SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

for the year ended June 30, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Pages |
|--|---|---------|
| Independent Auditor's Report | | 1-3 |
| Financial Statements: | | e |
| Statement of Net Position | 5 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a | . 4 |
| Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position | | 5-6 |
| Statement of Cash Flows | 1 × 4 | 7-8 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | | 9-27 |
| Supplemental Information: | | il L |
| Principal Officials | | 29 |
| Schedule of Funding Progress of Other I Benefits Plan | Postemployment | 30 |
| Schedule of the District's Proportionate of the Net Pension Liability | Share | 31 |
| Schedule of Contributions for Pensions | | 32 |
| Bond Fund - Statement of Net Position | | 33 |
| - Bond Debt | | 34 |
| - Net Position | | 35 |
| Report on Internal Controls and Compliance | | £ 40 |
| Report on Internal Control Over Financia And on Compliance and Other Matters F Audit of Financial Statements Performed | Based on an | |
| Accordance with Government Auditing S | Standards | 37-38 |

6234 Birdcage Street, Citrus Heights, California 95610 | robertwjohnsoncpagroup@gmail.com | 916.**723.2555** www.bob-johnson-cpa.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors Sierra Lakes County Water District Soda Springs, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Sierra Lakes County Water District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control.

Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sierra Lakes County Water District as of June 30, 2017, and the changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as well as the accounting systems prescribed by the State Controller's Office and State Regulations governing Special Districts.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

The Management's Discussion and Analysis is not a required part of the financial statements but is supplemental information required by the Government Auditing Standards Board. Management has elected to omit the Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The supplemental information listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated August 31, 2017 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Robert W. Johnson, an accountancy Corporation

Citrus Heights, California August 31, 2017

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF NET POSITION June 30, 2017

ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS

| Current assets: | | (4) | | | 15 |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------|---|
| Cash (Note 3) | | | E. | KE CA | \$ 2,298,628 |
| Accounts receivable, billings | 10 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 97,657 |
| Property taxes receivable | | đ | 25° (2) | | - 77,037 |
| Loan receivable (Note 12) | * | | | 61 | 65,575 |
| Prepaid expenses | | | * | ial. | 8,187 |
| | | | | | |
| Total current assets | i. | | The state of the s | , | 2,470,047 |
| 4 tg: | nes · | -57 | | | |
| Capital assets, at cost (Note 4 and | 5): | | 2 | | * |
| Water plant | • | | \$ 6,773, | | |
| Sewer plant | | | 4,426. | areas and a second | |
| | | - To | 11,199, | 913 | * |
| y ** | * | | | * 1 | 34 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 1 | 44 2 | _6,343, | | w f |
| | | 4: | 4,855, | 915 | |
| | , A | | E * | | |
| Construction in progress | 3 | | 147, | 652 | 2 |
| Land for future use | | 4 | 15 | 103 | |
| Dana for future use | \$ | 15 | 15. | 105 | 5,018,670 |
| | 10 | | 100 | | 3,010,070 |
| Restricted assets: | 31 | | | A 100 P | 5.0 |
| Cash with fiscal agent (Note | 3) | | 158, | 827 | * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Cash in GASB 45 OPEB acc | | | 554. | | |
| Outside of the total to of the dec | · | | | M.L. | 712,902 |
| Non current portion of Loan receiv | vable (Note 13 |) | 9 | | 196,725 |
| Deferred outflows (Note 7) | 1000 (11000 12 | 7. | | | 100,789 |
| Detected outside (11000 1) | 36 52 Mil | Q. Se | erg. | | 1,001,100 |
| | ě! | | | | \$ 8,499,133 |

LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS AND NET POSITION

| Current liabilities: | 8 | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Current portion of long-term debt (Note 5) | | | \$ 206,720 |
| Accounts payable and accrued charges | * | ** | 47,040 |
| Accrued interest | E) | | 33,225 |
| Prepaid water and sewer revenue | | | |
| Compensated absences | · 06.7 | | 7,634 |
| | * ************************************ | | |
| Total current liabilities | * | | 294,619 |
| | | | |
| Non-current liabilities: | | | |
| Notes payable, net of current portion (Note 5) | 4 | | 5,193,907 |
| OPEB liability (Note 8) | | . 30 | 423,061 |
| | ¥ | 9 | |
| Total non-current liabilities | | 5. Sec. 1 | <u>5,616,968</u> |
| | | n | Z 011 Z0Z |
| Total liabilities | # .* | | _5,911,587 |
| 11 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | | | 150.011 |
| Net pension liability (Note 7) | | | 452,044 |
| Deferred inflows (Note 7) | | | 90,695 |
| Deferred fillows (Note 7) | | | 90,093 |
| | | | W 20 |
| Net position: | v | y wa e ag | * * |
| Net investment in capital assets | 6 | \$ 4,450,743 | |
| Restricted (Note 6) | 4 | 301,995 | |
| Unrestricted | Đ. | (2,707,931) | |
| | 11 | A THE STATE OF THE | |
| | f2 | | 2,044,807 |
| | N | ar e | The state of the s |
| | * | | \$ 8,499,133 |
| | | | |

