SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT for the year ended June 30, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Independent Auditor's Report | Pages 1-3 |
|--|--------------|
| Basic Financial Statements: | |
| Statement of Net Position | 4 |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position | 5-6 |
| Statement of Cash Flows | 7-8 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 9-29 |
| Required Supplementary Information: | |
| Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Total Pension Liability and Related Ratios as of the Measurement Date | 31 |
| Schedule of Contributions for Pensions | 32 |
| Schedule of Changes in Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios | 33 |
| Supplemental Information: | |
| Principal Officials | 35 |
| Bond Fund – Statement of Net Position | 36 |
| - Bond Debt | 37 |
| – Net Position | 38 |
| Report on Internal Controls and Compliance: | |
| Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in | |
| Accordance with Government Auditing Standards | 40-41 |

6234 Birdcage Street, Citrus Heights, California 95610 | robertwjohnsoncpagroup@gmail.com | 916.**723.2555** www.bob-johnson-cpa.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors Sierra Lakes County Water District Soda Springs, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Sierra Lakes County Water District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control.

Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sierra Lakes County Water District as of June 30, 2019, and the changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as well as the accounting systems prescribed by the State Controller's Office and State Regulations governing Special Districts.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that Management's Discussion and Analysis, and other required supplementary information as listed in the Table of Contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. Management has omitted the Management's Discussion and Analysis. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information. We have applied certain limited procedures to the other required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements as a whole. The supplemental information listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated August 30, 2019 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Robert W. Johnson, an accountancy Corporation Citrus Heights, California

August 30, 2019

The Mark Wall of The Co.

.

•

at and from a diff

LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS AND NET POSITION

| \$ 218,092 |
|------------------|
| 62,814 |
| 228,579 |
| 31,316 |
| 3,608 |
| _544,409 |
| 12000 |
| 4,772,252 |
| 1,085,627 |
| <u>5,857,879</u> |
| 6,402,288 |
| 69,323 |
| |

| V | e | p | 0 | S | lt | 1 | 0 | n | : |
|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Net investment in capital assets | \$ 4,454,460 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Restricted (Note 6) | 191,925 |
| Unrestricted | (2,039,968) |

2,606,417

\$9,078,028

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION for the year ended June 30, 2019

| | Water | Sewer | Total |
|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Operating revenues: | | | |
| Water sales | \$ 851,449 | \$ - | \$ 851,449 |
| Sewer service | | 1,180,936 | 1,180,936 |
| Connection fees | 182 | 780 | 962 |
| Penalties and costs | 4,082 | 4,082 | 8,164 |
| Other | 3,024 | 3,024 | 6.048 |
| Total revenues | 858,737 | 1,188,822 | 2,047,559 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Pumping | 49,571 | | 49,571 |
| Treatment | 345,921 | - | 345,921 |
| Transmission and distribution | 422,593 | | 422,593 |
| Administrative and general | 176,183 | 142,563 | 318,746 |
| Depreciation | 122,842 | 96,639 | 219,481 |
| Collection | - | 838,370 | 838,370 |
| Disposal | | 14,281 | 14,281 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,117,110 | 1,091,853 | 2,208,963 |
| Operating income (loss) | (258,373) | 96,969 | (161,404) |
| Non-operating revenues (expenses): | | | |
| Property taxes | 220,522 | 220,522 | 441,044 |
| Interest income | 32,855 | 32,853 | 65,708 |
| Interest expense | (12,075) | (129,320) | (141,395) |
| | 241,302 | 124,055 | _365,357 |
| Income before contributions | | | |
| (carried forward) | (17,071) | 221,024 | 203,953 |

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION, continued for the year ended June 30, 2019

| | Water | Sewer | _Total |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Income before contributions (brought forward) | \$(17,071) | \$ 221,024 | \$ 203,953 |
| Capital contributions | 2[_5 | | 1400 |
| Changes in net position | \$ <u>(17,071)</u> | \$ <u>221,024</u> | 203,953 |
| Total net position: | | | |
| Beginning of year | | | 2,402,464 |
| End of year | | | \$ <u>2,606.417</u> |

