sewer		31,200	31,200
Prim Fac Fees - Water	7,300	0.000	7,300
Customer Late Fees	3,250	3,250	6,500
Total operating revenues:	884,770	1,246,970	2,131,740
Operating Expenses:			
Pumping	46,138		46,138
Treatment	398,143		398,143
Transmission and distribution	455,069		455,069
Administratvie and general	184,356	184,356	368,712
Depreciation	150,000	120,000	270,000
Collection		381,026	381,026
Disposal		673,148	673,148
Total operating expenses:	1,233,706	1,358,530	2,592,236
Operating income (loss):	(348,936)	(111,560)	(460,496)
Non-operating revenues (expenses):			
Property Taxes	250,000	250,000	500,000
Interest income	22,495	22,495	44,990
Other Income: CSCDA Water Rev Bond	77,536		77,536
Interest expense		(113,245)	(113,245)
Capital processing costs		(37,500)	(37,500)
Total Non-OP revenues (expenses):	350,031	121,750	471,781
Fiscal Year 2022/2023 Surplus/(Deficit)	1,095	10,190	11,285
Per Connection at 844 connections	1	12	13

## SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT DRAFT OPERATING BUDGET BY ENTERPRISE AS OF 4/6/2023

DRAFT

	Water	Sewer	Total
Operating Revenues:			
Water Sales	881,136		881,136
Sewer Service		1,222,112	1,222,112
Prim Fac Fees - Sewer		23,400	23,400
Prim Fac Fees - Water	5,475		5,475
Customer Late Fees	3,250	3,250	6,500
Total operating revenues:	889,861	1,248,762	2,138,623
Operating Expenses:			
Pumping	46,750		46,750
Treatment	522,704		522,704
Transmission and distribution	426,956		426,956
Administratvie and general	215,859	215,859	431,717
Depreciation	150,000	120,000	270,000
Collection		486,714	486,714
Disposal	-	571,925	571,925
Total operating expenses:	1,362,268	1,394,498	2,756,766
Operating income (loss):	(472,407)	(145,736)	(618,143)
Non-operating revenues (expenses):			
Property Taxes	250,000	250,000	500,000
Interest income	10,000	10,000	20,000
Interest expense		(109,500)	(109,500)
Capital processing costs		(30,000)	(30,000)
Debt Reserve Funding 10%/yr for 10 yrs		(27,000)	(27,000)
Total Non-OP revenues (expenses):	260,000	93,500	353,500
Fiscal Year 2023/2024 Surplus/(Deficit)	(212,407)	(52,236)	(264,643)
Per Connection at 844 connections	(252)	(62)	(314)

amn 4/5/2023 6:17 AM

#### SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT SUMMARY OF ESTABLISHED RATES

			ANNUAL WA		SERVICE FEES		HER SERVICE F	
ORDINANCE NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	WATER	SEWER	Total	STANDBY W	VATER BASE	SEWER BASE
17	4/30/1970	Establishing Water/Sewer/Standby Rates	35.00	20.00	55.00	10.00		
23	4/10/1978	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates	70.00	40.00	110.00			
29	4/26/1982	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates	165.00	55.00	220.00			
34	5/1/1984	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates	165.00	165.00	330.00			
36	5/1/1985	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates	220.00	275.00	495.00	-		
40	5/29/1987	Establishing Standby Rates			-	40.00	1.0	
43	1/5/1991	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates			-			
		1990/1991	260.00	390.00	650.00			
		1991/1992	270.00	405.00	675.00			
		1992/1993	280.00	420.00	700.00			
54	5/27/1995	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates	336.00		336.00	1		
55	7/15/1995	Establishing Water/Sewer Base Charges					244.00	268.00
58	7/1/1997	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates & Base Charges	336.00	420.00	756.00		244.00	268.00
63	8/20/2000	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates & Base Charges	400.00		400.00		308.00	
67	5/25/2002	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates & Base Charges	595.00		595.00		486.00	
75	5/12/2006	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates & Base Charges	701.00	629.00	1,330.00		572.00	374.00
80	9/14/1987	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates & Base Charges	828.00	742.00	1,570.00		613.00	407.00
83	6/13/2008	Eliminating Water/Sewer Base Charges					-	-
87	8/14/2009	Establishing Water/Sewer Rates	964.00	863.00	1,827.00	THESE FEES	HAVE BEEN D	SCONTINUE
Resolution No.	- in the second			and the second second		and the second se		
and the second design of the s								
		Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE						
2011-799	7/8/2011	Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees	1.044.00	983.00	2.027.00			
2011-799	7/8/2011	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees	1,044.00	983.00	2,027.00			
and the second states								
2011-799 2012-808	7/8/2011 8/4/2012	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE	1,044.00 1,044.00		2,027.00 2,492.00			
and the second	8/4/2012	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE	1,044.00		2,492.00		INCREASE	
2012-808	8/4/2012	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees	1,044.00	1,448.00	2,492.00	WATER	INCREASE SEWER	Total
2012-808	8/4/2012	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees	1,044.00	1,448.00	2,492.00 ES	<u>WATER</u> 15.66		
2012-808	8/4/2012 Increase:	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees Projection from 2012/2013 to 2023/2024 based on COLA	1,044.00	1,448.00 ANNUAL RAT	2,492.00 ES <u>Total</u>		SEWER	37.3
2012-808	8/4/2012 Increase: 2013/2014	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees Projection from 2012/2013 to 2023/2024 based on COLA 1.5%	1,044.00 WATER 1,059.66	1,448.00 ANNUAL RAT SEWER 1,469.72	2,492.00 ES Total 2,529.38	15.66	SEWER 21.72	37.3
2012-808	8/4/2012 Increase: 2013/2014 2014/2015 2015/2016	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees Projection from 2012/2013 to 2023/2024 based on COLA 1.5% 1.7%	1,044.00 WATER 1,059.66 1,077.67 1,077.67	1,448.00 ANNUAL RAT <u>SEWER</u> 1,469.72 1,494.71 1,494.71	2,492.00 ES 2,529.38 2,572.38 2,572.38	15.66 18.01	<u>SEWER</u> 21.72 24.99	37.3 43.0
2012-808	8/4/2012 Increase: 2013/2014 2014/2015 2015/2016 2016/2017	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees Projection from 2012/2013 to 2023/2024 based on COLA 1.5% 1.7% 0.0%	1,044.00 WATER 1,059.66 1,077.67 1,077.67	1,448.00 ANNUAL RAT SEWER 1,469.72 1,494.71 1,494.71 1,499.19	2,492.00 ES Total 2,529.38 2,572.38 2,572.38 2,572.38 2,580.10	15.66 18.01 3.23	<u>SEWER</u> 21.72 24.99	37.3 43.0 7.7
2012-808	8/4/2012 Increase: 2013/2014 2014/2015 2015/2016	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees Projection from 2012/2013 to 2023/2024 based on COLA 1.5% 1.7% 0.0% 0.3%	1,044.00 WATER 1,059.66 1,077.67 1,077.67 1,080.91 1,102.53	1,448.00 ANNUAL RAT SEWER 1,469.72 1,494.71 1,494.71 1,499.19 1,529.17	2,492.00 ES Total 2,529.38 2,572.38 2,572.38 2,580.10 2,631.70	15.66 18.01 3.23 21.62	SEWER 21.72 24.99 - 4.48 29.98	37.3 43.0 - 7.7 51.6
2012-808	8/4/2012 Increase: 2013/2014 2014/2015 2015/2016 2016/2017 2017/2018	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees Projection from 2012/2013 to 2023/2024 based on COLA 1.5% 1.7% 0.0% 0.3% 2.0%	1,044.00 WATER 1,059.66 1,077.67 1,077.67 1,080.91 1,102.53 1,133.40	1,448.00 ANNUAL RAT <u>SEWER</u> 1,469.72 1,494.71 1,494.71 1,499.19 1,529.17 1,571.99	2,492.00 ES Total 2,529.38 2,572.38 2,572.38 2,580.10 2,631.70 2,631.70 2,705.39	15.66 18.01 3.23	SEWER 21.72 24.99 - 4.48	37.3 43.0 - 7.7 51.6 73.6
2012-808	8/4/2012 Increase: 2013/2014 2014/2015 2015/2016 2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019 2019/2020	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees Projection from 2012/2013 to 2023/2024 based on COLA 1.5% 1.7% 0.0% 0.3% 2.0% 2.8% 1.6%	1,044.00 WATER 1,059.66 1,077.67 1,077.67 1,080.91 1,102.53 1,133.40 1,151.53	1,448.00 ANNUAL RAT SEWER 1,469.72 1,494.71 1,499.19 1,529.17 1,571.99 1,597.14	2,492.00 ES Total 2,529.38 2,572.38 2,572.38 2,580.10 2,631.70 2,705.39 2,748.67	15.66 18.01 3.23 21.62 30.87 18.13	SEWER 21.72 24.99 - 4.48 29.98 42.82 25.15	37.3 43.0 - 7.7 51.6 73.6 43.2
2012-808	8/4/2012 Increase: 2013/2014 2014/2015 2015/2016 2015/2016 2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019 2019/2020 2020/2021	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees Projection from 2012/2013 to 2023/2024 based on COLA 1.5% 1.7% 0.0% 0.3% 2.0% 2.8% 1.6% 1.3%	1,044.00 WATER 1,059.66 1,077.67 1,077.67 1,080.91 1,102.53 1,133.40 1,151.53 1,166.50	1,448.00 <b>SEWER</b> 1,469.72 1,494.71 1,499.19 1,529.17 1,571.99 1,597.14 1,617.90	2,492.00 ES Total 2,529.38 2,572.38 2,572.38 2,580.10 2,631.70 2,705.39 2,748.67 2,784.41	15.66 18.01 3.23 21.62 30.87 18.13 14.97	SEWER 21.72 24.99 - 4.48 29.98 42.82 25.15 20.76	37.3 43.0 - 7.7 51.6 73.6 43.2 35.7
2012-808	8/4/2012 Increase: 2013/2014 2014/2015 2015/2016 2016/2017 2017/2018 2018/2019 2019/2020	Increased Water/Sewer Service Fees Certifying Results of Proposition 218 Protest Proceeding RE Increased Sewer Service Fees Projection from 2012/2013 to 2023/2024 based on COLA 1.5% 1.7% 0.0% 0.3% 2.0% 2.8% 1.6%	1,044.00 WATER 1,059.66 1,077.67 1,077.67 1,080.91 1,102.53 1,133.40 1,151.53 1,166.50 1,235.32	1,448.00 ANNUAL RAT SEWER 1,469.72 1,494.71 1,499.19 1,529.17 1,571.99 1,597.14	2,492.00 ES Total 2,529.38 2,572.38 2,572.38 2,580.10 2,631.70 2,705.39 2,748.67	15.66 18.01 3.23 21.62 30.87 18.13	SEWER 21.72 24.99 - 4.48 29.98 42.82 25.15	Total 37.38 43.00 7.77 51.60 73.69 43.29 35.77 164.29 256.56