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION for the year ended June 30, 2017

| | Water | Sewer | Total |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------|
| Operating revenues: | - Water | Bewei | |
| Water sales | \$ 849,886 | \$ - | \$ 849,886 |
| Sewer service | Ψ 0 12,000 | 1,178,569 | 1,178,569 |
| Connection fees | 5,475 | 23,400 | 28,875 |
| Inspection fees | | 20,100 | |
| Penalties and costs | 3,678 | 3,677 | 7,355 |
| Other - | 3,209 | 3,210 | 6,419 |
| 2016-17 rebate | (212,193) | (294,306) | (506,499) |
| | | 1 | |
| Total revenues | 650,055 | 914,550 | 1,564,605 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | :. | 20 |
| Operating expenses: | :#R | 1. P | |
| Source of supply | | | |
| Pumping | 49,229 | | 49,229 |
| Treatment | 253,900 | | 253,900 |
| Transmission and distribution | 258,889 | **** | 258,889 |
| Administrative and general | 123,019 | 108,649 | 231,668 |
| Depreciation | 120,774 | 101,931 | 222,705 |
| Collection | · · · · · | 762,329 | 762,329 |
| Disposal | and the second s | 13,228 | 13,228 |
| Total operating expenses | 805,811 | 986,137 | 1,791,948 |
| Operating income (loss) | (155,756) | (71,587) | (227,343) |
| Non-operating revenues (expenses): | | and a distribution of the second | |
| Property taxes | 197,690 | 197,689 | 395,379 |
| Interest income | 5,411 | 5,411 | 10,822 |
| Project recovery – Donner | J, T11 | 655,749 | 655,749 |
| Interest expense | (15,557) | (134,640) | (150,197) |
| Capital processing costs | (15,501) | (26,226) | (26,226) |
| Capital processing costs | - milks day faith are | 1 201220) | |
| | 187,544 | 697,983 | 885,527 |
| In some Clark to fore and the time | ** | | |
| Income (loss) before contributions | 31,788 | 626,396 | 650 104 |
| (carried forward) | 31,/00 | 020,390 | 658,184 |

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION, continued for the year ended June 30, 2017

| Yanama (lana) baGana and Badina | Wat | er Sewer | Total |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Income (loss) before contributions (brought forward) | \$ 31, | 788 \$ 626,396 | \$ 658,184 |
| Capital contributions | According to the second se | 679,298 | 679,298 |
| Changes in net position | \$ <u>31</u> , | 788 \$ <u>1,305,694</u> | 1,337,482 |
| Total net position: | | | |
| Beginning | | | 707,325 |
| Ending | | | \$ <u>2,044,807</u> |

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS for the year ended June 30, 2017

| Cash flows from operating activities: | * | 2 | |
|---|---------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Receipts from customers | ¥ U | R g | \$ 1,496,264 |
| Payments to suppliers | | | (1,196,025) |
| Payments to employees | § 14 | - · · | (_279,246) |
| rayments to employees | | 4 | (2/3,240) |
| NT-4 1 : d - J las than | \$ ex | | |
| Net cash provided by operating | | | 20,002 |
| activities | | | 20,993 |
| Ó 1 0 - 6 | | | |
| Cash flows from noncapital financing activities | es: | | |
| Receipts from property taxes and other | | A 1 051 100 | * |
| operating income | | \$ 1,051,128 | |
| OPEB liability | | 25,218 | 1,076,346 |
| | | * | |
| Cash flows from capital and related | * | | |
| financing activities: | 4 | | * |
| Property additions, including | | p ² = 2 | |
| work in progress | ¥ | (185,575) | |
| Payment of long-term debt | | (201,300) | 0 |
| Interest expense | Į. | (150,197) | |
| Capital processing costs | 3 9 | (26,226) | |
| Capital contributions received | • | 679,298 | |
| Note receivable - Donner project | | (655,749) | |
| Payments received on note receivable - D | onner project | 393,449 | (146,300) |
| * | | | ` ', |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | + | | |
| Interest income | | | 10,822 |
| | | | |
| Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash | | # | |
| equivalents | 9 | | 961,861 |
| ** | | 4.5 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | 2 | | |
| Beginning of year | 4 | | 2,049,669 |
| Doguming of Joan | | | 2,072,002 |
| End of year | | · · · · · | \$ 3.011.530 |
| zaid or jour | | 2 | Ψ_2,011,020 |