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS for the year ended June 30, 2019

| Cook flows from amounting activities. | | |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | 00000 100 |
| Receipts from customers | | \$ 2,067,407 |
| Payments to suppliers | | (1,037,049) |
| Payments to employees | | (308,528) |
| Net cash provided by operating | | |
| activities | | 721,830 |
| Cash flows from noncapital financing activities: | | |
| Receipts from property taxes and other | | |
| operating income | \$ 441,044 | |
| Special Services | <u> </u> | 441,044 |
| | | 771,011 |
| Cash flows from capital and related | | |
| financing activities: | | |
| Property additions, including | | |
| work in progress | (104,582) | |
| Payment of long-term debt | (212,380) | |
| Interest expense | (141,395) | |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | (458,357) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Interest income | | 65,708 |
| interest income | | |
| Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash | | |
| equivalents | | 770,225 |
| 1 | | 0.39== |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Beginning of year | | 3,054,735 |
| | | |
| End of year | | \$ <u>3,824,960</u> |
| Reconciliation of cash and cash equivalents to statement | nt of net position: | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | or not beamon. | \$ 2,960,962 |
| Restricted - cash and cash equivalents | | 863,998 |
| Tito-intera - vanit and vanit equivalents | | |
| | | \$_3.824,960 |
| | | |

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS, continued for the year ended June 30, 2019

| Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
|--|------------|-------------------|
| Operating income (loss) | | \$(161,404) |
| Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided to operating activities: Depreciation | \$ 219,481 | |
| Changes in operating assets, liabilities, deferred inflows and deferred outflows: | | |
| Receivables | 81,128 | |
| Prepaids | 248 | |
| Payables | 9,988 | |
| Prepaid water and sewer service fees | 228,579 | |
| Compensated absences | (5,319) | |
| Net pension liability | (47,028) | |
| Total OPEB liability | 367,510 | |
| Deferred outflows of resources - pension | 42,510 | |
| Deferred outflows of resources - OPEB | (16,680) | |
| Deferred inflows of resources - pension | 2,817 | |
| | | 883,234 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | \$ <u>721.830</u> |
| Supplemental information: | | |
| Cash paid for interest | | \$ <u>141,454</u> |

1. Organization:

Sierra Lakes County Water District (the "District") was formed in 1961 and provides water service/distribution and sewer collection to residents of the District. The District's financial and administrative functions are governed by a five member Board of Directors elected by the landowners and residents of the District. The District's mission statement is to provide quality water treatment/distribution and sewer collection services at the lowest possible cost.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

The basic financial statements of Sierra Lakes County Water District have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the District's accounting policies are described below.

Basis of Presentation

The District is an enterprise fund. All operations are accounted for as an enterprise fund.

Enterprise Fund – The enterprise fund is used to account for water and sewer operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises. The intent of the District is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods and services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

The financial transactions of Sierra Lakes County Water District Assessment District No. 2011-1 (Assessment District No. 2011-1) are included in this report as supplemental information since this Assessment District is a separate legal entity from Sierra Lakes County Water District.

Basis of Accounting

The basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Basis of Accounting, continued

The District's books and accounts are based upon the Uniform System of Accounts for Public Utilities as prescribed by the Division of Local Government Fiscal Affairs of the State of California. The records are maintained and the accompanying financial statements are presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred.

Operating revenues and expenses, such as water sales along with water expenses, result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the District. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. Management, administration and depreciation expenses are also considered operating expenses. Other revenues and expenses not included in the above categories are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses. Non-operating revenues and expenses, such as grant funding, investment income and interest expense, result from non-exchange transactions, in which, the District gives (receives) value without directly receiving (giving) value in exchange.

Property tax revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. The District considers property tax revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period.

Depreciation

Property, plant and equipment is recorded on the basis of purchase cost. Assets acquired by contributions are recorded at estimated cost or fair market value at the date of acquisition.

Depreciation is calculated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the District considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents, including restricted assets. Cash in banks, deposits in the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), Placer County Treasurer's Pooled Investment and deposits with fiscal agents are considered to be cash and cash equivalents.

Compensated Absences

Vested or accumulated vacation and comp. time (non-exempt employees) that is expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources is reported as an expense and as a current liability. Sick pay is not vested.

Sewage Processing-Accounting

Contract costs of the service agreement with Donner Summit Public Utility District for processing sewage generated by the District are expensed as incurred; also expensed are the improvement project costs for the wastewater treatment plant. Regular processing costs including prior year adjustments received are recorded as operating expenses; capital processing costs are recorded as non-operating expense.

Budget and Budgetary Accounting

The Board of Directors annually adopts an operating budget. The operating budgets are prepared on the accrual basis.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Investments

Investments consist of LAIF (State of California pooled investment fund) and Placer County Treasurer's Investment Pool. Investments are stated at fair value. Such investments are within the State statutes and the District's investment policy.

Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans (Plans) and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Deferred Outflows of Resources

A deferred outflow of resources represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period and will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense) until that future time.