# COLA increases by year

Note: Since 1983 COLA changes take effect the next Jan. 1

YEAR	COLA %	YEAR	COLA %
1975	8.0	1999	2.5
1976	6.4	2000	3.5
1977	5.9	2001	2.6
1978	6.5	2002	1.4
1979	9.9	2003	2.1
1980	14.3	2004	2.7
1981	11.2	2005	4.1
1982	7.4	2006	3.3
1983	3.5	2007	2.3
1984	3.5	2008	5.8
1985	3.1	2009	0.0
1986	1.3	2010	0.0
1987	4.2	2011	3.6
1988	4.0	2012	1.7
1989	4.7	2013	1.5
1990	5.4	2014	1.7
1991	3.7	2015	0.0
1992	3.0	2016	0.3
1993	2.6	2017	2.0
1994	2.8	2018	2.8
1995	2.6	2019	1.6
1996	2.9	2020	1.3
1997	2.1	2021	5.9
1998	1.3	2022	8.7

Source: Social Security Administration

# **Truckee Fire Protection District Annexation of Donner Summit Public Utility District Fire Service Area**

# **Plan for Services**

## **Introduction**

Truckee Fire Protection District, in agreement with Donner Summit Public Utility District, proposes to annex and serve the territory in which DSPUD is presently providing fire protection services. This area (see attached map), includes the territory within:

- o Donner Summit Public Utility District
- o Placer County Service Area 28, Zone 16
- o Placer County Service Area 28, Zone 143
- o Nevada County Service Area 31

In addition, some adjacent areas have been included in the annexation area in order to provide a more logical service area and set of boundaries.

DSPUD would transfer to Truckee Fire Protection District service responsibility for fire protection and related services (including rescue, emergency medical response, hazardous material response, or ambulance service). The three County Service Areas (listed above) currently receive services from DSPUD by contract. Under the annexation proposal, these areas would be annexed and contracts would no longer be necessary.

Response times, facilities, and equipment and personnel deployment in both the area to be annexed and within Truckee Fire District's current boundaries will not change as a result of the change of organization. However, the proposal will provide more flexibility and depth of resources in the areas of personnel, vehicles and equipment. It is expected that the Truckee Fire District will be able to provide Chief Officer coverage and administration for the Donner Summit area. Currently the Donner Summit PUD contracts with California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection for administrative services. This contract and the contracts between DSPUD and Placer and Nevada Counties services to the CSAs will be eliminated.

Financial aspects of the proposal are discussed in detail in a subsequent section of this document. In general, the Districts have agreed to transfer a portion of DSPUD's property tax base used to fund fire services to Truckee Fire Protection District. In the contract service areas, all assessments dedicated to fire protection services would be transferred to Truckee Fire by condition of LAFCo.

Placer CSA 28, Zone 16 also has dedicated a portion of property tax for fire service which could be transferred by the Placer Board of Supervisors. Both Placer County CSA Zones have reserve funds specifically dedicated for fire protection service; presumably these funds would also be transferred to the Truckee Fire District to fund equipment and facilities within those areas.

# **1.** Description of the services to be extended to the proposed area of annexation.

The District will continue to provide all five services permitted under Section 13862 of the Health and Safety code:

- 1. Fire Protection (including Planning And Engineering)
- 2. Rescue (including swift water, high angle, extrication, dive team)
- 3. Emergency Medical
- 4. Hazardous Material Response
- 5. Ambulance Transport

# 2. The level and range of services

No change to the level or the range of services will result from the change of organization. All Donner Summit fire resources, including facilities, vehicles, equipment and personnel will transition to Truckee Fire District, which will continue to deploy them at their present locations.

# 2.1. Resource Deployment

A description of the resources at present and as expected through 2020 is provided on **Table 1** below. **Table 2** documents the plan for deploying vehicles and equipment.

				Expecte	d			
	Fire Stations	2006	2010	2015	2020			
		8	8	9 <del>8</del>	9 <del>8</del>			
	#91 (10049 Donner Pass Road)							
	#92 (11473 Donner Pass Road)							
	#93 (15572 Donner Pass Road)	_						
Truckee Fire District	#94 (12986 Northwoods Blvd.)	6	No change in the total number of stations is					
	#95 (10900 Manchester Dr.)			ated as				
	#96 (10277 Truckee Tahoe			exation.				
	Airport Road)			ll take o				
·	(owned & operated with CDF)			SPUD st				
	#81 (53823 Sherritt Lane)		The need for					
	(will become TFPD #97)							
	#82 (7300 Short Street)		additi	ional st	ations			
	(Land owned by Sierra Lakes		will be dictated by					
Donner Summit PUD	County Water District & leased	2	growth and fut		<b>.</b> .			
	to Placer County for service to	2	service demands.					
	CSA28, Zone 16. Building and							
	improvements owned by Placer							
	County.)							
	(will become TFPD # 98)		-					

# Table 1 - Resources

	Vahiolog			Expecte	d		
- -	Vehicles	2006	2010	2015	2020		
Engines		31	32	33	34		
Truckee Fire	6- Type I Engines						
District	1- Type III Engine						
· · ·	1- 75 Foot Ladder truck						
	1- USAR Type II Heavy Rescue						
	1- Swift Water/High Angle rescue		No change to the total number of apparatus as a result of the annexation,				
	1- River Raft	24					
	1- Rescue Airboat						
	1- Type II Hazardous Materials Response Vehicle 1- Battalion Chief Command Vehicle		will take	kee Fire ne DSPUD es.			
	3- Loaders		Additi	onal re	sources		
	3- Station Utility Vehicles		Additional resource will be added to the				
	4- Chief Officer Utility vehicles		fleet as service demands dictate				
Donner	2- Type I Engines		aem	nands d	ictate		
Summit PUD	1- Type III Engine						
	1- Heavy Rescue	7					
	1- Utility Vehicle						
	2- Snowmobiles with trailer						
Emergency M	ledical Service Vehicles	7	7	8	9		
Truckee Fire	Type I ALS Ambulances	5					
DSPUD	Type I ALS Ambulances	2					

Station	Type I Engine	Type III Engine	Rescue	Water Tender	Ambulance	Snow Mobile	Loader	Truck	Haz Mat
91									
92	1	1	<b>1</b> '	1	2		1	1	
93	1			1					
94	1						1		
95	1				1				
96	1				2				1
97(81)	1	1	1		2	2	1		
98(82)	1								

## Table 2 - Equipment Deployment Plan

# **2.2. Personnel Deployment**

Personnel deployment will generally remain unchanged at the various stations. See **Table 3** for current and future staffing levels. The Donner Summit Public Utility District currently contracts with CDF for administrative services, and also assigns fire service administrative and support duties to its management staff. The change of organization will permit the CDF contract to be terminated, and there will be no need for DSPUD personnel to provide administrative support to the fire department.

Staffing levels and deployment:

- Station 92: Fire Captain, two Firefighter Paramedics, Battalion Chief
- Station 95: Fire Captain, two Firefighter Paramedics
- Station 96: Fire Captain, two Firefighter Paramedics
- Station 97(81): Fire Captain, one Firefighter Paramedic
- Station 98 (82): Continued firefighter residence program.

Truckee Fire Protection Personnel Policy:

The Truckee Fire Protection District (TFPD) has a unique recruitment/retention plan. Qualified volunteers attend a three-month Fire Academy, and serve a one-year probation period. After one year, those successful become part time employees assigned to a shift. After three years as part time, volunteers qualify to test for a full time position and be placed on a promotional list. All full time firefighters are hired from this internal promotional list.

> TFPD employees are part of an Employees Association, which negotiates with the District Board of Directors on employee salary, benefits and working conditions matters.

Personnel			staffing	Expected need for ad g will be did n and future demands.	ditional tated by service
		2006	2010	2015	2020
Managemen	t Staff		6	7	8
Truckee Fire	Chief, , Fire Marshall, Assistant Fire Marshall and 3 Battalion Chiefs	6			
DSPUD	CDF Battalion Chief via contract. Position does NOT transition over.	1			
Fire fighters	BLS		- 5	5	5
Truckee Fire		5			
DSPUD		1			
Paramedic -	firefighters		29	29	29
Truckee Fire		24			<b>I</b>
DSPUD		5			
Support pers	sonnel		5	5	6
Truckee Fire					
DSPUD	DSPUD General Manager position does NOT transition over	1.5 FTE			

# Table 3 – Staffing Levels

# 2.3. Coordinated Service Plan

Stations and service areas will remain the same after the change of organization, as shown on **Attachment 1** (map with station locations).