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS, continued for the year ended June 30, 2017

| Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash | | i i | |
|--|--------|------------|--------------|
| provided by operating activities: | # W | | |
| Operating income (loss) | | | \$(227,343) |
| Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) | ž v | | |
| to net cash provided to operating activities: | | (a) (b) | |
| Depreciation | 4 | \$ 222,705 | |
| Changes in operating assets, liabilities, deferred | | 4 | |
| inflows and deferred outflows: | | | |
| Assessment District receivable | | 44,867 | • |
| Trade receivables | 20 | (68,341) | |
| Prepaids | | (368) | |
| Payables | * | (177) | |
| Compensated absences | £) (f) | 1,950 | |
| Net pension liability | | 107,662 | * |
| Deferred inflows | | 1,976 | |
| Deferred outflows | - E | (61,938) | |
| | * | | 248,336 |
| | 14. | ≥0 90 TE | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3 | | \$ 20,993 |
| The desired the property of the second state of the second | 100 | g | |
| | ¥I. | * X | |
| | (9) | | |
| Supplemental information: | 27 | 뒣 | |
| Cash paid for interest | | 3 140 | \$ 150,197 |

1. Organization:

Sierra Lakes County Water District (the "District") was formed in 1961 and provides water service/distribution and sewer collection to residents of the District. The District's financial and administrative functions are governed by a five member Board of Directors elected by the landowners and residents of the District. The District's mission statement is to provide quality water treatment/distribution and sewer collection services at the lowest possible cost.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

The basic financial statements of Sierra Lakes County Water District have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the District's accounting policies are described below.

Basis of Presentation

The District is an enterprise fund. All operations are accounted for as an enterprise fund.

Enterprise Fund – The enterprise fund is used to account for water and sewer operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises. The intent of the District is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods and services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

The financial transactions of Sierra Lakes County Water District Assessment District No. 2011-1 (Assessment District No. 2011-1) are included in this report as supplemental information since this Assessment District is a separate legal entity from Sierra Lakes County Water District.

Basis of Accounting

The basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Basis of Accounting, continued

The District's books and accounts are based upon the Uniform System of Accounts for Public Utilities as prescribed by the Division of Local Government Fiscal Affairs of the State of California. The records are maintained and the accompanying financial statements are presented on the accrual basis of accounting.

Operating revenues and expenses, such as water sales along with water expenses, result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the District. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. Management, administration and depreciation expenses are also considered operating expenses. Other revenues and expenses not included in the above categories are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses. Non-operating revenues and expenses, such as grant funding, investment income and interest expense, result from non-exchange transactions, in which, the District gives (receives) value without directly receiving (giving) value in exchange.

Property tax revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. The District considers property tax revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period.

Depreciation

Property, plant and equipment is recorded on the basis of purchase cost. Assets acquired by contributions are recorded at estimated cost or fair market value at the date of acquisition.

Depreciation is calculated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Statement of Cash Flows

All highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased, are considered to be cash equivalents.

Compensated Absences

Vested or accumulated vacation and comp. time (non-exempt employees) that is expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources is reported as an expense and as a current liability. Sick pay is not vested.

Budget and Budgetary Accounting

The Board of Directors annually adopts an operating budget. The operating budgets are prepared on the accrual basis.

Interest Capitalization

Net interest costs are charged to construction projects during the period of construction.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans (Plans) and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

3. <u>Cash</u>:

At year-end the carrying amount of the District's deposits was \$3,011,530 and the bank balance was \$3,016,570. All of the bank balance was covered by Federal depository insurance.

At June 30, 2017, the District's total cash is as follows:

| হ। ব্য | e E | | |)4 (0 | 25 | | | | | | | June | ance = 30, 017 | |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----|----------|------|-----|---|----|---|----|------|--------|----------------------|----|
| Ca | sh: | | 14. | | | | | Ŷ. | | 12 | 8 | | ,, , , | |
| r a | Imprest c | account - | | | 42 | | | | | * | | | 58 8,570 | |
| | Restricted Checking | | _ | | PEB) | | * | | к | | * \$ | | 8,827 4,075 | 14 |
| 8 | T | otal cash | | | ¥. | *** | | | * | 14 | | \$3,01 | 1.530 | |

3. Cash, continued:

Restricted Cash:

The District had \$158,827 in cash as of June 30, 2017, held by a fiscal agent pledged for the payment or security of a loan contract. The California Government Code provides that these monies, in the absence of specific statutory provisions governing the issuance of bonds, certificates, or leases, may be invested in accordance with the ordinance, resolutions, policies or indentures specifying the types of investments its fiscal agents may make.