Deferred Inflows of Resources

A deferred inflow of resources represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period and therefore will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that future time.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Net Position

Net position is classified in the following categories:

Net Investment in capital assets – groups all capital assets, including infrastructure, into one component of net position. Accumulated depreciation and the outstanding balances of debt that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of these assets reduce this category.

<u>Restricted</u> – presents external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors or laws and regulations of other governments and restrictions imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

<u>Unrestricted</u> – represents the net position of the District, which are not restricted or invested in capital assets net of related debt.

3. Cash and Cash Equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2019 consisted of the following:

| Cash on hand | \$ 50 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Deposits with financial institutions | 518,728 |
| Held by fiscal agent: | |
| Money Market checking | <u>191,925</u> |
| Total cash | _710,703 |
| Investments in Local Agency | |
| Investment Fund (LAIF) - | |
| OPEB funds | \$ 672,073 |
| Placer County Treasurer's | |
| Pooled Investment | 2,442,184 |
| Total investments | 3,114,257 |
| Total cash and investments | \$ <u>3,824,960</u> |

3. Cash and Cash Equivalents, continued:

Cash and cash equivalents are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

| Cash and investments | \$2,960,962 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Restricted cash and investments | _863,998 |
| | \$3,824,960 |

At June 30, 2019, the carrying amount of the District's deposits was \$710,653 and the balance in financial institutions was \$720,593. Of the balance in the financial institutions, \$442,088 was covered by federal depository insurance and \$278,505 was collateralized as required by State Law (Government Code Section 53630), by the pledging financial institution with assets held in a common pool for the District and other agencies, but not in the name of the District.

Investments:

California statutes authorize the District to invest in a variety of credit instruments as provided for in the California Government Code Section 53600, Chapter 4 – Financial Affairs. The Government Code allows investments in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, agencies, and instrumentalities, commercial paper rated A-1 by Standard & Poor's or P-1 by Moody's Commercial Paper Record, bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements, medium-term corporate notes, mutual funds and the State Treasurer's Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). The investments at June 30, 2019 consist of:

| | Carrying Amount | Maturity - 12 Months or Less |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) | \$ 672,073 | \$ 672,073 |
| Placer County Treasurer's Pooled Investment | 2,442,184 | 2,442,184 |
| | \$ <u>3,114,257</u> | \$ <u>3,114,257</u> |

3. Cash and Cash Equivalents, continued:

Pursuant to Government Accounting Standards Board Statement 3, the investment in LAIF and Placer County Treasury are not classified in categories of credit risk. The District's funds in LAIF and Placer County Treasurer's Pooled Investment are invested in a diversified portfolio (of underlying investments e.g. U.S. Treasury obligations) such that it considers the risk of material loss to be minimal. The funds held in LAIF and Placer County Treasurer's Pooled Investment can be withdrawn on demand. The District reports its investments in LAIF and Placer County Treasurer's investment pool at fair value.

Restricted Cash:

The District had \$191,925 in cash as of June 30, 2019, held by a fiscal agent pledged for the payment or security of a loan contract. The California Government Code provides that these monies, in the absence of specific statutory provisions governing the issuance of bonds, certificates, or leases, may be invested in accordance with the ordinance, resolutions, policies or indentures specifying the types of investments its fiscal agents may make.

The District had \$672,073 in cash at June 30, 2019 established for OPEB (Post Employment Benefits) funding. The funds are held in LAIF.

4. Capital Assets:

At June 30, 2019 changes in capital assets are as follows:

| | Balance 6-30-18 | Additions | Disposals/ Transfers | Balance 6-30-19 |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Water: | | | | |
| Source of supply | \$ 49,687 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 49,687 |
| Pumping plant | 142,950 | A-1 | | 142,950 |
| Water treatment Transmission and | 683,796 | | | 683,796 |
| distribution | 4,138,458 | 103,131 | 2 | 4,241,589 |
| General plant | 241,304 | 100,101 | 120 | 241,304 |
| FMHA project | 904,122 | 12 | - | 904,122 |
| DWR project | 628,830 | 120 | . +0 | 628,830 |
| Filter plant modification | 85,929 | 2,090 | | 88,019 |
| | 6,875,076 | 105,221 | | 6,980,297 |
| Sewer: | | | | |
| Camera | 10,427 | - | - | 10,427 |
| Vehicles | 292,127 | - | - | 292,127 |
| Collection system | 1,136,830 | 15,537 | - | 1,152,367 |
| Filter plant modification SWRQCB – sewer | 85,929 | 2,090 | 167 | 88,019 |
| project | 1,772,116 | A. | | 1,772,116 |
| Waste disposal utility | | | | |
| plant | 779,194 | 17,106 | - | 796,300 |
| Parallel sewer force main | 217,842 | | - | 217,842 |
| Sewage export project | 206,448 | -3- | | 206,448 |
| | 4,500,913 | 34,733 | - | 4,535,646 |
| Construction in progress | 35,796 | 1,875 | 37,247 | 424 |
| Land | 157,035 | | | 157,035 |
| Totals | \$ <u>11,568,820</u> | \$ <u>141,829</u> | \$ <u>37,247</u> | \$ <u>11,673,402</u> |