# 2.4. Service Needs

Currently, the Districts respond to service calls in the ratios and response times shown below:

		Annual Calls	Average Response Time (Minutes)	Number of Personnel
EMS/ALS	Truckee Fire	1100	4-6	4-6
	DSPUD	650	8	8

 Table 4 – Current service needs and capacity

Fire	Truckee Fire	900	4-6	10-15
	DSPUD	150	8	8
Hazardous	Truckee Fire	12	4-6	4-6
Material	DSPUD	10	8	8
Rescue	Truckee Fire	100	4-6	7-9
	DSPUD	10	18	18

# **3.** Feasibility of Extending Truckee Fire Protection District Services to the proposed annexation area.

## **3.1.** Administration

All administrative services concerning the DSFD personnel and operations would be transitioned to the staff at Station 91. This would include accounts payable and receivables, human resources, payroll, and other aspects traditionally part of fire department administration. All policies guidelines, MOU's, contracts, and Personnel manuals current with the TFPD would be applicable to the affected area and transitioning personnel.

## **3.2. Operations**

All policies, standard operating guidelines and performance standards would be applicable to the affected area. Example: The TFPD requires a chief officer, three Engines, and one Investigator respond to all reported structure fires. Structure fires reported in the affected area would receive this level of response after annexation. Should the fire be in a commercial structure, Truck 92 will respond.

#### **3.3.** Fire Protection, Planning and engineering

All services offered by the Prevention Bureau would be applied to the affected area including public education, plan review, new construction engineering issues, commercial inspection, defensible space inspections, fire cause determination and investigation, safety, EMS coordination, and hazardous materials coordination.

# 4. Improvements, upgrades, or other changes (of facilities, staffing, equipment, etc.) after the annexation

# 4.1. Schedule for changes and improvements

- Increase staffing from 2.0 to 3.0 at Station 97 by July 1, 2007
- Utilize funds from Zone 16 and 143 reserves to purchase a new loader at Station 97 for snow removal and replace Engine 82 in the future.
- Utilize funds from Zone 16 and 143 reserves to upgrade Station 97 including restroom facilities, kitchen remodel, and vehicle exhaust extraction system and structure improvements.

- Use funds from Zone 16 and 143 reserves to replace current second out ambulance at Station 97 by July 1, 2007
- Use funds from Zone 16 and 143 reserves to fund the District until the tax allocation and other funding becomes consistent.

#### 4.2. Master Plan Changes

- Adding two rooms and restroom to Station 95 for three person staffing
- Negotiating with Forest Service and the Town of Truckee to obtain land to move current administrative facility to the intersection of Interstate 80 and Donner Pass road. The facility will also be the location of a new fire station for the Highway 89 North corridor.
- Utilize AB1600 fees collected within the existing TFPD service area and apply same to the affected area to maintain capital improvements with growth.
- See Capital Facilities and Mitigation Fee Expenditure Plan for the Truckee Fire Protection District (Attachment 2).

#### 5. Funding for Services

As described above, the Districts have agreed to a redistribution of a portion of Donner Summit Public Utility District's property tax base to the Truckee Fire District, (80% to DSPUD and 20% to TFPD), as well as to the transfer of the fire assessments established in Nevada County Service Area 31 and Placer County Service Area 28, Zones 16 and 143. The Districts also expect the portion of property tax base allocated specifically for fire service within Placer CSA 28 Zone 16 to be transferred to Truckee Fire District in order to maintain a consistent level of service to that area. Finally, reserve funds specifically targeted for fire services should be transferred, as should all fire facilities.

Based on a fiscal analysis provided to the Districts by an independent consultant (Bay Area Economics, *Truckee Fire Protection District Annexation of Donner Summit Public Utility District Fire Department Fiscal Viability Analysis,* dated May 10, 2005, **Attachment 3**), the specific amounts as of July 2005 were:

#### 5.1. Revenues

#### Hard (guaranteed)

Property tax- DSPUD:\$37,363 (20% of 186,000)CSA 28 Zone 16:\$246,332CSA 28 Zone 143:\$23,064CSA 31:\$40,256

Sub-Total: \$347,015

Soft (relative to use)

Ambulance:	\$417,132
Rescue Billing:	\$49,547
Sub-Total:	\$466,679

Total: \$813,161

#### 5.2. Expenses

TFPD 7/1/05: \$742,112 (reflects \$17,500 reduction for lease)

#### 5.3. Net Income: \$71,049

#### 5.4. Placer Reserves

The BAE report indicates that there is approximately \$515,284 in the reserves allocated for Zone 16 and \$11,098 in the reserves for Zone 143. This amount should be transferred to the TFPD under the annexation agreement because the TFPD will be the sole entity responsible for fire and rescue in these regions. Additionally, a LAFCo recommendation should indicate that those funds be used exclusively for capital improvements in the Donner Summit area.

### 5.5. Placer Facilities

Placer County owns Station 82 located in the Serene Lakes subdivision that is Zone 16. At the time of annexation this facility should be deeded to the Truckee Fire Protection District and the Serene Lakes Homeowners Association. If not, there will need to be an agreement between the TFPD and Placer County concerning maintenance and use.

#### 5.6. Supporting Rationale

The numbers above are based off the assumptions that both counties will make all assessment as indicated in the BAE report available to the TFPD. The 80/20 split adjustment back to the DSPUD of property tax collected within the PUD boundaries is intended to nullify the impact of a property tax shift from the DSPUD to the TFPD because of the annexation. This will also offset any lease costs as proposed in earlier discussions. The rationale is that currently the DSPUD is a multi use district that has funded all three disciplines with enterprise funds and property tax to the benefit of the constituency. It stands to reason that the same logic should apply during these negotiations so we can sustain the viability of fire protection on the

summit with annexation without incurring more costs to sewer and water. The costs for TFPD to operate are representative of costs effective July 1, 2005 due to employee benefit packages and increased costs across the board. Within that cost summary, the \$17,500 to the DSPUD for lease of the fire station at the PUD site was eliminated. The transfer of funds in reality is \$37,363. A lease agreement has been prepared to cover areas of concern as follows:

<u>Facility Maintenance</u>: Under commercial agreements it is typical to have the lessee take care of all internal repairs and maintenance. All external repairs and maintenance is taken care of by the lessor. External being external walls, roof, and grounds such as asphalt and parking areas.

<u>Utilities:</u> the TFPD will be responsible for all utilities for the portion of the structure indicated in the lease including LPG, natural gas, water, electricity, garbage, phone, television services, and sewer services.

- See attached fiscal analysis study by BAE
- See attached Capital Facilities and Mitigation Fee expenditure Plan attached
- See attached 2005/2006 preliminary budget for the TFPD (Attachment 4).

# 6. Other Personnel and Operational Conditions

The following information is intended to outline the transition of personnel and equipment from the Donner Summit Fire Department (DSFD) to the Truckee Fire Protection District (TFPD) should annexation occur.

#### 6.1. Personnel

- <u>Probation</u>- all full time firefighters currently employed by the DSFD at time of annexation will become employees of the TFPD, not to exceed six. All Paramedic Firefighters will be assigned as probationary employees for a sixmonth period. All Non-Paramedic Firefighters will be assigned as probationary employees for 24 months in which time they will need to secure paramedic certification and be accredited with the Sierra-Sacramento Valley Emergency Medical Services agency.
- <u>Rank</u>- the personnel employed by the DSFD will be transferred to the TFPD at a rank to be determined by the TFPD, with a minimum rank of firefighter- full time.
- <u>Seniority</u>- DSFD employees will start their employment with the TFPD as new employees. They will accrue seniority as per TFPD guidelines. Any seniority gained while employed by the DSFD will be the responsibility of the DSFD specific to DSFD policies and will not transfer to TFPD.
- <u>Leave Credits</u>- All leave credits including sick leave, vacation, holiday time, CTO or other that has been accrued by the DSFD employees will be the

responsibility of the DSFD. DSFD employees transferred to TFPD employment will accrue leave credits as per TFPD policy as new employees.

- <u>Benefits/Salary</u>- all DSFD employees transferred to TFPD employment will receive the same salary and benefit package as current TFPD personnel **under** TFPD policies and guidelines as per the District Employees manual.
- <u>Part time/Volunteer</u>- DSFD part time and volunteer firefighters will be absorbed in to the TFPD volunteer program. All DSFD employees coming in under this program will become probationary employees as per TFPD volunteer probationary program and will complete the probation as per TFPD expectations.
- <u>Per Diem</u>- the TFPD does not have a per diem program. Any personnel currently working under the DSFD per diem program may become probationary volunteers with the TFPD, but must meet the criteria established for employees under this program.
- <u>Chief 801</u>- The TFPD does not have part time or volunteer Chief Officers. Chief 801 will be brought over to the TFPD in the capacity of a part time employee at the TFPD part time pay scale. He will be able to respond in his private vehicle as with the DSFD pending approval of the TFPD liability insurance provider. His rank and commensurate authority will be determined at the time of annexation.

# 6.2. Uniforms/Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)

- All uniforms required for employment with the TFPD will be provided by the TFPD to incoming DSFD personnel. No existing DSFD daily uniforms will be used unless approved by the TFPD.
- <u>PPE-</u> all PPE required by incoming DSFD personnel will be provided by the TFPD. All PPE owned by the DSFD will become the property of the TFPD. The TFPD will determine what (if any) of the existing DSFD PPE will be used by the TFPD. Any PPE not used or needed by the TFPD will be returned to the DSPUD should they desire.
- <u>Personal PPE</u>- PPE including helmets owned by current DSFD will not be used under TFPD employment. Only PPE owned and provided by the TFPD will be authorized.

# 6.3. Capital Facilities

- <u>Station 82-</u> Station 82 will become TFPD Station 98. This is currently the property of Placer County and CSA 28 Zone 16, Serene lakes. The TFPD will expect to have use of the facility as currently used by the DSFD. The TFPD will exercise the option of staffing the facility with the TFPD resident program as per TFPD policy and guidelines.
- <u>Station 81-</u> Station 81 will become TFPD Station 97. The ownership of the facility will be addressed in the lease agreement attached. The facility will be leased to the TFPD by the DSPUD. Both Boards have approved a lease agreement (**Attachment 5**), that will be executed upon annexation.
- <u>Miscellaneous Property</u>- all property owned by the DSFD within both stations including but not limited to food service, office supplies and equipment and daily supplies will become property of the TFPD.