The District had \$554,075 in cash at June 30, 2017 established for OPEB (Post Employment Benefits) funding. The funds are held at a bank in an interest bearing checking account.

4. Capital Assets:

At June 30, 2017 changes in capital assets are as follows:

| | | Balance 6-30-16 | | Additions | Disposals/ Transfers | Balance 6-30-17 |
|------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Wa | ater: | · // 16 | | | | |
| : 4(| Source of supply | \$ 49,687 | 9 | 5 - | \$ - | \$ 49,687 |
| 3 | Pumping plant | 142,950 | | - | - | 142,950 |
| | Water treatment | 683,796 | | | · | 683,796 |
| | Transmission and | | | *5 | | |
| | distribution | 3,976,205 | | 135,489 | 23 OF | 4,111,694 |
| | General plant | 223,409 | | 2,609 | | 226,018 |
| | FMHA project | 904,122 | | - | · • | 904,122 |
| | DWR project | 628,830 | | - | - | 628,830 |
| | Filter plant modification | 26,559 | | * | - | 26,559 |
| 100 | | as | | 13.200 | 4 | |
| | w *** | 6,635,558 | • | 138,098 | | 6,773,656 |
| | x.1 | | 5 | | 1 | * |
| Sev | wer: | | | | | * |
| | Camera | 10,427 | | | | 10,427 |
| - | Vehicles | 260,783 | | 31,344 | | 292,127 |
| | Collection system | 1,119,252 | | 2,292 | | 1,121,544 |
| -20 | Filter plant modification | 26,559 | 1 | ů. | · • | 26,559 |
| Š | SWRQCB - sewer | | | | 15 | |
| | project | 1,772,116 | | | • | 1,772,116 |
| | Waste disposal utility | | | 9 | is em e si | |
| | plant | 779,194 | • 5 | - | . | 779,194 |
| | Parallel sewer force main | 217,842 | 4 | • | #. #: | 217,842 |
| , | Sewage export project | 206,448 | | | | 206,448 |
| | | , | | 10 F | | at. |
| | | 4,392,621 | | 33,636 | | 4,426,257 |
| | | • | | | | × 1 |
| Tot | als . | \$ <u>11,028,179</u> | 9 | <u> 171,734</u> | \$ | \$ <u>11,199,913</u> |

5. Long-term Debt:

The following is a summary of the long-term debt activities for the year ended June 30, 2017:

| | 2016 | New DebtIssued | Debt Retired | 2017 | Current Portion |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| DHS loan USDA/Revenue | \$ 635,927 | \$ - | \$ 68,000 | \$ 567,927 | \$ 69,720 |
| Bond | 4,966,000 | - | _133,300 | 4,832,700 | 137,000 |
| | \$_5,601,927 | \$ | \$_201,300 | \$ <u>5,400,627</u> | \$ <u>206,720</u> |

DHS Loan

The District entered into a Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund loan with the State of California, Department of Health Services for \$1,307,195 at 2.5132% interest. The purpose of the loan is to assist in financing construction of a project to enable the District to meet safe drinking water standards.

The \$1,307,195 loan will be payable in semi-annual payments of \$41,779 commencing January 1, 2005 through January 1, 2025.

At June 30, 2017 the District maintained a required loan reserve of \$158,827.

5. Long-term Debt (continued):

USDA/Revenue Bond

On March 28, 2014 the District issued revenue bonds in the amount of \$5,222,000 for purposes of improving the sewer facility. The bonds bear interest at 2.75% and mature on March 28, 2042. Interest is payable March 28 and September 28 (principal is payable annually commencing March 28, 2015). A debt service reserve is required in an amount at least equal to an average annual loan installment.