5. Long-term Debt:

The following is a summary of the long-term debt activities for the year ended June 30, 2019:

| | | 2018 | | w Debt ssued | 1 | Debt Retired | - | 2019 | | Current Portion |
|--------------------------|-----|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|--------------------|-----|--------------------|
| DHS loan USDA/Revenue | \$ | 498,207 | \$ | 4 | \$ | 71,483 | \$ | 426,724 | \$ | 73,291 |
| Bond | | 4,695,700 | | 1-5 | | 140,700 | 4 | 1,555,000 | | 144,600 |
| Bond Fund | \$_ | 8,817 5,202,724 | \$ | | \$_ | 197 212,380 | \$4 | 8,620 1,990,344 | \$_ | 201 |

DHS Loan

The District entered into a Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund loan with the State of California, Department of Health Services for \$1,307,195 at 2.5132% interest. The purpose of the loan is to assist in financing construction of a project to enable the District to meet safe drinking water standards.

The \$1,307,195 loan will be payable in semi-annual payments of \$41,779 commencing January 1, 2005 through January 1, 2025.

At June 30, 2019 the District maintained a required loan reserve of \$191,925.

Major transactions in 2018-19 consisted of 4 quarterly deposits of \$25,000 each and total disbursements of \$83,558 for debt service.

5. Long-term Debt (continued):

USDA/Revenue Bond

On March 28, 2014 the District issued revenue bonds in the amount of \$5,222,000 for purposes of improving the sewer facility. The bonds bear interest at 2.75% and mature on March 28, 2042. Interest is payable March 28 and September 28 (principal is payable annually commencing March 28, 2015). No debt service reserve is required for the revenue bond.

Bond Fund

The District purchased a vacant lot which carried a liability to the Assessment District of \$9,384. This liability is payable through 2053 in annual payments of \$444 through 2024 and \$403 through 2053 respectively, including 2.75% interest.

The future annual maturities of all long-term borrowings as of June 30, 2019 are as follows:

| Year | Principal | Interest | Total |
|-----------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 2020 | \$ 218,092 | \$ 135,772 | \$ 353,864 |
| 2021 | 223,950 | 129,937 | 353,887 |
| 2022 | 229,955 | 123,946 | 353,901 |
| 2023 | 236,108 | 117,794 | 353,902 |
| 2024 | 242,411 | 111,479 | 353,890 |
| 2025-2029 | 917,032 | 476,014 | 1,393,046 |
| 2030-2034 | 1,003,010 | 348,269 | 1,351,279 |
| 2035-2039 | 1,148,671 | 202,564 | 1,351,235 |
| 2040-2044 | 768,356 | 43,115 | 811,471 |
| 2045-2049 | 1,667 | 348 | 2,015 |
| 2050-2053 | 1,092 | 102 | 1,194 |
| | \$4,990,344 | \$ <u>1.689.340</u> | \$ <u>6,679,684</u> |

6. Restricted Net Position:

At June 30, 2019 District equity reserves consist of:

Reserve for debt service

\$ 191,925

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan:

Plan Description

The District contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), a cost sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. The District participates in the miscellaneous 2% at 60 risk pool. CalPERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. CalPERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public employers within the State of California. CalPERS require agencies with less than 100 active members in the plan to participate in the risk pool. All District permanent employees are eligible to participate in the System. Benefits vest after five years of service. District employees who retire at age 50 to 63 and with over 5 years of credited service are entitled to an annual retirement benefit, payable monthly for life, in an amount equal to 1.426 to 2.418 percent of their average salary during their last 36 months of employment. A menu of benefits provision as well as other requirements is established by State Statutes within the Public Employees Retirement Law. The plan selects optional benefit provisions from the benefit menu by contract with CalPERS and adopts those benefits through District resolution. CalPERS issues a separate comprehensive annual financial report. Copies of the CalPERS' annual financial report may be obtained from the CalPERS Executive Office, 400 P Street, Sacramento, California, 95814.