• <u>Utilities-</u> utilities for both facilities will be the responsibility of the TFPD unless otherwise stipulated in a lease agreement.

# 6.4. Vehicles/Equipment

- <u>Vehicles</u>- All vehicles assigned to the DSFD will be transferred to the TFPD. This shall include all ambulances, fire engines, rescues, snowmobiles and utility vehicles. The assigned location of these vehicles after annexation is solely up to the administration of the TFPD.
- <u>Equipment</u>- All fire fighting, rescue and EMS equipment shown on the current inventory on the above-mentioned vehicles will become property of the TFPD.
- Snow removal- the TFPD personnel assigned at Station 97 will use the DSPUD loader as per DSPUD guidelines to clear hydrants in the DSPUD and Serene lakes area, and the grounds assigned to Station 97 until a loader can be supplied by the TFPD. TFPD will cost share in maintenance of the loader.
- All equipment transferred to the TFPD will be maintained by the TFPD as per TFPD Fleet and Facilities Manager.
- <u>Fuel</u>- the TFPD will cost share fuel at Station 97 as currently done now with the DSFD and the DSPUD.

## 6.5. Administration

- All administrative duties currently provided for by the DSPUD will be taken over by the TFPD. The personnel at Station 97 (81) will not use the office equipment and supplies available at the DSPUD administrative offices.
- All revenues determined to be transferred to the TFPD under annexation including but not limited to property tax, special taxes or assessments, ambulance billings and other miscellaneous revenues will become property of the TFPD on the date of the annexation. However, the DSPUD shall retain all revenue, fees received and/or earned up to the date of annexation. The DSPUD will be responsible for all expenses incurred for services up to the date of annexation. An independent audit of all DSPUD - Fire Department fund balances will be performed post annexation no sooner than 30 days or later than 180 days. The audit will be performed by a mutually agreed upon certified and licensed accounting firm to determine fund balances and any monies to be transferred to Truckee Fire Protection District. The costs of the audit will be paid for 100% by TFPD.
- <u>Insurance</u>- all equipment under the TFPD will be insured by the TFPD.

#### Attachments:

- 1 Map showing Station Locations
- 2 TFPD Capital Facilities and Mitigation Fee Expenditure Plan
- 3 Bay Area Economics Fiscal Viability Analysis
- 4 2005/2006 preliminary budget for the TFPD
- 5 Lease Agreement for Station at 53823 Sherritt Lane

# Truckee Fire Protection District Annexation of Donner Summit Public Utility District Fire Department

**Fiscal Viability Analysis** 

Submitted to: Truckee Fire Protection District and Donner Summit Public Utilities District

> Submitted By: Bay Area Economics

> > May 10, 2005

# **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	.ii
List of Tables	
Introduction	.1
Purpose	
Description of Affected Areas	.1
Research and Findings	.3
Revenue Sources	.3
Estimated Cost for Truckee Fire to Annex	.6
Economic Viability of Proposed Scenarios	. 8
Scenario 1A.	
Scenario 1B	.8
Scenario 2	
Conclusions	

# List of Tables

Table 1: (Estimated TFPD Expenses for DSPUD Annexation)	7
Table 2: (Scenario 1A)	
Table 3: (Scenario 1B)	
Table 4: (Scenario 2)	12
Appendix A: (Estimated DSPUD FD Expenses, April 2005)	14
Appendix B: (Donner Summit Public Utility District Fire Department Asset Inventory	r
List, May 2005)	15

## Introduction

#### Purpose

The purpose of this report is to summarize Bay Area Economics' (BAE) findings regarding the fiscal viability of a proposed annexation of territory to the Truckee Fire Protection District (TFPD). This includes an inventory of the existing revenue sources available to fund fire protection services in the affected areas, an estimate of costs for the TFPD to assume responsibility for fire protection in the affected area, and calculations of the projected net fiscal balance for TFPD under several annexation and revenue sharing scenarios.

The annexation would involve territory currently located within the Donner Summit Public Utilities District (DSPUD), for the purposes of providing fire protection and rescue services only. DSPUD would continue as the provider of water and sewer services within the affected territory. Additionally, TFPD may consider annexing territory currently located in Nevada County, County Services Area (CSA) 31 and Placer County CSA 28, Zones 16 and 143. DSPUD currently provides fire protection and rescue services to these CSA territories on a contract basis. As an alternative to annexing the CSA areas, TFPD might simply assume the service contracts currently held by DSPUD.

The initial findings of this study will provide a basis for TFPD to negotiate with DSPUD, Nevada County, and Placer County for revenue transfers from the areas to be annexed. The DSPUD and TFPD staff and governing boards will review this information prior to determining whether to make applications to LAFCo for the proposed annexations. If DSPUD and TFPD decide to proceed with the annexations, this report will serve as background information for determining the viability of the proposed annexation and the appropriate revenue transfers, for consideration by the Nevada County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) staff and Board.

# **Description of Affected Areas**

As mentioned above, the proposed annexation would affect several different areas, including DSPUD, TFPD and several small County Service Areas within eastern Nevada and Placer Counties. Following are brief descriptions of each.

#### **Truckee Fire Protection District**

TFPD is located primarily in eastern Nevada County, and serves the Town of Truckee, surrounding areas of Nevada County and northern portions of Placer County. The TFPD operates six fire stations and provides fire and rescue services to a population of approximately 20,000 people.

#### Donner Summit Public Utility District

DSPUD is located west of TFPD on the southern border of Nevada County. It has two fire stations serving approximately 3,375 permanent residents. In addition, DSPUD provides emergency coverage for a section of Interstate 80 as well as the Sugar Bowl, Donner Ski Ranch, and Boreal ski resorts.

1

## County Service Area 31, Nevada County

CSA 31 is located in the rural area north of Placer County and west of the current DSPUD boundaries. There are approximately 300 parcels in this area with a net assessed value of \$34,217,909.

#### **County Service Area 28, Zone 16, Placer County**

Zone 16 covers approximately 1,093 parcels and 2.5 square miles within Placer County directly south of the Nevada County border. The net assessed value of this area is \$172,827,228. This includes Serene Lakes, the surrounding developments, and one of DSPUD's fire stations. CSA 28, Zone 16 is the only special district in this study that collects a share of property tax for the purposes of fire protection.

#### County Service Area 28, Zone 143, Placer County

Zone 143 covers a 23 square mile strip approximately two miles wide and 14 miles long, with 637 parcels just south of the Nevada County border and west of the current DSPUD boundaries. It is a sparsely populated area which surrounds, but does not include, Zone 16. It has a net assessed value of \$141,657,093.

#### **Research and Findings**

BAE collected budget documents and other financial information from DSPUD, Placer County, and Nevada County to review and incorporate into this study. Additionally, BAE contacted and interviewed representatives of DSPUD, Placer County Auditor-Controller's Office, Assessor's Office, Office of Emergency Services and the Nevada County Auditor-Controller's Office to obtain specific information regarding the financial status of the affected areas. Chief Mike Terwilliger of the TFPD provided BAE with an estimated budget for the extension of the district's services to the affected territory, and Tom Skjelstad, General Manager of DSPUD provided input regarding potential changes to the current DSPUD fire-related revenues in the future.

#### **Revenue Sources**

DSPUD collects revenue from property tax, fire and rescue fees, ambulance fees, and CSA contract fees to fund fire protection services within its boundaries and the boundaries of the CSA areas that it serves under contract to Nevada and Placer Counties. Each special district collects all of its revenue from a per parcel special assessment. The exception is Placer County CSA 28, Zone 16, which collects a portion of the property tax generated within its boundaries, in addition to a special assessment. Revenue from each special district is used to pay the contract fees due to DSPUD. In the case of each special district, annually they generate more revenue than is necessary to cover the service contract fees paid to DSPUD. This generates budget surpluses that the CSAs currently hold in reserve. Additionally, a portion of the property taxes collected in unincorporated Placer County is allocated to the Placer County Office of Emergency Services (OES), for fire protection services.

#### Donner Summit Public Utility District

As mentioned previously, DSPUD is a multipurpose district providing water, sewer, and fire protection/rescue services within its jurisdiction. The Nevada County Auditor-Controller allocates DSPUD a share of the property taxes generated within the DSPUD boundaries, for the purposes of funding these municipal services. According to the DSPUD General Manager, Tom Skjelstad, the district has traditionally allocated approximately 65 percent of its property tax revenues to support fire protection and rescue operations. For fiscal year 2003-2004 this amount was \$122,176. The DSPUD uses the other 35 percent of the property taxes to fund sewer and water services. Fire and rescue fees for the same year totaled \$49,547 and ambulance fees generated \$417,132. Additionally, Placer County CSA 28, Zone 16, Zone 143, and Nevada County CSA 31 paid a total of \$195,224 in contract fees to DSPUD for the 2003-04 fiscal year. DSPUD also derived \$33,542 from grants, interest, and other charges, to support fire services, for total fire and rescue revenues of \$817,621 in FY 03-04.

DSPUD staff have indicated that it is likely that DSPUD will be favorably inclined to transfer at least the 65 percent portion of its property taxes to TFPD, if TFPD takes over its fire and rescue responsibilities. DSPUD will also transfer assets related to fire protection to TFPD, as described in Appendix B. Upon annexation, TFPD would begin charging service fees for fire and rescue services provided within the affected areas, so these revenues would transfer automatically. Other revenues such as grants, interest, and so forth associated with the provision of fire service would also accrue to TFPD upon annexation. It should be noted that the Sugarbowl Ski Resort, which lies within DSPUD's jurisdiction, plans on providing its own on-site rescue service sometime in the future. DSPUD's General Manager estimates that the district's emergency

service fees may decline by up to 25 percent as a result of this change. The analysis does not account for potential reductions in the amount of these revenues available to TFPD upon annexation of the area.

DSPUD is also open to the possibility of a 100 percent transfer of property tax revenues to TFPD. According to conversations with DSPUD and Nevada County officials, water and sewer services could potentially be funded entirely from enterprise fees. Under this scenario, TFPD would collect all of the tax revenue from DSPUD and in return DSPUD would be completely funded by user-based fees.