The future annual maturities of all long-term borrowings as of June 30, 2017 are as follows:

| Year | | * | Principal | Interest | Total |
|-----------|-----|------|-----------------------------|--|-------------|
| 2018 | | | \$ 206,720 | \$ 146,737 | \$ 353,457 |
| 2019 | /# | | 212,183 | 141,206 | 353,389 |
| 2020 | | т. | 217,891 | 135,529 | 353,420 |
| 2021 | | | 223,744 | 129,699 | 353,443 |
| 2022 | 3 | 2 | 229,745 | 123,712 | 353,457 |
| 2023-2027 | | 4. 4 | 1,029,944 | 528,304 | 1,558,248 |
| 2028-2032 | | | 949,000 | 400,276 | 1,349,276 |
| 2033-2037 | | | 1,086,800 | 262,416 | 1,349,216 |
| 2038-2042 | e e | | 1,244,600 | 104,530 | 1,349,130 |
| | | ÷ | CZIPANA BANKANION BANKANION | STATE OF STA | |
| | T | | \$ <u>5,400,627</u> | \$ <u>1,972,409</u> | \$7,373,036 |

6. Restricted Net Position:

At June 30, 2017 District equity reserves consist of:

| Reserve for debt | service | | | * | 8 | 2 | 4.5 | \$ | 158,827 |
|------------------|----------------|---|----|------|---|-----|-----|------|---------|
| Capital improver | nent reserves: | • | 34 | | | 81 | 4: | | |
| Water | | | | 9 | | | 2 | | 116,874 |
| Sewer | 34) | 8 | | | | | | | 26,294 |
| 5. | 4.7 | | 6 | | F | | | 1000 | .1 |
| W. | , | | + | nie. | | 5 5 | b | \$_ | 301,995 |

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan:

Plan Description

The District contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), a cost sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan. The District participates in the miscellaneous 2% at 60 risk pool. CalPERS provides retirement and disability benefits. annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. CalPERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public employers within the State of California. CalPERS require agencies with less than 100 active members in the plan to participate in the risk pool. All District permanent employees are eligible to participate in the System. Benefits vest after five years of service. District employees who retire at age 50 to 63 and with over 5 years of credited service are entitled to an annual retirement benefit, payable monthly for life, in an amount equal to 1.426 to 2.418 percent of their average salary during their last 36 months of employment. A menu of benefits provision as well as other requirements is established by State Statutes within the Public Employees Retirement Law. The plan selects optional benefit provisions from the benefit menu by contract with CalPERS and adopts those benefits through District resolution. CalPERS issues a separate comprehensive annual financial report. Copies of the CalPERS' annual financial report may be obtained from the CalPERS Executive Office, 400 P Street, Sacramento, California, 95814.

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

Funding Policy

Covered employees are required by statute to contribute 7.0 percent of their salary to the plan after a formula to coordinate with Social Security. The District is required by the same statute to contribute the remaining amounts necessary to pay benefits when due. The District is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate.

The Plans' provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2017, are summarized as follows:

| | | | Classic | v | PEPRA |
|--------------------------|----|---|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| Benefit formula | | | 2% at 60 | | 2% at 62 |
| Benefit vesting schedule | | ÷ | 5 years of service | * | 5 years of service |
| Benefit payments | * | | monthly for life | | monthly for life |
| Retirement age | | | 55+ | | 55+ |
| Required employee | | | 35 | • | |
| contribution rates | | | 7% | | 6.25% |
| Required employer | 4 | | | | 0 8 |
| contribution rates | ** | | 7.159% | | 6.555% |
| | | | | | · · |

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

Contributions - Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for both Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the contributions recognized as part of pension expense were as follows:

Contributions \$ 40,812

A. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions:

As of June 30, 2017, the District reported net pension liability as follows:

Net pension liability

\$ 452,044

The District's net pension liability for each Plan is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of each of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2016, and the total pension liability for each Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2015 rolled forward to June 30, 2016 using standard update procedures. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plans relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined.

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the District recognized pension income of \$88,512. At June 30, 2017, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

| | * ; | red Outflo | Deferred Inflows of Resources | | |
|--|-----|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Adjustments due to differences in proportions | ¥ | c | | ¢ | 14,705 |
| Differences between projected and | 9 | ų · | | ф | 14,703 |
| actual earnings on pension plan investments | * | 58,783 | 3 | F 4 | 64,422 |
| Difference between expected and actual experience | | 1,194 | 1 | | 274 |
| Changes in assumptions | | - | | | 11,294 |
| Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date | | 40,812 | 2 | ***** | *** |
| | | \$ <u>100,789</u> | 2 | \$_ | 90,695 |

\$40,812 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2017. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

| | Year Ended June 30 | | | | · . | | * | :ik - | |
|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|----|---|--------|---------|
| | | + | * | | | | | | |
| | 2017 | | | | | 9. | | \$(| 15,735) |
| 100 | 2018 | 44 | * | 10 | | | | (| 15,735) |
| ¥. | 2019 | | 4 | | | | | . (| 13,941) |
| | 2020 | | | | | • | | | 14,693 |
| | 2021 | (4) | | | | 4 | * | 20 391 | * |
| | Thereafter | | 50. | | | | | | - |