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

Funding Policy

Covered employees are required by statute to contribute 7.0 percent of their salary to the plan after a formula to coordinate with Social Security. The District is required by the same statute to contribute the remaining amounts necessary to pay benefits when due. The District is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate.

The Plans' provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2019, are summarized as follows:

| | Classic | PEPRA |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Benefit formula | 2% at 60 | 2% at 62 |
| Benefit vesting schedule | 5 years of service | 5 years of service |
| Benefit payments | monthly for life | monthly for life |
| Retirement age | 55+ | 55+ |
| Required employee contribution rates | 7% | 6.25% |
| Required employer contribution rates | 7.63% | 6.84% |
| | | |

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

Contributions - Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for both Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

For the year ended June 30, 2019, the District's contributions to the Plan were as follows:

Contributions \$\(\frac{16.436}{} \)

A. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions:

As of June 30, 2019, the District reported net pension liability as follows:

Net pension asset \$__17,915

The District's net pension liability for each Plan is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of each of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2018, and the total pension liability for each Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2017 rolled forward to June 30, 2018 using standard update procedures. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plans relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the Plan as of June 30, 2018 was as follows:

| | Miscellaneous Plan |
|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Proportion June 30, 2017 | 0.0131169% |
| Proportion June 30, 2018 | <u>-0.0001900%</u> |
| Change - Increase/(Decrease) | <u>-0.01330 %</u> |

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

For the year ended June 30, 2019, the District recognized pension expense of \$14,735. At June 30, 2019, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

| | Deferred Outflows of Resources | Deferred Inflows of Resources |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Adjustments due to differences in proportions | \$ 1.712 | \$ 69,323 |
| Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments | 2,234 | |
| Difference between expected and actual experience | 11,438 | Ž. |
| Changes in assumptions | 38,889 | 1 |
| Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date | 16,436 | _ |
| | \$ <u>70,709</u> | \$ 69,323 |

\$16,436 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2020. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

| \$ | 11,682 |
|----|---------|
| | 202 |
| (| 22,870) |
| (| 4,064) |
| | 4 |
| | 2 |
| | |
| | \$ (|

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

Actuarial Assumptions – The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

| | Miscellaneous |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Valuation date | June 30, 2017 |
| Measurement date | June 30, 2018 |
| Actuarial Cost Method | Entry-Age Normal Cost Method |
| Actuarial Assumptions: | |
| Discount rate | 7.15% |
| Inflation | 2.75% |
| Payroll Growth | 3.0% |
| Projected Salary Increase | 3.3%-14.2% |
| Investment Rate of Return | 7.15% |
| | |

Discount Rate – The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.15% for each Plan. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for each plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.15 percent discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long term expected discount rate of 7.15 percent will be applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from the CalPERS website.

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

The table below reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

| Asset Class | New Strategic Allocation | Real Return Years 1-10(a) | Real Return Years 11+(b) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Global Equity | 47.0% | 5.25% | 5.71% |
| Global Fixed Income | 19.0% | 0.99% | 2.43% |
| Inflation Sensitive | 6.0% | 0.45% | 3.36% |
| Private Equity | 12.0% | 6.83% | 6.95% |
| Real Estate | 11.0% | 4.50% | 5.13% |
| Infrastructure and Forestland | 3.0% | 4.50% | 5.09% |
| Liquidity | 2.0% | -0.55% | -1.05% |
| Total | 100% | | |

- (a) An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.
- (b) An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

Sensitivity of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate – The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan, calculated using the discount rate for each Plan, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current rate:

| | Miscellaneous |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 1% Decrease | 6.15% |
| Net Pension Liability (Asset) | \$(28,776) |
| Current Discount Rate | 7.15% |
| Net Pension Liability (Asset) | \$(17,915) |
| 1% Increase | 8.15% |
| Net Pension Liability (Asset) | \$(8,949) |

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

8. Other Postemployment Benefits "OPEB":

Plan Description

In addition to pension benefits, the District provides certain healthcare benefits through CalPERS for retired employees and their survivor dependents, subject to certain conditions. The District's employees become eligible for benefits "after retirement in accordance with regulations prescribed by CalPERS." At June 30, 2018, seven retired employees/survivor dependents met those eligibility requirements. Covered employees are not required to make contributions to receive the benefits.

Total OPEB Liability

The District's net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2018, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2018.

Actuarial Assumptions

The total OPEB liability in the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Discount rate 3.87%
Inflation 2.75%
Payroll Increase 3.25%

Healthcare Trend 7% in 2019 grading

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability was 3.87%. The discount rate is based on an index of 20 year General Obligation municipal bonds.