If TFPD does not annex the CSA areas, and instead takes over the service contracts from DSPUD, it is assumed that, at a minimum, TFPD would receive CSA contract fees equal to the fees that the CSAs currently pay DSPUD. If TFPD annexes the CSA areas, CSA contract fees would no longer be a funding source; however, it is assumed that TFPD would instead collect all of the CSA special assessment revenues that the CSAs currently collect and some portion of the property taxes that Placer County CSA 28, Zone 16 collects for fire protection purposes.

#### Nevada County Service Area 31

CSA 31 currently derives all of its revenues from a per parcel special assessment. These assessments for fiscal year 2004-2005 were \$39,956 with an additional \$300 in interest, accounting for a total income of \$40,256. As of the 2003 contract with DSPUD, CSA 31 pays \$31,200 per year to DSPUD for fire service. If TFPD decides to annex CSA 31 instead of simply renewing the contract, it is assumed that TFPD would then collect all of the CSA special assessment revenues from within CSA 31. In addition, Nevada County has a special resolution which determines the share of property tax available to transfer to an annexing district. Under this resolution, a percentage of the tax increment will be allocated to the annexing district, but the existing base remains with the County. The percentage of the increment equals the ratio of total property tax generated by the entire County to that of property taxes generated from the annexed district. Although this amount is not expected to be very significant, it should still be a topic in the forthcoming negotiations.

Because the annexation would actually transfer fire protection responsibility from CSA 31 (which does not derive any revenue from property taxes) to TFPD, it could be argued that there is no property tax base in CSA 31 to transfer to TFPD. At the same time, the increase in service quality derived from the annexation and subsequent provision of services by TFPD may justify transfer of some portion of the future property tax increment to TFPD. We recommend that Nevada County LAFCo request that its attorney review the language in the resolution regarding property tax transfers and render an opinion as to which situation, if any, would apply to a proposed annexation of CSA 31 to TFPD.

#### Placer County Service Area 28, Zone 16

CSA 28, Zone 16 collected \$159,182 in property taxes and \$68,000 in direct assessments for FY 03-04. Combined with and additional \$19,150 from rents and interest, Zone 16 had total revenue of \$246,332. Since Zone 16 only pays DSPUD \$147,200 for fire services any only incurs limited additional expenses, leaving an annual budget surplus, the district allocated the difference to reserves. The Placer County Special District Budget for FY 2004-2005 shows Zone 16 with a \$515,284 fund balance. It is assumed that the \$68,000 in direct assessments collected from CSA 16 could transfer to TFPD if the area is annexed. Based on informal discussions with TFPD staff and staff of the Placer County OES it appears both parties are amenable to TFPD taking over Zone 16's allocation of property tax. Other revenue items appropriate for discussions include

4

disposition of Zone 16's current fund balance and assets, including a fire station currently used by DSPUD. According to Mike Boyle, Deputy County Executive Officer for Placer County, these funds were saved for the purpose of making improvements to fire coverage. Since annexation would transfer fire coverage responsibility to TFPD it is reasonable to assume that the reserves designated for fire coverage investments be transferred as well, along with the fire station and fire-related assets, for the benefit of this area.

#### Placer County Service Area 28, Zone 143

CSA 28, Zone 143 generates all of its revenue from a per parcel special assessment. Total revenue for FY 03-04 was \$23,064 with \$22,817 in assessment fees and \$247 in interest and other revenue. The amount paid to DSPUD as part of the contract for fire coverage was \$19,910. It is assumed that if TFPD annexes the area, then TSPD will collect the entire amount of the special assessments. As with Zone 16, Zone 143 has a fund reserve; however, Zone 143's reserves were a much more modest \$11,098, according to the current budget. Nevertheless, TFPD and Placer County will need to discuss the disposition of these funds in the event of annexation.

#### Placer County OES Property Tax Revenues

Placer County provides for fire protection service to protect unincorporated areas not under contract with other fire districts. 1.4 percent of property tax revenues collected in unincorporated Placer County is allocated to Placer County Office of Emergency Services to help pay for this service. In the case of previous annexations, the amount of property tax base derived from this allocation has been transferred to the annexing district. However, this will need to be a subject of negotiation between TFPD and Placer County OES.

# **Estimated Cost for Truckee Fire to Annex**

TFPD has estimated its annual costs to take over fire and rescue service responsibility in the DSPUD area and the three special district areas. Table 1 details the TFPD cost estimates. Personnel costs include salaries and benefits for additional staff required as a result of the annexation. General and administrative expenses consist of office supplies, rent, telephone and insurance. Maintenance includes fuel and maintenance of vehicles and equipment, while professional fees are specifically allocated to dispatch services.

The TFPD cost estimate represents a significant reduction from the FY 04-05 DSPUD cost of \$916,936 for fire and rescue services for the same areas (see Appendix A). If TFPD successfully annexes DSPUD and either annexes the CSAs or simply takes over the service contracts currently held by DSPUD, fire and rescue service costs will benefit significantly from economies of scale. The larger TFPD operation expects to enjoy lower average costs compared to the smaller existing DSPUD fire and rescue operation over the long run. Currently, TFPD has six fire stations compared to two stations run by DSPUD. Although salaries and benefits for TFPD firefighters are higher than those of DSPUD, maintenance and equipment costs are expected to be significantly lower. Since dispatch for the annexed area would be integrated into TFPD's current and comparatively larger dispatch system, TFPD expects costs to be lower there as well. Additionally, cost savings can be expected from utilizing existing TFPD overhead versus maintaining separate overhead under DSPUD. This will allow TFPD to protect the same area as DSPUD, only much more efficiently.

Personnel Costs	
Full Time Salaries	\$336,427
FICA(0%)/Medicare(2.9%)/FUTA(0.9%)	\$9,756
Medical Insurance	\$50,368
Dental	\$5,712
Vision	\$3,300
Disablity	\$1,152
PERS	\$97,561
Worker's Comp	\$41,380
FLSA ( 10 hrs/mo @1.5 hourly)	\$7,507
Overtime (10%)	\$33,642
Paid Call	\$15,000
Uniforms/Badges	\$3,000
Subtotal - Personnel Costs	\$604,805
General & Admin Expense	
Building Rent	\$17,500
Insurance (Liability, Auto, E & O)	\$7,500
Computer Maintenance & Supplies	\$500
Telephone	\$3,000
Subtotal - General & Admin	\$28,500
Maintenance & Operations	
Utilities	\$13,500
Facility Mainteneance and Repair	\$2,000
M & O Supplies	\$2,000
Equiptment Maintenance & Repair	\$3,000
Vehicle Maintenance & Repair	\$10,000
Fuel	\$8,000
Expendabless	\$3,000
Medical Supplies	\$9,000
Compressed Air, O2	\$2,500
Subtotal - Maintenance & Operations	\$53,000
Professional Fees	
Grass Valley Dispatch	\$21,650
Subtotal - Professional Fees	\$21,650
Total Expenditures	\$707,955

Sources: TFPD, 2005; BAE, 2005

# **Economic Viability of Proposed Scenarios**

If TFPD chooses to follow through with the proposed annexation, there are two scenarios under which this could occur. Under Scenario 1, TFPD would annex DSPUD as well as the three special districts. Two primary funding possibilities exist under this outcome. Option A describes the annexation taking place with all DSPUD revenues associated with fire and rescue services and all the special district revenues transferring to TFPD. Option B would involve the counties retaining all current property tax revenue generated in the CSA areas and only allowing the CSA assessment to be transferred to TFPD. Under Scenario 2, TFPD would annex the DSPUD territory but simply accept transfer of the special district contracts. The contract fees would simply transfer to TFPD in their current amounts. Property tax could be transferred to TFPD in at least two different scenarios. Since DSPUD currently allocates approximately 65 percent of its property tax revenues to support fire services, that ratio could be maintained for purposes of annexation and TFPD would agree to receive that amount. Alternatively, DSPUD could also choose to fund water and sewer services entirely from user fees, which would allow for 100 percent of property tax revenues to be transferred to TFPD. Under the latter, the loss of property tax support for water and sewer services would likely translate to an increase in user fees for these services, balanced by a recognition that the transfer of property taxes to TFPD means that the property taxes would still be available for the benefit of the DSPUD service area, but only for fire/rescue services. However, in the long run, the property taxes may be more protected from further state "takes", such as the various shifts that the State legislature has enacted in recent years in order to balance the State budget, if they are allocated to the fire district versus the PUD.

Each scenario and budget outcome is affected by this and is explained in more detail below. Tables 2-4 show each scenario with TFPD receiving both 65 and 100 percent of current DSPUD property taxes.

# Scenario 1A

Scenario 1A describes a complete annexation by TFPD of both DSPUD and the three special districts under contract. DSPUD and each special district would transfer all of their fire and rescue revenues to TFPD for the purposes of fire protection. This includes all income from direct assessments of each special district, as well as property tax income from CSA 28, Zone 16. Income estimates are based on DSPUD revenue from FY 03-04, Placer County special district budgets from FY 03-04, and Nevada County special district budgets from FY 04-05. Cost estimates are based on the Table 1 estimates discussed above. If Scenario 1A were successfully adopted, TFPD's estimated net income as a result of the annexation would be \$185,000 per year, assuming 65 percent of DSPUD property tax was transferred (see Table 2). If TFPD acquired 100 percent of the property tax revenue, income would increase to \$249,287. Scenario 1A is not only viable but significantly beneficial for TFPD.

#### Scenario 1B

Scenario 1B describes the same complete annexation of DSPUD and special districts as Scenario 1A. However, 1B accounts for the possibility that property tax income from CSA 28, Zone 16 could be withheld from transfer to TFPD. Since annexation would void the current contract fees paid to DSPUD, the only income to TFPD from the special districts would be from direct assessments. Utilizing the same estimates for expenses as in Scenario 1A, TFPD would have an estimated net income of only \$6,069 per year with a 65 percent property tax revenue transfer. A 100 percent share would increase net income to \$70,708. Since income is only slightly positive with the 65 percent property tax transfer, viability of annexation under Scenario 1B revenue with

the 65 percent property tax transfer assumptions might require an increase in the assessments charged to properties within the annexed territories to ensure viability, which could render the annexation politically unviable.