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

Actuarial Assumptions — The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

| 8 8 | <u>8</u> | | • | g g | 14 | Miscellaneous | |
|---------------------------|----------|------|-----|-----|-------|--------------------|--------|
| Valuation date | | V. 1 | * | 4.2 | | June 30, 2015 | ÷ 4 |
| Measurement date | | | E | | | June 30, 2016 | |
| Actuarial Cost Method | V. | | | N: | Entry | -Age Normal Cost I | Method |
| Actuarial Assumptions: | | | | | | - | |
| Discount rate | | | Σ. | | | 7.65% | 9 |
| Inflation | | | | | | 2.75% | |
| Payroll Growth | | | 12/ | 2 | | 3.0% | |
| Projected Salary Increase | | | | 9.0 | 1 | 3.3%-14.2% | |
| Investment Rate of Return | 1 | | | 2 | | 7.5% | |

Discount Rate — The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.65% for each Plan. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate for each plan, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.65 percent discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long term expected discount rate of 7.65 percent will be applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from the CalPERS website.

CalPERS is scheduled to review all actuarial assumptions as part of its regular Asset Liability Management (ALM) review cycle that is scheduled to be completed in February 2018. Any changes to the discount rate will require Board action and proper stakeholder outreach. For these reasons, CalPERS expects to continue using a discount rate net of administrative expenses for GASB 67 and 68 calculations through at least the 2017-18 fiscal year. CalPERS will continue to check the materiality of the difference in calculation until such time as we have changed our methodology.

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

The table below reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

| Asset Class | New Strategic Allocation | Real Return Years 1-10(a) | | Real Return Years 11+(b) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|
| Global Equity | 47.0% | 5.25% | | 5.71% |
| Global Fixed Income | 19.0% | 0.99% | | 2.43% |
| Inflation Sensitive | 6.0% | 0.45% | | 3.36% |
| Private Equity | 12.0% | 6.83% | | 6.95% |
| Real Estate | 11.0% | 4.50% | | 5.13% |
| Infrastructure and Forestland | 3.0% | 4.50% | ki. | 5.09% |
| • | | | | 8 4 |
| Liquidity | 2.0% | -0.55% | | -1.05% |
| Total | 100% | * | | a e |

- (a) An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.
- (b) An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

7. Defined Benefit Retirement Plan, continued:

Sensitivity of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate — The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan, calculated using the discount rate for each Plan, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current rate:

| ©. | <i>*</i> | | | Miscellaneous |
|--|----------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 1% Decrease Net Pension Liability | 4 951 | | | 6.65% \$704,273 |
| Current Discount Rate Net Pension Liability | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | d No. | 7.65% \$452,044 |
| 1% Increase Net Pension Liability | * 6 | | ā. | 8.65% \$243,590 |

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

8. Post Employment Benefits:

Plan Description

In addition to pension benefits, the District provides certain healthcare benefits through CalPERS for retired employees and their survivor dependents, subject to certain conditions. The District's employees become eligible for benefits "after retirement in accordance with regulations prescribed by CalPERS." At June 30, 2017, seven retired employees/survivor dependents met those eligibility requirements. Covered employees are not required to make contributions to receive the benefits. The actuarially accrued liability was \$1,039,291 of which \$500,000 was funded at June 30, 2016.

Funding Policy

The contribution requirements of the District and plan members are established and may be amended by the District's Board of Directors. During 2011, the District adopted a policy to fully fund the Annual Required Contribution (ARC), for these benefits. The ARC is an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover the normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. The current ARC rate is 38.04 percent of the annual covered payroll. The District established a cash reserve account (requiring board action and two board signatures to access funds) and funded \$500,000 at June 30, 2016. Annual additional funding will be \$25,000 per year until OPEB is fully funded.

8. Post Employment Benefits, continued:

Funding Policy, continued

The District's ARC was \$80,088 for the year ended June 30, 2017. This contribution includes the normal cost of \$38,141 and \$41,947 to amortize the UAAL.