8. Other Postemployment Benefits "OPEB", continued:

Change in Total OPEB Liability as of June 30, 2018

| | Total OPEB <u>Liability</u> | Plan Fiduciary Net Position | Total OPEB Liability |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Rollback balance at June 30, 2017 | \$_718,117 | \$ | \$_718,117 |
| Changes recognized for the measurement period: | | | |
| Service cost | 24,702 | 9.2 | 24,702 |
| Interest on total OPEB liability | 25,539 | - 3 | 25,539 |
| Differences between expected and | | | |
| actual experience | 214,739 | 1.0 | 214,739 |
| Changes of assumptions | 141,212 | 1.2 | 141,212 |
| Benefit payments | (38,682) | | (38,682) |
| Net changes during July 1, 2017 to | | | |
| June 30, 2018 | 367,510 | | _367,510 |
| Balance at June 30, 2018 (Measurement | | | |
| Date) | \$ <u>1,085,627</u> | \$ | \$ <u>1.085,627</u> |

Sensitivity of the Total OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate

The following presents the total OPEB liability with a discount rate 1% higher and 1% lower than assumed in the valuation:

| | Discount Rate 1% Lower | Valuation Discount Rate 3.87% | Discount Rate 1% Higher |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Total OPEB liability | \$ <u>1,241,645</u> | \$ <u>1,085,627</u> | \$ <u>958,372</u> |

8. Other Postemployment Benefits "OPEB", continued:

Sensitivity of the Total OPEB liability to changes in healthcare cost trend rates

The following presents the total OPEB liability with a healthcare cost trend rate 1% higher and 1% lower than assumed in the valuation:

| | Trend 1% Lower | Valuation Trend | Trend 1% Higher |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Total OPEB liability | \$ 948,913 | \$1,085,627 | \$1,266,299 |

OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2019 the District recognized OPEB expense of \$349,950. Under GASB 74 and 75, OPEB expense includes service cost, interest cost, change in total OPEB liability due to plan changes; all adjusted for deferred inflows and outflows. The District determined that it was not reasonable to rerun prior valuations under GASB 75. The transition approach provided by GASB 75, Paragraph 244 was used. Therefore, there are no deferred inflows/outflows in the first year (with the possible exception of contributions after the measurement date). As such, \$55,362 in contributions made after the measurement date are reflected as deferred outflows at June 30, 2019.

Funding Policy

The District has no plan assets in a CERBT trust fund. The District did, however, establish a cash reserve fund (requiring board action and two board signatures to access funds). In 2018-19 fiscal year the District funded \$54,000 to the OPEB (LAIF) bank account. At June 30, 2019 cash held in OPEB bank account (LAIF) is \$672,073.

9. Risk of Loss:

Sierra Lakes County Water District is exposed to various risks of loss related to theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; and injuries to employees. During the 2019 fiscal year, the District purchased certain commercial insurance coverages to provide for these risks.

10. Capital Improvement Reserves:

The District currently has 212 vacant lots resulting in potential connection permit fees, as follows:

| Sewer connection fees 212 x \$7,800 | \$1,653,600 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Water connection fees 212 x 1,825 | _386,900 |

\$2,040,500

These fees were established to refund the District's General Fund for expenditures already made during infrastructure construction that included hook ups to the District's water distribution and wastewater export systems. Given that the expenditures were paid by the General Fund, during the infrastructure construction, a reserve would not be appropriate.

11. Subsequent Events:

Management has evaluated subsequent events through August 30, 2019, the date these June 30, 2019 financial statements were available to be issued.

12. Receivable - Project Reimbursement:

At June 30, 2019 the District has a receivable from DSPUD for reimbursement of project costs (excess capacity credit). The \$196,725 is receivable in annual principal payments of \$65,575 plus 2.75% interest through 2021.



SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE TOTAL PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS

As of June 30, 2019 Last 10 years (1)