# Scenario 2

Scenario 2 would involve the annexation of DSPUD by TFPD as well as the transfer of CSA service contract obligations between the two parties. In this case TFPD would have access only to the revenue derived from the fees currently paid to DSPUD by the special districts under contract. Assuming the same estimated TFPD annexation costs, since coverage area is the same, TFPD would generate an estimated net income of \$82,362 with a 65 percent share of property tax and \$147,001 with a 100 percent share. Both outcomes are feasible with little or no changes in fee structure necessary.

# Table 2: Scenario 1A

Revenue	Scenario 1B with 65 Percent Property Tax Revenue	Scenario 1B with 100 Percent Property Tax Revenue
Donner Summit PUD		
Fire & Rescue Service	\$49,547	\$49,547
Ambulance Service	\$417,132	\$417,132
Property Tax Allocation	\$122,176	\$186,815
Other Revenue	\$34,352	\$34,352
Subtotal - Donner Summit PUD		
Placer County CSA 28 Zone 16	\$623,207	\$687,846
	\$450.400	#450 400
Property Tax Allocation	\$159,182	\$159,182
Direct Charges	\$68,000	\$68,000
Other Revenue	\$19,150	\$19,150
Subtotal - Placer County CSA 28 Zone 16	\$246,332	\$246,332
Placer County CSA 28 Zone 143		
Direct Charges	\$22,817	\$22,817
Other Revenue	\$247	\$247
Subtotal - Placer County CSA 28 Zone 143	\$23,064	\$23,064
Nevada County CSA 31		
Direct Charges	\$39,956	\$39,956
Other Revenue	\$300	\$300
Subtotal - Nevada County CSA 31	\$40,256	\$40,256
Total Revenue	\$892,603	\$957,242
Expenditures		
Personnel Costs	······	······
Full Time Salaries	\$336,427	\$336,427
FICA(0%)/Medicare(2.9%)/FUTA(0.9%)	\$9,756	\$9,756
Medical Insurance	\$50,368	\$50,368
Dental	\$5,712	\$5,712
Vision	\$3,300	\$3,300
Disablity	\$1,152	\$1,152
PERS	\$97,561	\$97,561
Worker's Comp	\$41,380	\$41,380
FLSA ( 10 hrs/mo @1.5 hourly)	\$7,507	\$7,507
Overtime (10%)	\$33,642	\$33,642
Paid Call	\$15,000	\$15,000
Uniforms/Badges	\$3,000	\$3,000
Subtotal - Personnel Costs	\$604,805	\$604,805
General & Admin Expense		
Building Rent	\$17,500	¢17 500
•		\$17,500
Insurance (Liability, Auto, E & O)	\$7,500	\$7,500
Computer Maintenance & Supplies	\$500	\$500
Telephone	\$3,000	\$3,000
Subtotal - General & Admin	\$28,500	\$28,500
Maintenance & Operations		
Utilities	\$13,500	\$13,500
Facility Mainteneance and Repair	\$2,000	\$2,000
M & O Supplies	\$2,000	\$2,000
Equiptment Maintenance & Repair	\$3,000	\$3,000
Vehicle Maintenance & Repair	\$10,000	\$10,000
Fuel	\$8,000	\$8,000
Expendabless	\$3,000	\$3,000
Medical Supplies	\$9,000	\$9,000
Compressed Air, O2	\$2,500	\$2,500
Subtotal - Maintenance & Operations	\$53,000	\$53,000
Professional Fees	***	A
Grass Valley Dispatch	\$21,650	\$21,650
Subtotal - Professional Fees	\$21,650	\$21,650
Total Expenditures	\$707,955	\$707,955

Sources: DSPUD, 2005, Nevada County Staff, 2005, Placer County Budget, FY 2005/2005; BAE, 2005.

# Table 3: Scenario 1B

Revenue	Scenario 1B with 65 Percent Property Tax Revenue	Scenario 1B with 100 Percent Property Tax Revenue
Donner Summit PUD		
Fire & Rescue Service	\$49,547	\$49,547
Ambulance Service	\$417,132	\$417,132
Property Tax Allocation	\$122,176	\$186,815
Other Revenue		
Subtotal - Donner Summit PUD	\$34,352	\$34,352
Placer County CSA 28 Zone 16	\$623,207	\$687,846
Property Tax Allocation	N7/A	N//A
	N/A	N/A
Direct Charges	\$68,000	\$68,000
Other Revenue	N/A	N/A
Subtotal - Placer County CSA 28 Zone 16	\$68,000	\$68,000
Placer County CSA 28 Zone 143		
Direct Charges	\$22,817	\$22,817
Other Revenue	N/A	N/A
Subtotal - Placer County CSA 28 Zone 143	\$22,817	\$22,817
Nevada County CSA 31		
Direct Charges	\$39,956	\$39,956
Other Revenue	N/A	N/A
Subtotal - Nevada County CSA 31	\$39,956	\$39,956
Total Revenue	\$714,024	\$778,663
Expenditures		
Personnel Costs		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Full Time Salaries	\$336,427	\$336,427
FICA(0%)/Medicare(2.9%)/FUTA(0.9%)	\$9,756	\$9,756
Medical Insurance	\$50,368	\$50,368
Dental	\$5,712	\$5,712
Vision		
Disablity	\$3,300	\$3,300
	\$1,152	\$1,152
PERS	\$97,561	\$97,561
Worker's Comp	\$41,380	\$41,380
FLSA ( 10 hrs/mo @1.5 hourly)	\$7,507	\$7,507
Overtime (10%)	\$33,642	\$33,642
Paid Call	\$15,000	\$15,000
Uniforms/Badges	\$3,000	\$3,000
Subtotal - Personnel Costs	\$604,805	\$604,805
General & Admin Expense		
Building Rent	\$17,500	\$17,500
Insurance (Liability, Auto, E & O)	\$7,500	\$7,500
Computer Maintenance & Supplies	\$500	\$500
Telephone	\$3,000	\$3,000
Subtotal - General & Admin	\$28,500	\$28,500
Maintenance & Operations		<del></del>
Utilities	\$13,500	\$13,500
Facility Mainteneance and Repair	\$2,000	\$2,000
M & O Supplies	\$2,000	\$2,000
Equiptment Maintenance & Repair	\$3,000	\$3,000
Vehicle Maintenance & Repair	\$3,000 \$10,000	\$3,000 \$10,000
•		
Fuel	\$8,000	\$8,000
Expendabless	\$3,000	\$3,000
Medical Supplies	\$9,000	\$9,000
Compressed Air, O2	\$2,500	\$2,500
Subtotal - Maintenance & Operations	\$53,000	\$53,000
Professional Fees		
Grass Valley Dispatch	\$21,650	\$21,650
Subtotal - Professional Fees	\$21,650	\$21,650
Total Expenditures	\$707,955	\$707,955
Net Income	\$6,069	\$70,708

Sources: DSPUD, 2005, Nevada County Staff, 2005, Placer County Budget, FY 2005/2005; BAE, 2005.

# Table 4: Scenario 2

Revenue	Scenario 2 with 65 Percent Property Tax Revenues	Scenario 2 with 100 Percent Property Tax Revenues
Donner Summit PUD		
Fire & Rescue Service	\$49,547	\$49,547
Ambulance Service	\$417,132	\$417,132
Property Tax Allocation	\$122,176	\$186,815
Other Revenue	\$34,352	\$34,352
Subtotal - Donner Summit PUD	\$623,207	\$687,846
Placer County CSA 28 Zone 16		\$007,040
-	¢147.000	¢4.47.000
Contract Amount	\$147,200	\$147,200
Subtotal - Placer County CSA 28 Zone 16	\$147,200	\$147,200
Placer County CSA 28 Zone 143		
Contract Amount	\$19,910	\$19,910
Subtotal - Placer County CSA 28 Zone 143	\$19,910	\$19,910
Nevada County CSA 31		
Contract Amount	\$31,200	\$31,200
Subtotal - Nevada County CSA 31	\$31,200	\$31,200
Total Revenue	\$790,317	\$854,956
Expenditures		
Personnel Costs		
Full Time Salaries	\$336,427	\$336,427
FICA(0%)/Medicare(2.9%)/FUTA(0.9%)	\$9,756	\$9,756
Medical Insurance	\$50,368	\$50,368
Dental	\$5,712	\$5,712
Vision	\$3,300	\$3,300
Disablity	\$1,152	\$1,152
PERS	\$97,561	\$97,561
Worker's Comp	\$41,380	
•		\$41,380
FLSA (10 hrs/mo @1.5 hourly)	\$7,507	\$7,507
Overtime (10%)	\$33,642	\$33,642
Paid Call	\$15,000	\$15,000
Uniforms/Badges	\$3,000	\$3,000
Subtotal - Personnel Costs	\$604,805	\$604,805
General & Admin Expense		
Building Rent	\$17,500	\$17,500
Insurance (Liability, Auto, E & O)	\$7,500	\$7,500
Computer Maintenance & Supplies	\$500	\$500
Telephone	\$3,000	\$3,000
Subtotal - General & Admin	\$28,500	\$28,500
Maintenance & Operations		
Utilities	\$13,500	\$13,500
Facility Mainteneance and Repair	\$2,000	\$2,000
M & O Supplies	\$2,000	\$2,000
Equiptment Maintenance & Repair	\$3,000	\$3,000
Vehicle Maintenance & Repair	\$3,000 \$10,000	\$10,000
Fuel		
	\$8,000	\$8,000
Expendabless Medical Supplies	\$3,000	\$3,000
Medical Supplies	\$9,000	\$9,000
Compressed Air, O2	\$2,500	\$2,500
Subtotal - Maintenance & Operations	\$53,000	\$53,000
Professional Fees		
Grass Valley Dispatch	\$21,650	\$21,650
Subtotal - Professional Fees	\$21,650	\$21,650
Total Expenditures	\$707,955	\$707,955
Net Income	\$82,362	\$147,001

Sources: DSPUD, 2005, Nevada County Staff, 2005, Placer County Budget, FY 2005/2005; BAE, 2005.