The liability was determined using the Entry Age Normal Cost method. The actuarial assumptions included an interest rate of 2.50 percent in 2016.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation (Asset)

The following table shows the components of the District's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amounts actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the District's Net OPEB obligation for the year ended June 30, 2017 and 2016.

| | 2017 | 2016 |
|---|----------------|------------|
| Annual required contribution (ARC) | \$ 80,088 | \$ 80,088 |
| Interest on net OPEB obligation | 9,946 | 9,343 |
| Adjustment to annual required contribution | (15,666) | (14,716) |
| Annual OPEB cost (expense) | 74,368 | 74,715 |
| Contributions made | 49,150 | 50,610 |
| (Increase) decrease in net asset | 25,218 | 24,105 |
| Net OPEB obligation (asset) - beginning of year | <u>397,843</u> | 373,738 |
| Net OPEB obligation (asset) - end of year | \$ 423,061 | \$ 397,843 |

8. Post Employment Benefits, continued:

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. Calculations are based on the types of benefits provided under the terms of the substantive plan at the time of each valuation and the pattern of sharing of costs between the employer and plan members to that point. Consistent with the long-term perspective of actuarial calculations, actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

9. Risk of Loss:

Sierra Lakes County Water District is exposed to various risks of loss related to theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; and injuries to employees. During the 2017 fiscal year, the District purchased certain commercial insurance coverages to provide for these risks.

10. Sewage Processing:

Contract costs of the service agreement with Donner Summit Public Utility District for processing sewage generated by the District are expensed as incurred; also expensed are the improvement project costs for the wastewater treatment plant. Regular processing costs including prior year adjustments received are recorded as operating expenses; capital processing costs are recorded as non-operating expense.

11. Subsequent Events:

Management has evaluated subsequent events through August 31, 2017, the date these June 30, 2017 financial statements were available to be issued.

12. Receivable - Project Reimbursement:

At June 30, 2017 the District has a receivable from DSPUD for reimbursement of project costs (excess capacity credit). The \$262,300 is receivable in annual payments of \$65,575 plus 2.75% through 2021.

13. Subsequent Event:

On August 11, 2017 the Board of Directors authorized payment of \$487,963 to pay off the unfunded CalPERS liability.



SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT PRINCIPAL OFFICIALS July 14, 2017

Board of Directors:

President Michael Lindquist

Vice President Dan Stockton

Director Bill Oudegeest

Karen Heald Director

Richard Simpson Director

Management:

General Manager Bill Quesnel

Financial Consultant/ Anna Nickerson

Secretary of the Board

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS –

Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) For the year ended June 30, 2017

The table below shows an analysis of the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability and the unfunded actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of the annual covered payroll as of June 30:

| | | | | (4) | | St. |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 9. | Unfunded | | (6) |
| 9 | (1) | (2) | | Actuarial | | UAAL as a |
| * | Actuarial | Actuarial | | Accrued | (5) | % of |
| Actuarial | Value | Accrued | (3) | Liability | Annual | Covered |
| Valuation | of Plan | Liability | Funded | (UAAL) | Covered | Payroll |
| Date | Assets | (AAL) | Ratio | (2)-(1) | Payroll | (4)/(5) |
| 7/1/09 | \$ - | \$1,282,427 | 0.00% | \$1,282,427 | \$243,000 | 527.7% |
| 7/1/12 | \$ - | \$1,264,556 | 0.00% | \$1,264,556 | \$200,000 | 632.3% |
| 7/1/15 | \$500,000 | \$1,039,291 | 48.11% | \$ 539,291 | \$210,534 | 493.6% |

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF THE DISTRICT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY

As of June 30, 2017 Last 10 years (1)

| | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Proportion of the net pension liability | .01301% | 0.01255% | 0.00625% |
| Proportionate share of the net pension liability | \$ 452,044 | \$ 344,382 | \$ 388,716 |
| Covered – employee payroll | \$ 204,488 | \$ 209,197 | \$ 199,147 |
| Proportionate Share of the net pension liability as percentage of covered- employee payroll | 221.06% | 164.620% | 195.19% |
| Plan's fiduciary net position | \$1,475,762 | \$1,535,687 | \$1,476,608 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability | 76.55% | 81.68% | 79.16% |

Notes to Schedule:

Change in Benefit Terms: The figures above do not include any liability impact that may have resulted from plan changes which occurred after June 30, 2014 as they have minimal cost impact. This applies for voluntary benefit changes as well as any offers of Two Years Additional Service Credit (a.k.a. Golden Handshakes). Employers that have done so may need to report this information as a separate liability in their financial statement as CalPERS considers such amounts to be separately financed employer-specific liabilities. These employers should consult with their auditors.

Changes in assumptions: None

(1) Fiscal year 2015 was the 1st year of implementation, therefore only three years are shown.