| 2019 | _2018_ | _2017 | 2016 | _2015_ |
|-------------|--|---|---|---|
| -0.00019% | .013117% | .01301% | 0.01255% | 0.00625% |
| \$(17,915) | \$ 517,076 (2) | \$ 452,044 | \$ 344,382 | \$ 388,716 |
| \$ 211,176 | \$ 214,138 | \$ 204,488 | \$ 209,197 | \$ 199,147 |
| -8.483% | 241.47% | 221.06% | 164.620% | 195.19% |
| \$2,043,164 | \$1,529,839 | \$1,475,762 | \$1,535,687 | \$1,476,608 |
| 100.88% | 74.74% | 76.55% | 81.68% | 79.16% |
| | -0.00019% \$(17,915) \$ 211,176 -8,483% \$2,043,164 | -0.00019% .013117% \$(17,915) \$ 517,076 (2) \$ 211,176 \$ 214,138 -8.483% 241.47% \$2,043,164 \$1,529,839 | -0.00019% .013117% .01301% \$(17,915) \$ 517,076 \$ 452,044 (2) \$ 211,176 \$ 214,138 \$ 204,488 -8.483% 241.47% 221.06% \$2,043,164 \$1,529,839 \$1,475,762 | -0.00019% .013117% .01301% 0.01255% \$(17,915) \$ 517,076 \$ 452,044 \$ 344,382 (2) \$ 211,176 \$ 214,138 \$ 204,488 \$ 209,197 -8,483% 241.47% 221.06% 164.620% \$2,043,164 \$1,529,839 \$1,475,762 \$1,535,687 |

Changes in assumptions: None

- (1) Fiscal year 2015 was the 1st year of implementation, therefore only five years are shown.
- (2) In August 2017 the District paid \$487,963 to CalPERS to reduce unfunded net pension liability for both classic and PEPRA plans.

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PENSIONS As of June 30, 2019

Last 10 years (1)

| | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Contractually required contribution (actuarially determined) | \$ 16,436 | \$ 15,002 | \$ 40,812 | \$ 43,307 | \$ 26,862 |
| Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions | 16,436 | 15,002 | 40,812 | 53,204 | (26,862) |
| contributions | 10,430 | 15,002 | 40.012 | | (20,802) |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$(_9,897) | \$ |
| Covered - employee payroll | \$211,176 | \$ 214,138 | \$ 204,488 | \$ 209,197 | \$199,147 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll | 7.78% | 7.01% | 19.96% | 25.43% | 13.49% |
| Notes to Schedule: | | | | | |
| Valuation date: | 6/30/18 | 6/30/17 | 6/30/16 | 6/30/15 | 6/30/14 |

Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:

| Entry Age Normal Cost Method | | |
|--|--|--|
| 15 years | | |
| Market Value | | |
| 2.75% | | |
| Varies by Entry Age and Service | | |
| 7.15% Net of Pension Plan Investment and | | |
| Admin. Expenses | | |
| | | |

⁽¹⁾ Fiscal year 2015 was the 1st year of implementation, therefore only five years are shown.

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN TOTAL OPEB LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS As of June 30, 2019

| | 2019 | 2018 |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| Total OPEB liability: | | |
| Service cost | \$ 24,702 | \$ 24,041 |
| Interest on total OPEB liability | 25,539 | 24,494 |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | 214,739 | - |
| Changes of assumptions | 141,212 | |
| Benefit payments | (38,682) | <u>(37,096</u>) |
| Net change in total OPEB liability | 367,510 | 11,439 |
| Total OPEB liability, beginning | 718,117 | 706,678 |
| Total OPEB liability, ending | 1,085,627 | 718,117 |
| OPEB Fiduciary net position, beginning and ending | | |
| Net OPEB liability | \$ <u>1,085,627</u> | \$ <u>718,117</u> |
| Covered-employee payroll | \$ 252,812 | \$ 214,138 |
| Plan net OPEB liability as a percentage | 400.400/ | 225 2504 |
| of covered-employee payroll | 429.42% | 335.35% |

Notes to Schedule:

Changes in assumptions: none

Historical information is required only for measurement periods for which GASB 75 is applicable. Future years' information will be displayed up to 10 years as information becomes available.



SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT PRINCIPAL OFFICIALS

Board of Directors: Michael Lindquist President Dan Stockton Vice President Robert McCormick Director Karen Heald Director Richard Simpson Director Management: Paul Schultz General Manager Anna Nickerson Financial Consultant/

Secretary of the Board

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2011-1 STATEMENT OF NET POSITION – BOND FUND June 30, 2019

ASSETS

| Cash - checking | \$ 525,100 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Future assessments receivable | 7,108,564 |
| | \$ <u>7,633,664</u> |
| LIABILITIES AND NET POSITION | ON |
| Assessment bond payable | \$7,424,456 |
| Payable to District | 3,759 |
| Net Position | 205,449 |
| | \$7,622,664 |

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2011-1 BOND DEBT June 30, 2019

On October 14, 2011 the Board of Directors approved Resolution No. 2011-801 which established Assessment District No. 2011-1, pursuant to the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913. The Board's purpose was to finance a portion of the District's share of the cost of upgrades to the wastewater treatment plant that serves the District by issuance of improvement bonds.