# Conclusions

With an annual net income of \$185,000 or \$249,287, Scenario 1A is by far the most viable from the standpoint of TFPD. Considering the positive net income and the outlook for long term revenue growth from property tax allocated from within the DSPUD area and CSA 28, Zone 16, TFPD would benefit from the proposed annexation. Likewise, Scenario 1B and Scenario 2 would also generate an annual surplus for TFPD, but at smaller values than Scenario 1A.

**Scenario 1A.** Items for additional negotiation, but not necessary to provide for initial viability under Scenario 1A include transfer of a portion of the property tax increment from within Nevada County CSA 31, transfer of a portion of the Placer County CSA 28 property tax base and/or increment for countywide fire services to TFPD, and the disposition of the fund balances and assets in the two Placer County CSAs. While Nevada County does have a policy in place to guide such transfers (although, as noted previously, it is not clear exactly how this policy would apply in this case), there is no official policy to guide such a transfer in Placer County, as these transfers are typically negotiated on a case by case basis in Placer County.

**Scenario 1B.** CSA 28, Zone 16 has by far the most revenue potential compared to the other two special districts. In order for Scenario 1B to be a viable alternative, it would be necessary to establish a property tax transfer agreement between Placer County and TFPD, to increase the revenues allocated to TFPD. Such negotiations would most likely have to take place with Placer County before annexation, since transfer of fire coverage is not economically feasible without some sort of transfer of property tax revenue from CSA 28, Zone 16 to augment the CSA revenues. Negotiations at that time should also include the future of the \$515,284 fund balance and the smaller fund balance associated with CSA Zone 143 and transfer of property taxes from Nevada County CSA 31 and from the Placer County OES property tax share

**Scenario 2.** Scenario 2 would also be viable, but not as beneficial for TFPD as Scenario 1A. With a lower fiscal surplus, there may be a need in the future to renegotiate the CSA contracts if costs increase significantly and revenues to not keep pace.

# Appendix A: Estimated DSPUD FD Expenses, 04/05

Total Expenditures	\$916,936
Subtotal - Professional Fees	\$176,690
AIS Bad Debts	\$40,000 \$176,600
AIS Billing Charges(Ambulance)	\$23,000
District Administrative Charge	\$70,696
CDF Administrative Contract	\$21,000
Dispatch	\$22,000
Professional Fees	A
Subtotal - Maintenance & Operations	\$206,300
Compressed Air, O2	\$2,300
Building Improvements	\$12,000
Medical Supplies	\$12,000
Communications	\$2,500
Fuel	\$12,000
Prevention Services	\$500
New Equiptment	\$135,000
Vehicle Maintenance & Repair	\$10,000
Equiptment Maintenance & Repair	\$4,500
Facility Mainteneance and Repair	\$2,000
	\$13,500
Maintenance & Operations	
Subtotal - General & Admin	\$45,600
Travel	\$4,000
Training	\$1,000
Dues & Subscriptions	\$4,000
Immunizations	\$1,500
Certification Fees	\$2,000
Telephone	\$300
Computer Maintenance & Supplies	\$3,000
Office Supplies	\$3,800
Insurance (Liability, Auto, E & O)	\$8,500
General & Admin Expense Building Rent	\$17,50
Subtotal - Personnel Costs	\$488,340
Clothing Allowance	\$2,400
Continuing Education	\$1,00
Paramedic Education	\$1,80
Worker's Comp	\$33,00
Deferred Comp	\$1,00
Life, AD&D	\$50
Retirement	\$7,00
Payroll Taxes	\$20,000
Medical / Dental / Vision	\$50,00
Volunteer	\$6,00
Overtime	\$30,00
Holiday	\$11,00
Vacation	\$13,00
Sick Leave	\$7,00
Full Time Salaries Part time	\$35,00
	\$269,64

Sources: DSPUD Adopted Budget, 2004/2005; BAE, 2005.

Appendix B: Donner Summit Public Utility District Fire Department Asset Inventory List May 2005

### Station 81 and 82

Office

1 Couch

3 Computers and Printers

1 Black/white Cannon Copy Machine

1 Color Fax and Copy Machine

Living Area

1 Kenmore Refrigerator/Freezer

1 Kenmore Stove

1 Whirlpool Dishwasher

1 26" Samsung Color Television

1 RCA 5disc DVD Player

1 24" AIWA Color Television

3 Lazyboy Recliners

<u>GYM</u>

1 Parabody Multi Function Gym

1 Body Smith Squat Rack

1 Nordic Track Treadmill

1 Full set Olympic Weights & Dumb bells

Bedrooms

6 Beds and Frames

Miscellaneous

**BBO Grill** Panasonic Microwave Hot Water Heater Whirlpool Washer Whirlpool Dryer Milwaukee Chop Saw Summit Drill Press Dayton Battery Charger 5 Ton Jack Soda Machine Millermatic Wire Welder Sanborn Air Compressor 2 Honda Snow Blowers 22 Morning Pride Turnouts (Coats, Boots, Pants) 20 Pair Used Boots 16 Used Turnouts 16 Wildland Gear w/ Packs

20 Used Helmets

### Medic 281 - Ambulance 1996 Chevy VIN 1GBJK34FOTE266581

HT Radio Bendix King Mobile Radio Motor Max Trac 300 SSV Protocol Stock - ALS Ambulance Motorola Cell Phone Ferno Gurney / Ferno Stair Chair **Onboard Portable Suction** Lifepak 10 Monitor Airway/O2 Trauma Meds Pedi Roll 4 Backboards Folding Flat 10 Assorted C-Collars Vac-u-splints sm, md, lg w/ Pump Hare and Sager Splints **KED** Extrication Device MCI Kit Inflatable Pediatric Car Seat WMD Kit Irons **Bolt Cutters Tire Chains** 

### Medic 81 - Ambulance Chevy 1 Ton 4X4 VIN:

2 HT Radios Bendix King Mobile Radio Motor Max Trac 300 SSV Protocol Stock - ALS Ambulance Motorola Cell Phone Ferno Gurney / Ferno Stair Chair **Onboard Portable Suction** Lifepak 10 Monitor Airway/O2 Trauma Meds Pedi Roll 4 Backboards Folding Flat 10 Assorted C-Collars Vac-u-splints sm, md, lg w/ Pump Hare and Sager Splints **KED** Extrication Device MCI Kit

Inflatable Pediatric Car Seat WMD Kit Irons Bolt Cutters Tire Chains

#### Engine 81 - 1998 Ferrera Fire Engine VIN: 44KT4486WWZ18778

1998 Ferrara Fire Engine 4 David Clark Headsets 2 Bendix King Handheld Radios w/ Chargers Bendix King Mobile Radio 4 Scott Airpacks w/ Mounting Brackets 4 Scott Face pieces Kyocera Cell Phone 2 Streamlight "Light Box" Flashlights 4 Scott Air bottles 550' 1 3/4" Double Jacket Synthetic Hose 550' 2 1/2" Double Jacket Synthetic Hose 600' 3" Double Jacket Synthetic Hose 600' 5" Double Jacket Synthetic Hose 2 Large Diameter Hose Clamps 1 Storz Siamese Hose Roller / Water Extractor 2 Storz Adapt 2 Elkhart 1 1/2" Combo. Nozzles 2 1 1/2" Combo Nozzles 1 Elkhart 2 1/2" Smooth Bore Nozzles 1 Elkhart 2 1/2" Combo Nozzle 1 2 1/2" Smooth Bore Nozzle w/ 3 tips 4 1" Nozzles 2 1 1/2" Combo. Nozzles 1 1 1/2" x 5/8" Smooth Bore Nozzle Foam Nozzle 3/4" nozzle with Shutoff 2 3/4" Nozzles 2 Wild land Hose Clamps 1 1/2" Shutoff 1 2 2 1/2" Caps 2 1/2" Male Cap 1 5" Cap 1 1 1/2" Cap 1 5" Storz x 2 1/2" x 2 1/2" Clappered Siamese

5" Storz x 2 1/2" Adaptor

- 1 2 1/2" x 1 1/2" x 2 1/2" water thief
- 2 2 1/2" Double Female Adaptor
- 1 2 1/2" Double Male Adaptor

2 1 1/2" Double Female Adaptor

1 1/2" Double Male Adaptor

2 1/2" Female to 1 1/2" Male

4 1 1/2" Female to 1" Male

3 1" Female to 3/4" Male

2 1/2" x 2 1/2" x 2 1/2" Gated Wye

2 1/2" x 1 1/2" x 1 1/2" Gated Wye

1 1/2" x 1 1/2" x 1 1/2" Gated Wye

4 Spanners W/ Brackets

2 Steel Spanners

1 Foam Bucket wrench

1 Rubber Mallet

1 Hydrant Wrench

3" X 15' Angus Fill Hose

66 Gallon Pop-up Containment Pool

Tool Kit

1

2

1 1

1

1

1

ITX Multi Gas Monitor Kit

Leak Kit MCI Triage Kit Investigation Kit "A" Tool "K" Tool Pair Bolt Cutters 2 Pulaski **Rubbish Hook** 2 Square Point Shovels **Round Point Shovel** Pickhead Axe Haligan Tool Flathead Axe Sledge Hammer Honda Generator/ Scene Light Unifire Ventilation Fan Drip Torch and Gas Can Pressurized Water Fire Extinguisher Dri-Chem Pressurized Fire Extinguisher Stihl MS 440 Chain Saw w/ Fuel Can 2 McCleods 12' Pike Pole High Rise Pack 12" Pipe Wrench **Aluminum Spanner** 