SIERRA LAKES COUNTY WATER DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PENSIONS As of June 30, 2017 Last 10 years (1)

| | 2016 | 2015 |
|---|-------------------|-----------|
| Contractually required contribution (actuarially determined) | \$ 43,307 | \$ 26,862 |
| Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contributions | 53,204 | (26,862) |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ <u>(9,897)</u> | \$ |
| Covered – employee payroll | \$209,197 | \$199,147 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll | 25.43% | 13.49% |
| Notes to Schedule: Valuation date: | 6/30/2014 | 6/30/2013 |

Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:

| Amortization method | | Entry Age Normal Cost Method |
|-------------------------------|-----|---|
| Remaining amortization period | | 15 years |
| Asset valuation method | | Market Value |
| Inflation | | 2.75% |
| Salary increases | | Varies by Entry Age and Service |
| Investment rate of return | * 3 | 7.5% Net of Pension Plan Investment and Admin. Expenses |

⁽¹⁾ Fiscal year 2015 was the 1st year of implementation, therefore only two years are shown.

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2011-1 STATEMENT OF NET POSITION – BOND FUND June 30, 2017

ASSETS

| Cash - checking | 15g | | | * | | 4 | \$ 435,087 |
|-------------------|---|------------|-----------|------------|----------------|--|---------------------|
| Future assessmen | ts receivable | e | | ¥ | , # | 0.8 | 7,437,696 |
| | (a) | | * | | | * ************************************ | \$ <u>7,872,783</u> |
| * | | 774 | • 6 | | ž. | | |
| e d e k | 15 y 45 y | LIABIL | ITIES ANI | O NET POS | SITION | 5 a | ** |
| Payable to Sierra | | | | 3. 2 | | | ¥ |
| County Water | District | 9 (2) 9 | e . | , 41 | ş [*] | , | \$ - |
| Assessment bond | payable | | 52 | | | ×. | 7,677,927 |
| Net Position | ii. | 2 | | * 100 5 | | | 194,856 |
| 1 34A | | | | | × | | \$7 872 783 |

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2011-1 BOND DEBT June 30, 2017

On October 14, 2011 the Board of Directors approved Resolution No. 2011-801 which established Assessment District No. 2011-1, pursuant to the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913. The Board's purpose was to finance a portion of the District's share of the cost of upgrades to the wastewater treatment plant that serves the District by issuance of improvement bonds.

On January 14, 2012 the property owners of the District approved a total assessment levy of \$9,574,174 that will be represented by bonds to be issued by the Assessment District.

On July 17, 2014 the Assessment District issued \$7,973,975 in bonds under the Improvement Bond Act of 1915.

The bonds are payable to the United States of America, through the Rural Utilities Service of the United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development in varying annual amounts through 2053. Assessments have been pledged to secure the issuance of these bonds.

The interest rate is 2 3/4%, payable on March 2, 2015 and thereafter semiannually on March 2 and September 2 in each year.

The following is a summary of bond debt activities for the year ended June 30, 2017:

| | 2016 | New Debt <u>Issued</u> | Debt Retired | 2017 | Current Portion |
|-------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Bonds | \$ <u>7,795,632</u> | \$ | \$ <u>117,705</u> | \$ <u>7,677,927</u> | \$ <u>124,741</u> |

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2011-1 ANALYSIS OF NET POSITION – BOND FUND for the year ended June 30, 2017

Net Position - Bond Fund:

Activities for the year consist of:

| Tolones Toma 20 2016 | | \$ 868,727 |
|--------------------------------|---|------------|
| Balance, June 30, 2016 | t to the second of the second | \$ 868,727 |
| Add, Admin. fees | \$ 10,605 | * 2 · |
| Interest income | 210,906 | |
| Other | 436 | |
| | | 221,947 |
| | | |
| Deduct, contributions to | | |
| District (prior project costs) | 679,298 | 4. |
| Interest expense | 212,761 | |
| County administration fees | 3,759 | |
| | E d | (895,818) |
| | a a | |
| | | \$ 194.856 |

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE

6234 Birdcage Street, Citrus Heights, California 95610 | robertwjohnsoncpagroup@gmail.com | 916.**723.2555** www.bob-johnson-cpa.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors Sierra Lakes County Water District Soda Springs, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts, the financial statements of Sierra Lakes County Water District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated August 31, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Sierra Lakes County Water District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Sierra Lakes County Water District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

We noted certain other minor matters that we reported to management of the Sierra Lakes County Water District in a separate letter dated August 31, 2017.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Robert W. Johnson, an accountancy Corporation

Citrus Heights, California

August 31, 2017