On January 14, 2012 the property owners of the District approved a total assessment levy of \$9,574,174 that will be represented by bonds to be issued by the Assessment District.

On July 17, 2014 the Assessment District issued \$7,973,975 in bonds under the Improvement Bond Act of 1915.

The bonds are payable to the United States of America, through the Rural Utilities Service of the United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development in varying annual amounts through 2053. Assessments have been pledged to secure the issuance of these bonds.

The interest rate is 2 3/4%, payable on March 2, 2015 and thereafter semiannually on March 2 and September 2 in each year.

The following is a summary of bond debt activities for the year ended June 30, 2019:

| | 2018 | New DebtIssued | Debt Retired | 2019 | Current Portion |
|-------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Bonds | \$ <u>7,556,922</u> | \$ | \$ <u>132,466</u> | \$ <u>7,424,456</u> | \$ <u>130,000</u> |

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2011-1 ANALYSIS OF NET POSITION – BOND FUND for the year ended June 30, 2019

Net Position - Bond Fund:

Activities for the year consist of:

| Balance, June 30, 2018 | | \$ | 200,654 |
|----------------------------|-----------|----|----------|
| Add, Admin. fees | \$ 10,619 | | |
| Interest income | 203,902 | | |
| Other | 154 | | |
| | | | 214,675 |
| Deduct, | | | |
| Interest expense | 206,105 | | |
| County administration fees | 3,775 | | |
| | | (| 209,880) |
| | | • | 205 440 |

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE

6234 Birdcage Street, Citrus Heights, Californía 95610 | robertwjohnsoncpagroup@gmail.com | 916.**723.2555** www.bob-johnson-cpa.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors Sierra Lakes County Water District Soda Springs, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts, the financial statements of Sierra Lakes County Water District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated August 30, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Sierra Lakes County Water District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Sierra Lakes County Water District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain other minor matters that we reported to management of the Sierra Lakes County Water District in a separate letter dated August 30, 2019.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Robert Wyohnson, an accountancy Corporation
Citrus Heights, California

August 30, 2019

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

REPORT ON ACCOUNTING CONTROLS

AND PROCEDURES

June 30, 2019

6234 Birdcage Street, Citrus Heights, California 95610 | robertwjohnsoncpagroup@gmail.com | 916.**723.2555** www.bob-johnson-cpa.com

August 30, 2019

To the Board of Directors Sierra Lakes County Water District Soda Springs, California

We have audited the financial statements of Sierra Lakes County Water District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts.

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Sierra Lakes County Water District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered Sierra Lakes County Water District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal controls. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal controls.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the second paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we have enclosed other recommendations for your consideration.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management and Board of Directors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Johnson, an account ancy Corporation

Robert W. Johnson, An Accountancy Corporation

1. Operating Results:

| | Jun | Favorable/ (Unfavorable) | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| | 2018 | 2019 | Variance |
| Operating revenues | \$ 2,105,582 | \$ 2,047,559 | \$(58,023) |
| Operating expenses | 1,735,326 | 2,208,963 | (473,637) |
| Operating income (loss) | 370,256 | (161,404) | (531,660) |
| Non-operating revenue, net | 271,008 | 365,357 | 94,349 |
| Capital contributions | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ <u>641.264</u> | \$ 203,953 | \$ <u>(437.311</u>) |
| Operating cash | \$2,271,244 | \$ <u>2,960,962</u> | \$_689.718 |

Observation:

June 30, 2019 financial statements reflect a \$367,510 increase in Total OPEB Liability (TOL). The District chose a simplified approach to OPEB valuation such that –

OPEB Valuation/GASB 75 report for fiscal years ending June 30, 2019 and June 30, 2020 (completed in November 2019) can be used for two years. This approach did require immediate recognition of all changes in the TOL.

2. Prior Year Recommendations:

A. Reserve Policies and Facility Fee Revenue:

Recommendation:

That the Board review the restrictions for spending facility fee revenue. At June 30, 2018 the District had capital improvement restricted reserves of \$163,674 (sewer) and \$37,244 (water).

<u>Follow-up</u> – it was determined that the District had no accumulated restricted improvement reserves at June 30, 2019 because such accumulated funds should have been applied to reimburse the District's operating fund for capital expenditures made.

At June 30, 2019 there is still approximately \$2,000,000 due the operating fund which is to be paid from the future collection of facility fees.

B. Ethics Training:

Recommendation:

One of the five board members is out of compliance. The mandatory ethics training course should be completed as soon as possible.

Follow up – at June 30, 2019 all directors are in compliance with their ethics training.