2 Sets Tire Chains

3 Pondosa Hose Hooks

600' 1 1/2" Single Jacket Hose

6 1 1/2" x 1" Water Thief

24' Aluminum Extension Ladder 14' Roof Ladder 10' Collapsible Attic Ladder 3 Pike Poles WMD Pack Lifepak 5 Monitor/ Defibulator Medical Pack Hydrant Bucket with: 2 Storz Adaptors 1 2 1/2" Double Male 1 2 1/2" Double Female

2 Steel Spanners

2 2 1/2" 90degree Elbows

Hydrant Wrench

### Brush 81 - 2002 International VIN: 1HTSDAN12H559363

Bendix King HT Radio Bendix King Mobile Radio Asst. Wild land Hand Tools Asst. Fittings and Nozzles Honda Brush Pump 1400 ft' 1 1/2" Wild land Hose 800ft' 1" Wild land Hose 450ft' 2 1/2" Wild land Hose 600ft' 3" Wild land Hose Stihl 044 Chainsaw BLS Medical Bag 24ft' Ladder 14ft' Ladder Attic Ladder 4 Scotts SCBA's w/ Masks

### Engine 82 - 1982 GMC VIN: 1GDL7D2GXBV601413

Bendix King H/T Radio 300' 1 3/4" Structure Hose Misc. Fittings and Nozzles 750' 3" Structure Hose 750' 2 1/2" Structure Hose 14' Ladder 35' Ladder Tool Box Misc. Hand Tools 4 Scott SCBA's

### Patrol 81 - 1994 Chevy 2500 VIN: 1GCGK29N1RE312623

Uniden Bearcat Scanner BC 560XLT Motorola MaxTrac 300 Mobile Radio Oxygen Bag w/ Airway Equip. B/P Cuff, Stethoscope Misc. Airway Trauma Supplies Backboard Briggs/Stratton Pump (Hurst)- Powerhead 5000 Hurst Spreader Tire Chains Jumper Cables 150 Gal: Tank Skidmount Wildland Pump

# Rescue 81 - 1991 International VIN: 1HTSENHN2MH314959

4 Dry Suits 2 Thermal Suits 2 Sets Flippers 4 Hooks 5 Sets Gloves **5** Sets Booties 2 Pair Goggles & Snorkels 3 Scott Air packs w/ Masks Bendix King Handheld Radio w/ Charger Mag Light Streamlight "Lite Box" Flashlights w/ Chargers 1 4 Bottle Cascade system w/ Spare Bottle WMD Pack Stokes Wheel Scott Airpack Scott Bottles Set Tirechains **Square Point Shovel** 10X10 EZ-up Shelter 5 Back Boards w/ Straps Lifepack 5 Monitor/Defibulator Stokes Basket Medical Bag O2 Bag w/ Spare Bottle Suction Kit Trauma Bag Plastic Rescue Toboggan 6' Step Ladder

Flathead Axe Haligan Tool Pick Head Axes w/ Belt 36" Bolt Cutter 6' Pike Poles 2 **Round Point Shovel** Honda EM 5000 SX Portable Generator Unifire Ventilation Fan 2 Makita 9 Volt Battery Chargers w/ Batteries Makita Cordless Drill Kit 2 Airbags w/ Air regulator Station Air Chisel Kit Electrical Equipment Kit Marking Tape Sawzall Kit 300' Electrical Extension 100' Airline 2 Portable Scene Lights 2400' Rescue Rope 2 Body Harnesses Helmets Headlamps 10 Family Service Radios w/ Case 7 Avalanche Transceivers in Case Acetylene Torch Kit Dry Chem Extinguisher Pressurized Water Extinguisher Hammer Drill Kit 200' Tow Chain Rescue Equipment Bag w/ Rope Hardware 2 Sets Snowshoes **3 D-Handle Scoop Shovels** 4 Snow Rescue Packs 2 Ice Rescue Boards 2 Sledge Hammers 4 Steel Pry Boards 2 Crow Bars Broom **4** Steel Pickets 2 Throw Bags **4** Plastic Tarps Pop-up Containment Pool Fuel Transfer Pump Stihl 020 Chainsaw Sthil 038 Chainsaw Stihl TH 350 Rotary Saw 2 Ton Come Along 1

Mechanics Toll kit Combi Tool Spreader Cutter Hydraulic Rams w/ Extension Kit 50' Hose Reel Hi-lift Jacks Forcible Entry Tool Kit

### **RESOLUTION NO. 2023-13**

# A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT AUTHORIZING THE PLACING OF UNPAID SERVICE FEES FOR SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT ON THE 2023-2024 PLACER COUNTY TAX ROLL

WHEREAS, the Sierra Lakes County Water District (the "District") requests the County of Placer (the "County") collect on the County tax rolls certain charges that have been levied by the District; and

WHEREAS, the County has required as a condition of the collection of these charges that the District warrant the legality of these charges and defend and indemnify the County from any challenge to the legality for them.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Directors of the Sierra Lakes County Water District (the "Board") as follows:

1. The Board hereby determines, authorizes and requests that the unpaid service fees for 2022-2023, as set forth in the list delivered by District staff to the County Auditor, be placed on the 2023-2024 Placer County Tax Roll.

2. The District warrants and represents that the fees imposed by the District and being requested to be collected by the County comply with all requirements of state law.

3. The District releases and discharges the County and its officers, agents and employees (the "Indemnified Parties"), from any and all claims, demands, liabilities, costs and expenses, damages, causes of action and judgments in any manner arising out of the collection by the County of these service fees on behalf of the District.

4. The District agrees to and shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the Indemnified Parties from any and all claims, demands, liabilities, costs and expenses, damages, causes of action and judgments, in any manner arising out of the collection by the County of these District service fees, requested to be collected by the County for the District, or in any manner arising out of the District's establishment and imposition of these service fees. The District agrees that, in the event a judgment is entered in a court of law against any of the Indemnified Parties as a result of the collection of these service fees, the County may offset the amount of the judgment from any other monies collected by the County on behalf of the District, including property taxes.

5. This resolution shall take effect from and after the date of its passage.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the Sierra Lakes County Water District on April 13, 2023, by the following vote:

**AYES:** Directors

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAINED:

Dan Stockton, Board President

ATTEST:

Anna Nickerson, Secretary to the Board

### **RESOLUTION NO. 2023-14**

# A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT AUTHORIZING THE PLACING OF SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS FOR SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2011-1 ON THE 2023-2024 PLACER COUNTY TAX ROLL

WHEREAS, the Sierra Lakes County Water District (the "District") requests the County of Placer (the "County") collect on the County tax rolls certain charges that have been levied by the District in its Assessment District No. 2011-1; and

WHEREAS, the County has required as a condition of the collection of these charges that the District warrant the legality of these charges and defend and indemnify the County from any challenge to the legality for them.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Directors of the Sierra Lakes County Water District (the "Board") as follows:

1. The Board hereby determines, authorizes and requests that the special benefit assessment installments for 2023-2024 in the District's Assessment District No. 2011-1, as set forth in the list delivered by District staff to the County Auditor, be placed on the 2023-2024 Placer County Tax Roll.

2. The District warrants and represents that the assessments imposed by the District and being requested to be collected by the County comply with all requirements of state law.

3. The District releases and discharges the County and its officers, agents and employees (the "Indemnified Parties"), from any and all claims, demands, liabilities, costs and expenses, damages, causes of action and judgments in any manner arising out of the collection by the County of these assessments on behalf of the District.

4. The District agrees to and shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the Indemnified Parties from any and all claims, demands, liabilities, costs and expenses, damages, causes of action and judgments, in any manner arising out of the collection by the County of these District assessments, requested to be collected by the County for the District, or in any manner arising out of the District's establishment and imposition of these assessments. The District agrees that, in the event a judgment is entered in a court of law against any of the Indemnified Parties as a result of the collection of these District assessments, the County may offset the amount of the judgment from any other monies collected by the County on behalf of the District, including property taxes.

5. This resolution shall take effect from and after the date of its passage.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the Sierra Lakes County Water District on April 13, 2023, by the following vote:

AYES: Directors

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAINED:

Dan Stockton, Board President

ATTEST:

Anna Nickerson, Secretary to the Board

# SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Follow-up from March 23, 2023, Special Board Meeting

1	Paul Schultz	Send SLPOA a copy of the fish stocking letter from UNR.
2	Jeff Mitchell	Provide recommendation about the Water District's ability to participate in the Fire Camera project.
3	Staff	Follow Up regarding LAFCO agreement regarding fire hydrant snow clearing
4	Patrick Baird	Obtain a sampling plan and develop cost estimate based on Director Jackson's discussion regarding MCL.
5	Paul Schultz	Check with UNR about a raw and treated water sample plan based on Director Jackson's discussion regarding MCL for PFASs.

# Sierra Lakes County Water District Action Items As of April 2023

	TASK	STATUS	DUE DATE	Assigned
1	A. Water Use Data Collection & Analysis	Moved to Master Planning Schedule	See Master Plan Schedule	Dowl, Paul & Operations Staff
	B. Develop a recommended method for providing customer access to personal water usage data	Moved to Master Planning Schedule	See Master Plan Schedule	Dowl, Jen & Pau
	C. Develop Metered Rate Schedule	Moved to Master Planning Schedule	See Master Plan Schedule	Dowl & Paul
	D. Rate Study Communication (Web Page)	Moved to Master Planning Schedule	See Master Plan Schedule	Dowl & Paul
Ì	E. Board and Community Workshops	Moved to Master Planning Schedule	See Master Plan Schedule	Dowl & Paul

	TASK	STATUS	DUE DATE	PROGRESS	ASSIGNED
2.	Acquisition of Ice Lakes Dam & channel	Research continued. Dowl is working on surveyor report. Assigned to District Engineer.		75%	Dowl & Paul
3.	Gantt Chart for Master Planning Schedule	A. Stodtmeister to provide update - See Note:	Informational	N/A	Dowl & Paul

<u>NOTE:</u> The metered system schedule is being combined with the Master Planning Schedule and was unavailable at the time of printing of the packet. The combined schedule will be presented at the December 8, 2022